Audit-Firm Tenure and the Quality of Financial Reports*

E. Johnson, Inder K. Khurana, J. Kenneth Reynolds

First published: 15 January 2010

https://doi.org/10.1506/LLTH-JXQV-8CEW-8MXD

Citations: 642

Abstract

This study examines whether the length of the relationship between a company and an audit firm (audit-firm tenure) is associated with financial-reporting quality. Using two proxies for financial-reporting quality and a sample of Big 6 clients matched on industry and size, we find that relative to medium audit-firm tenures of four to eight years, short audit-firm tenures of two to three years are associated with lower-quality financial reports. In contrast, we find no evidence of reduced financial-reporting quality for longer audit-firm tenures of nine or more years. Overall, our results provide empirical evidence pertinent to the recurring debate regarding mandatory audit-firm rotation — a debate that has, to date, relied on anecdotal evidence and isolated cases.

References

Altman, E., and T. McGough. 1974. Evaluation of a company as a going concern. *Journal of Accountancy* **138** (12): 50–7.

Google Scholar

American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA). 1978. *The commission on auditors' responsibilities: Report, conclusions, and recommendations*. New York: AICPA.

Google Scholar

American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) SEC Practice Section. 1992. *Statement of position regarding mandatory rotation of audit firms of publicly held companies*. New York: AICPA.

Google Scholar

Antle, R., and B. Nalebuff. 1991. Conservatism and auditor-client negotiations. *Journal of Accounting Research* **29** (Supplement): 31–54.

^{*} Accepted by Dan Simunic. We thank Dick Dietrich, Mike Ettredge, Jere Francis, Tom Linsmeier, Earl Wilson, two anonymous reviewers, participants at the 1999 American Accounting Association midyear auditing section meeting, the central states accounting research workshop, and workshop participants at University of Illinois, University of Kansas, University of Missouri, and University of North Texas for their valuable comments on this paper.

Web of Science® Google Scholar

Arrunada, B., and C. Paz-Ares. 1997. Mandatory rotation of company auditors: A critical examination. *International Review of Law and Economics* **17** (1): 31–61.

Web of Science® Google Scholar

Balachandran, B., and N. Nagarajan. 1987. Imperfect information, insurance, and auditors legal liability. *Contemporary Accounting Research* **3** (2): 281–301.

Google Scholar

Bartov, E., F. Gul, and J. Tsui. 2000. Discretionary-accruals models and audit qualifications. *Journal of Accounting and Economics* **30** (3): 421–52.

Web of Science® Google Scholar

Beck, P., T. Frecka, and I. Solomon. 1988. A model of the market for MAS and audit services: Knowledge spillovers and auditor-auditee bonding. *Journal of Accounting Literature* **7**: 50–64.

Google Scholar

Becker, C., M. DeFond, J. Jiambalvo, and K. Subramanyam. 1998. The effect of audit quality on earnings management. *Contemporary Accounting Research* **15** (1): 1–24.

Google Scholar

Beasley, M., and K. Petroni. 1996. Errors in accounting estimates and their relation to auditor firm type. *Journal of Accounting Research* **34** (Spring): 151–71.

Google Scholar

Choi, S., and D. Jeter. 1992. The effects of qualified audit opinions on earnings response coefficients. *Journal of Accounting and Economics* **15** (2): 229–47.

Web of Science® | Google Scholar

Chow, C., and S. Rice. 1982. Qualified audit opinions and auditor switching. Accounting Review 57 (2): 326–36.

Web of Science® Google Scholar

Citron, D., and R. Taffler. 1992. The audit report under going concern uncertainties: An empirical analysis. *Accounting and Business Research* **22** (88): 337–46.

Google Scholar

Copley, P., and M. Doucet. 1993. Auditor tenure, fixed fee contracts, and the supply of substandard single audits. *Public Budgeting and Finance* **13** (3): 23–35.

Google Scholar

DeAngelo, L. 1981. Auditor size and audit quality. *Journal of Accounting and Economics* **3** (3): 183–99.

Google Scholar

Dechow, P. 1994. Accounting earnings and cash flows as measures of firm performance: The role of accounting accruals. *Journal of Accounting and Economics* **18** (1): 3–42.

