

# MODELLING AND FORECASTING STOCK MARKET VOLATILITY OF NASDAQ COMPOSITE INDEX

I Wayan Sunarya

STMIK STIKOM Indonesia

<http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5682-4074>

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.32493/eaj.v2i3.y2019.p181-189>

Keywords: ARCH, ARIMA, GARCH, NASDAQ, Stock

## Abstract

On the NASDAQ Composite Index from March 1971 to April 2019 it appears that the data is not stationary. For this reason, differentiation is needed by finding the value of stock returns from the NASDAQ Composite Index data from March 1971 to April 2019. After differentiating by looking for return values, the next analysis can be done, namely looking for the ARIMA model. Finding an ARIMA model using conventional analysis will require a long analysis time. So to shorten the analysis process using the EViews 10 statistical program. The results obtained after using the EViews program are getting the ARIMA model (8,0,6). The ARIMA model (8,0,6) was chosen because it has the smallest AIC value of 12,664073. This can be used as a reference later that the ARIMA model (8,0,6) is the best model in conducting forecasting. After that, the GARCH model is continued which aims to determine the ARIMA-GARCH model combination model. From the results of the analysis, it is known that the best model for forecasting the return value of the NASDAQ Composite Index is a combination of ARIMA (8,0,6)-EGARCH (1,1) models, which from the results of this analysis are known for fluctuating return values and index values for NASDAQ for one year in the future it is stagnant and does not show a trend.

## References

- Abounoori, E., Elmi, Z., & Nademi, Y. (2016). Forecasting Tehran stock exchange volatility; Markov switching GARCH approach. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and Its Applications*, 445, 264-282. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2015.10.024>
- Adam, K., Marcet, A., & Nicolini, J. P. (2016). Stock Market Volatility and Learning. *Journal of Finance*, 71(1), 33-82. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jofi.12364>
- Adhikari, R., & Agrawal, R. K. (2014). A combination of artificial neural network and random walk models for financial time series forecasting. *Neural Computing and Applications*, 24(6), 1441-1449. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00521-013-1386-y>
- Alemohammad, N., Rezakhah, S., & Alizadeh, S. H. (2018). Markov switching asymmetric GARCH model: stability and forecasting. *Statistical Papers*, 1-25. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00362-018-0992-2>
- Aue, A., Horváth, L., & Pellatt, D. F. (2017). Functional Generalized Autoregressive Conditional Heteroskedasticity. *Journal of Time Series Analysis*, 38(1), 3-21. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jtsa.12192>
- Bahamonde, N., Torres, S., & Tudor, C. A. (2018). ARCH model and fractional Brownian motion. *Statistics and Probability Letters*, 134, 70-78. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spl.2017.10.003>
- Bekaert, G., & Hoerova, M. (2014). The VIX, the variance premium and stock market volatility. *Journal of Econometrics*, 183(2), 181-192. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeconom.2014.05.008>
- Bentes, S. R. (2015). Forecasting volatility in gold returns under the GARCH, IGARCH and FIGARCH frameworks: New evidence. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and Its Applications*, 438, 355-364. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2015.07.011>
- Boubaker, S., Mansali, H., & Rjiba, H. (2014). Large controlling shareholders and stock price synchronicity. *Journal of Banking and Finance*, 40(1), 80-96. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbankfin.2013.11.022>
- Calmès, C., & Thöret, R. (2014). Bank systemic risk and macroeconomic shocks: Canadian and U.S. evidence. *Journal of Banking and Finance*, 40(1), 388-402. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbankfin.2013.11.039>
- Caporin, M., & Costola, M. (2019). Asymmetry and leverage in GARCH models: a News Impact Curve perspective. *Applied Economics*, 0(0), 1-20. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00036846.2019.1578853>
- Charles, A., & Darné, O. (2014). Large shocks in the volatility of the Dow Jones Industrial Average index: 1928-2013. *Journal of Banking and Finance*, 43(1), 188-199. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbankfin.2014.03.022>
- Chau, F., Deesomsak, R., & Wang, J. (2014). Political uncertainty and stock market volatility in the Middle East and North African (MENA) countries. *Journal of International Financial Markets, Institutions and Money*, 28(1), 1-19. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intfin.2013.10.008>
- Chtourou, H. (2015). Modeling and Forecasting the Dow Jones Stock Index with the EGARCH Model. *International Journal of Economic Practices and Theories*, 5(1), 51-61.

