

## Audit-Firm Tenure and the Quality of Financial Reports\*

E. Johnson, Inder K. Khurana, J. Kenneth Reynolds

First published: 15 January 2010

<https://doi.org/10.1506/LLTH-JXQV-8CEW-8MXD>

\* Accepted by Dan Simunic. We thank Dick Dietrich, Mike Ettredge, Jere Francis, Tom Linsmeier, Earl Wilson, two anonymous reviewers, participants at the 1999 American Accounting Association midyear auditing section meeting, the central states accounting research workshop, and workshop participants at University of Illinois, University of Kansas, University of Missouri, and University of North Texas for their valuable comments on this paper.



PDF

### Abstract

This study examines whether the length of the relationship between a company and an audit firm (audit-firm tenure) is associated with financial-reporting quality. Using two proxies for financial-reporting quality and a sample of Big 6 clients matched on industry and size, we find that relative to medium audit-firm tenures of four to eight years, short audit-firm tenures of two to three years are associated with lower-quality financial reports. In contrast, we find no evidence of reduced financial-reporting quality for longer audit-firm tenures of nine or more years. Overall, our results provide empirical evidence pertinent to the recurring debate regarding mandatory audit-firm rotation — a debate that has, to date, relied on anecdotal evidence and isolated cases.

### References

Altman, E., and T. McGough. 1974. Evaluation of a company as a going concern. *Journal of Accountancy* 138 (12): 50-7.

[Google Scholar](#)

This website utilizes technologies such as cookies to enable essential site functionality, as well as for analytics, personalization, and targeted advertising. You may change your settings at any time or accept the default settings. You may close this banner to continue with only essential cookies. [Privacy Policy](#)

Manage Preferences

Accept All

Reject Non-Essential

---

Antle, R., and B. Nalebuff. 1991. Conservatism and auditor-client negotiations. *Journal of Accounting Research* 29 (Supplement): 31-54.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

---

Arrunada, B., and C. Paz-Ares. 1997. Mandatory rotation of company auditors: A critical examination. *International Review of Law and Economics* 17 (1): 31-61.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

---

Balachandran, B., and N. Nagarajan. 1987. Imperfect information, insurance, and auditors legal liability. *Contemporary Accounting Research* 3 (2): 281-301.

[Google Scholar](#)

---

Bartov, E., F. Gul, and J. Tsui. 2000. Discretionary-accruals models and audit qualifications. *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 30 (3): 421-52.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

---

Beck, P., T. Frecka, and I. Solomon. 1988. A model of the market for MAS and audit services: Knowledge spillovers and auditor-auditee bonding. *Journal of Accounting Literature* 7: 50-64.

[Google Scholar](#)

---

Becker, C., M. DeFond, J. Jiambalvo, and K. Subramanyam. 1998. The effect of audit quality on earnings management. *Contemporary Accounting Research* 15 (1): 1-24.

[Google Scholar](#)

---

Beasley, M., and K. Petroni. 1996. Errors in accounting estimates and their relation to auditor firm type. *Journal of Accounting Research* 34 (Spring): 151-71.

This website utilizes technologies such as cookies to enable essential site functionality, as well as for analytics, personalization, and targeted advertising. You may change your settings at any time or accept the default settings. You may close this banner to continue with only essential cookies. [Privacy Policy](#)

Manage Preferences

Accept All

Reject Non-Essential

---

Citron, D., and R. Taffler. 1992. The audit report under going concern uncertainties: An empirical analysis. *Accounting and Business Research* 22 (88): 337–46.

[Google Scholar](#)

---

Copley, P., and M. Doucet. 1993. Auditor tenure, fixed fee contracts, and the supply of substandard single audits. *Public Budgeting and Finance* 13 (3): 23–35.

[Google Scholar](#)

---

DeAngelo, L. 1981. Auditor size and audit quality. *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 3 (3): 183–99.

[Google Scholar](#)

---

Dechow, P. 1994. Accounting earnings and cash flows as measures of firm performance: The role of accounting accruals. *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 18 (1): 3–42.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

---

Dechow, P., R. Sloan, and A. Sweeney. 1995. Detecting earnings management. *Accounting Review* 70 (2): 193–225.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

---

DeFond, M., and J. Jiambalvo. 1994. Debt covenant violation and manipulation of accruals. *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 17 (1-2): 145–76.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

---

DeFond, M., and K. Subramanyam. 1998. Auditor changes and discretionary accruals. *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 25 (1): 35–67.