Web of Science® Google Scholar

Dechow, P., R. Sloan, and A. Sweeney. 1995. Detecting earnings management. *Accounting Review* **70** (2): 193–225.

Web of Science® Google Scholar

DeFond, M., and J. Jiambalvo. 1994. Debt covenant violation and manipulation of accruals. *Journal of Accounting and Economics* **17** (1-2): 145–76.

Web of Science® Google Scholar

DeFond, M., and K. Subramanyam. 1998. Auditor changes and discretionary accruals. *Journal of Accounting and Economics* **25** (1): 35–67.

Web of Science® Google Scholar

Deis, D., and G. Giroux. 1992. Determinants of audit quality in the public sector. *Accounting Review* **67** (3): 462–79.

Web of Science® Google Scholar

Deis, D., and G. Giroux. 1996. The effect of auditor changes on audit fees, audit hours and audit quality. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy* **15** (1): 55–76.

Google Scholar

DeJong, D. 1985. Class-action privileges and contingent legal fees: Investor and lawyer incentives to litigate and the effect on audit quality. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy* **4** (3): 175–200.

Google Scholar

Dopuch, N., and D. Simunic. 1982. *The competition in auditing: An assessment. In Fourth Symposium on Auditing Research, 401-50.* Urbana: University of Illinois.

Google Scholar

Dopuch, N., R. King, and R. Schwartz. 2001. An experimental investigation of retention and rotation requirements. *Journal of Accounting Research* **38** (June): 93–117.

Google Scholar

Francis, J., E. Maydew, and H. Sparks. 1999. The role of big-six auditors in the credible reporting of accruals. *Auditing: A Journal of Practice and Theory* **18** (2): 17–34.

Web of Science® Google Scholar

Freeman, R., J. Ohlson, and S. Penman. 1982. Book rate of return and prediction of earnings changes. *Journal of Accounting Research* **20** (Autumn): 639–53.

Web of Science® Google Scholar

Geiger, M., and K. Raghunandan. 2002. Auditor tenure and auditor reporting failures. *Auditing: A Journal of Practice and Theory* **21** (1): 67–78.

Web of Science® Google Scholar

Gietzmann, M., and P. Sen. 1997. *Auditor rotation: an economic analysis of the European Union's proposed fifth directive*. Working paper, London School of Business.

Google Scholar

Giroux, G., D. Deis, and B. Bryan. 1995. The effect of peer review on audit economies. *Research in Accounting Regulation* **9**: 63–82.

Google Scholar

Haskins, M., and D. Williams. 1990. A contingent model of intra-big eight auditor changes. *Auditing: A Journal of Practice and Theory* **9** (3): 55–74.

Web of Science® Google Scholar

Hoyle, J. 1978. Mandatory auditor rotation: The arguments and an alternative. *Journal of Accountancy* **145** (5): 69–78.

Web of Science® Google Scholar

Jones, J. 1991. Earnings management during import relief investigations. *Journal of Accounting Research* **29** (Autumn): 193–228.

Web of Science® Google Scholar

Kinney, W., and L. McDaniel. 1996. How to improve the effectiveness of substantive analytical procedures. *CPA Journal* **66** (4): 52–4.

Google Scholar

Klein, A. 2002. *Audit committee, board of director characteristics, and earnings management*. Working paper, New York University.

Google Scholar

Knapp, M. 1991. Factors that audit committees use as surrogates for audit quality. *Auditing: A Journal of Practice and Theory* **10** (1): 35–52.

Web of Science® Google Scholar

Krishnan, J. 1994. Auditor switching and conservatism. Accounting Review 69 (1): 200-15.

Web of Science® Google Scholar

McClaren, L. 1958. Rotation of auditors. Journal of Accountancy 106 (4): 41–4.

Google Scholar

Melumad, N., and L. Thoman. 1990. On auditors and the courts in an adverse selection setting. *Journal of Accounting Research* **28** (Spring): 77–120.

Web of Science® Google Scholar

Narayanan, V. 1994. An analysis of auditor liability rules. *Journal of Accounting Research* **32** (Supplement): 39–64.

Web of Science® Google Scholar

Nelson, J., J. Ronen, and L. White. 1988. Legal liabilities and the market for auditing services. *Journal of Accounting, Auditing and Finance* **3** (3): 255–95.

Google Scholar

O'Keefe, T., R. King, and K. Gaver. 1994. Audit fees, industry specialization, and compliance with GAAS reporting standards. *Auditing: A Journal of Practice and Theory* **13** (2): 41–55.

Web of Science® Google Scholar

Palmrose, Z. 1989. The relation of audit contract type to audit fees and hours. *Accounting Review* **64** (3): 488–99.

Web of Science® Google Scholar

Reynolds, K., and J. Francis. 2000. Does size matter? The influence of large clients on office-level auditor reporting decisions. *Journal of Accounting and Economics* **30** (3): 375–400.

Web of Science® Google Scholar

Ronnen, U. 1996. The effects of mandated versus voluntary auditing policy on the quality of auditing. *Journal of Accounting, Auditing and Finance* **11** (3): 361–92.

Google Scholar

Shockley, R. 1981. Perceptions of auditors independence: An empirical analysis. *Accounting Review* **56** (4): 785–800.

Web of Science® Google Scholar

Sloan, R. 1996. Do stock prices fully reflect information in accruals and cash flows about future earnings?. *Accounting Review* **71** (3): 289–315.

Web of Science® Google Scholar

St. Pierre, K., and J. Anderson. 1984. An analysis of the factors associated with lawsuits against public accountants. *Accounting Review* **59** (2): 242–63.

Google Scholar

Stice, J. 1991. Using financial and market information to identify pre-engagement factors associated with lawsuits against auditors. *Accounting Review* **66** (3): 516–33.

Web of Science® Google Scholar

Subramanyam, K. 1996. The pricing of discretionary accruals. *Journal of Accounting and Economics* **22** (1-3): 249–91.

Web of Science® Google Scholar

Subramanyam, K., and J. Wild. 1996. Going-concern status, earnings persistence, and informativeness of earnings. *Contemporary Accounting Research* **13** (1): 251–73.

Google Scholar

Teoh, S., I. Welch, and T. Wong. 1998. Earnings management and the underperformance of seasoned equity offerings. *Journal of Financial Economics* **50** (1): 63–99.

Web of Science® Google Scholar

Teoh, S., and T. Wong. 1993. Perceived auditor quality and the earnings response coefficient. *Accounting* Review 68 (2): 346-67. Web of Science® Google Scholar U.S. Governmental Accounting Office (GAO). 1996. The accounting profession major issues: Progress and concerns. Report to the ranking minority member, Committee on Commerce, House of Representatives. Washington, DC: GAO. **Google Scholar** U.S. Governmental Accounting Office (GAO). 1991. Failed banks: Accounting and auditing reforms urgently needed. Washington, DC: GAO. **Google Scholar** United States Senate (Metcalf committee). 1976. The accounting establishment: A staff study prepared by the subcommittee on reports, accounting, and management of the committee on government operations. Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office. **Google Scholar** Warfield, T., J. Wild, and K. Wild. 1995. Managerial ownership, accounting choices, and informativeness of earnings. Journal of Accounting and Economics 20 (1): 61-92. Web of Science® Google Scholar Watts, R., and J. Zimmerman. 1986. *Positive accounting theory*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.

Google Scholar

Winters, A. 1976. Looking at the auditor rotation issue. *Management Accounting* **57** (9): 29–30.

Google Scholar

Citing Literature

V

Privacy Policy

Terms of Use

About Cookies

Manage Cookies

Accessibility

Wiley Research DE&I Statement and Publishing Policies

Developing World Access

HELP & SUPPORT

Contact Us
Training and Support
DMCA & Reporting Piracy

OPPORTUNITIES

Subscription Agents
Advertisers & Corporate Partners

CONNECT WITH WILEY

The Wiley Network Wiley Press Room

Copyright © 1999-2025 John Wiley & Sons, Inc or related companies. All rights reserved, including rights for text and data mining and training of artificial intelligence technologies or similar technologies.