This website utilizes technologies such as cookies to enable essential site functionality, as well as for analytics, personalization, and targeted advertising. You may change your settings at any time or accept the default settings. You may close this banner to continue with only essential cookies. [Privacy Policy](#)

Manage Preferences

Accept All

Reject Non-Essential

Deis, D., and G. Giroux. 1996. The effect of auditor changes on audit fees, audit hours and audit quality. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy* 15 (1): 55–76.

[Google Scholar](#) 

DeJong, D. 1985. Class-action privileges and contingent legal fees: Investor and lawyer incentives to litigate and the effect on audit quality. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy* 4 (3): 175–200.

[Google Scholar](#) 

Dopuch, N., and D. Simunic. 1982. *The competition in auditing: An assessment*. In *Fourth Symposium on Auditing Research, 401-50*. Urbana: University of Illinois.

[Google Scholar](#) 

Dopuch, N., R. King, and R. Schwartz. 2001. An experimental investigation of retention and rotation requirements. *Journal of Accounting Research* 38 (June): 93–117.

[Google Scholar](#) 

Francis, J., E. Maydew, and H. Sparks. 1999. The role of big-six auditors in the credible reporting of accruals. *Auditing: A Journal of Practice and Theory* 18 (2): 17–34.

[Web of Science®](#)  | [Google Scholar](#) 

Freeman, R., J. Ohlson, and S. Penman. 1982. Book rate of return and prediction of earnings changes. *Journal of Accounting Research* 20 (Autumn): 639–53.

[Web of Science®](#)  | [Google Scholar](#) 

Geiger, M., and K. Raghunandan. 2002. Auditor tenure and auditor reporting failures. *Auditing: A Journal of Practice and Theory* 21 (1): 67–78.

[Web of Science®](#)  | [Google Scholar](#) 

This website utilizes technologies such as cookies to enable essential site functionality, as well as for analytics, personalization, and targeted advertising. You may change your settings at any time or accept the default settings. You may close this banner to continue with only essential cookies. [Privacy Policy](#)

Manage Preferences

Accept All

Reject Non-Essential

[Google Scholar](#) 

---

Haskins, M., and D. Williams. 1990. A contingent model of intra-big eight auditor changes. *Auditing: A Journal of Practice and Theory* 9 (3): 55-74.

[Web of Science®](#)  | [Google Scholar](#) 

---

Hoyle, J. 1978. Mandatory auditor rotation: The arguments and an alternative. *Journal of Accountancy* 145 (5): 69-78.

[Web of Science®](#)  | [Google Scholar](#) 

---

Jones, J. 1991. Earnings management during import relief investigations. *Journal of Accounting Research* 29 (Autumn): 193-228.

[Web of Science®](#)  | [Google Scholar](#) 

---

Kinney, W., and L. McDaniel. 1996. How to improve the effectiveness of substantive analytical procedures. *CPA Journal* 66 (4): 52-4.

[Google Scholar](#) 

---

Klein, A. 2002. *Audit committee, board of director characteristics, and earnings management*. Working paper, New York University.

[Google Scholar](#) 

---

Knapp, M. 1991. Factors that audit committees use as surrogates for audit quality. *Auditing: A Journal of Practice and Theory* 10 (1): 35-52.

[Web of Science®](#)  | [Google Scholar](#) 

---

Krishnan, J. 1994. Auditor switching and conservatism. *Accounting Review* 69 (1): 200-15.

[Web of Science®](#)  | [Google Scholar](#) 

This website utilizes technologies such as cookies to enable essential site functionality, as well as for analytics, personalization, and targeted advertising. You may change your settings at any time or accept the default settings. You may close this banner to continue with only essential cookies. [Privacy Policy](#) 

Manage Preferences

Accept All

Reject Non-Essential

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

---

Narayanan, V. 1994. An analysis of auditor liability rules. *Journal of Accounting Research* 32 (Supplement): 39-64.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

---

Nelson, J., J. Ronen, and L. White. 1988. Legal liabilities and the market for auditing services. *Journal of Accounting, Auditing and Finance* 3 (3): 255-95.

[Google Scholar](#)

---

O'Keefe, T., R. King, and K. Gaver. 1994. Audit fees, industry specialization, and compliance with GAAS reporting standards. *Auditing: A Journal of Practice and Theory* 13 (2): 41-55.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

---

Palmrose, Z. 1989. The relation of audit contract type to audit fees and hours. *Accounting Review* 64 (3): 488-99.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

---

Reynolds, K., and J. Francis. 2000. Does size matter? The influence of large clients on office-level auditor reporting decisions. *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 30 (3): 375-400.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

---

Ronnen, U. 1996. The effects of mandated versus voluntary auditing policy on the quality of auditing. *Journal of Accounting, Auditing and Finance* 11 (3): 361-92.

[Google Scholar](#)

---

Shockley, R. 1981. Perceptions of auditors independence: An empirical analysis. *Accounting Review* 56 (4): 785-800.

This website utilizes technologies such as cookies to enable essential site functionality, as well as for analytics, personalization, and targeted advertising. You may change your settings at any time or accept the default settings. You may close this banner to continue with only essential cookies. [Privacy Policy](#)

Manage Preferences

Accept All

Reject Non-Essential

St. Pierre, K., and J. Anderson. 1984. An analysis of the factors associated with lawsuits against public accountants. *Accounting Review* 59 (2): 242–63.

[Google Scholar](#) 

Stice, J. 1991. Using financial and market information to identify pre-engagement factors associated with lawsuits against auditors. *Accounting Review* 66 (3): 516–33.

[Web of Science®](#)  | [Google Scholar](#) 

Subramanyam, K. 1996. The pricing of discretionary accruals. *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 22 (1-3): 249–91.

[Web of Science®](#)  | [Google Scholar](#) 

Subramanyam, K., and J. Wild. 1996. Going-concern status, earnings persistence, and informativeness of earnings. *Contemporary Accounting Research* 13 (1): 251–73.

[Google Scholar](#) 

Teoh, S., I. Welch, and T. Wong. 1998. Earnings management and the underperformance of seasoned equity offerings. *Journal of Financial Economics* 50 (1): 63–99.

[Web of Science®](#)  | [Google Scholar](#) 

Teoh, S., and T. Wong. 1993. Perceived auditor quality and the earnings response coefficient. *Accounting Review* 68 (2): 346–67.

[Web of Science®](#)  | [Google Scholar](#) 

U.S. Governmental Accounting Office (GAO). 1996. *The accounting profession major issues: Progress and concerns*. Report to the ranking minority member, Committee on Commerce, House of Representatives. Washington, DC: GAO.

[Google Scholar](#) 

This website utilizes technologies such as cookies to enable essential site functionality, as well as for analytics, personalization, and targeted advertising. You may change your settings at any time or accept the default settings. You may close this banner to continue with only essential cookies. [Privacy Policy](#)

Manage Preferences

Accept All

Reject Non-Essential

operations. Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office.

[Google Scholar](#) 

---

Warfield, T., J. Wild, and K. Wild. 1995. Managerial ownership, accounting choices, and informativeness of earnings. *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 20 (1): 61-92.

[Web of Science®](#)  | [Google Scholar](#) 

---

Watts, R., and J. Zimmerman. 1986. *Positive accounting theory*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.

[Google Scholar](#) 

---

Winters, A. 1976. Looking at the auditor rotation issue. *Management Accounting* 57 (9): 29-30.

[Google Scholar](#) 

## Citing Literature

[Download PDF](#)

### ABOUT WILEY ONLINE LIBRARY

[Privacy Policy](#)

[Terms of Use](#)

[About Cookies](#)

[Manage Cookies](#)

[Accessibility](#)

[Wiley Research DE&I Statement and Publishing Policies](#)

### HELP & SUPPORT

This website utilizes technologies such as cookies to enable essential site functionality, as well as for analytics, personalization, and targeted advertising. You may change your settings at any time or accept the default settings. You may close this banner to continue with only essential cookies. [Privacy Policy](#) 

[Manage Preferences](#)

[Accept All](#)

[Reject Non-Essential](#)

**CONNECT WITH WILEY**

The Wiley Network  
Wiley Press Room

Copyright © 1999-2026 John Wiley & Sons, Inc or related companies. All rights reserved, including rights for text and data mining and training of artificial intelligence technologies or similar technologies.

**WILEY**

This website utilizes technologies such as cookies to enable essential site functionality, as well as for analytics, personalization, and targeted advertising. You may change your settings at any time or accept the default settings. You may close this banner to continue with only essential cookies. [Privacy Policy](#)



**Manage Preferences**

**Accept All**

**Reject Non-Essential**