David, M., Ramahatana, F., Trombe, P. J., & Lauret, P. (2016). Probabilistic forecasting of the solar irradiance with recursive ARMA and GARCH models. *Solar Energy*, 133, 55â€“72. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.solener.2016.03.064>

Duqi, A., Franci, L., & Torluccio, G. (2014). The Black-Litterman model: The definition of views based on volatility forecasts. *Applied Financial Economics*, 24(19), 1285â€“1296. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09603107.2014.925056>

Eliyawati, W. Y., Hidayat, R. R., & Azizah, D. F. (2014). Penerapan Model GARCH ( Generalized Autoregressive Conditional Heteroscedasticity) untuk Menguji Pasar Modal Efisien di Indonesia ( Studi pada Harga Penutupan ( Closing Price ) Indeks Saham LQ 45 Periode 2009-2011 ). *Jurnal Administrasi Bisnis (JAB)*, 7(2), 1â€“10.

Enggar, N. L., Putriaji, H., & Zaenuri. (2015). Analisis volatility forecasting sembilan bahan pokok menggunakan metode Garch dengan program R. *Unnes Journal of Mathematics Education*, 4(2), 90â€“99.

Ferbar Tratar, L., & StrmÄnik, E. (2016). The comparison of Holt-Winters method and Multiple regression method: A case study. *Energy*, 109, 266â€“276. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2016.04.115>

Gokbulut, R. I., & Pekkaya, M. (2014). Estimating and Forecasting Volatility of Financial Markets Using Asymmetric GARCH Models: An Application on Turkish Financial Markets. *International Journal of Economics and Finance*, 6(4), 23â€“35. <https://doi.org/10.5539/ijef.v6n4p23>

Guang, T., Yu, L., Xiaoyan, L., & Tian, K. (2014). The Development of Market Economy in China. *Advances in Management & Applied Economics*, 4(4), 73â€“99. Retrieved from [www.scienpress.com/Upload/AMAE/Vol\\_4\\_4\\_7.pdf](http://www.scienpress.com/Upload/AMAE/Vol_4_4_7.pdf)

Guesmi, K., & Fattoum, S. (2014). Return and volatility transmission between oil prices and oil-exporting and oil-importing countries. *Economic Modelling*, 38, 305â€“310. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econmod.2014.01.022>

Hartaty, H., Jasanta, P., & Harjum, M. (2018). SYMMETRIC AND ASYMMETRIC SHOCK MODELS OF STOCK RETURN VOLATILITY IN. *International Journal of Civil Engineering and Technology (IJCIET)*, 9(8), 1034â€“1047. Retrieved from [https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Jasanta\\_Peranginangin\\_Peranginangin/publication/327365140\\_Symmetric\\_and\\_asymmetric\\_shock\\_models\\_of\\_stock\\_return\\_volatility\\_and-asymmetric-shock-model](https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Jasanta_Peranginangin_Peranginangin/publication/327365140_Symmetric_and_asymmetric_shock_models_of_stock_return_volatility_and-asymmetric-shock-model)

Huang, W., & Zhu, T. (2015). Foreign institutional investors and corporate governance in emerging markets: Evidence of a split-share structure reform in China. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 32, 312â€“326. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2014.10.013>

Hung, J.-C. (2011). Applying a combined fuzzy systems and GARCH model to adaptively forecast stock market volatility. *Applied Soft Computing*, 11(5), 3938â€“3945. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ASOC.2011.02.020>

Isida Mansaku, Saimir Mansaku, I. T. (2016). An empirical comparison of the major stock exchanges: NYSE, NASDAQ and LSE in Perspective. *Academic Journal of Interdisciplinary Studies*, 5(3), 406â€“415. <https://doi.org/10.5901/ajis.2016.v5n3s1p406>

Joukar, A., & Nahmens, I. (2015). Volatility Forecast of Construction Cost Index Using General Autoregressive Conditional Heteroskedastic Method. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 142(1), 04015051. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(asce\)co.1943-7862.0001020](https://doi.org/10.1061/(asce)co.1943-7862.0001020)

Kambouroudis, D. S., McMillan, D. G., & Tsakou, K. (2016). Forecasting Stock Return Volatility: A Comparison of GARCH, Implied Volatility, and Realized Volatility Models. *Journal of Futures Markets*, 36(12), 1127â€“1163. <https://doi.org/10.1002/fut.21783>

Katsiampa, P. (2017). Volatility estimation for Bitcoin: A comparison of GARCH models. *Economics Letters*, 158, 3â€“6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econlet.2017.06.023>

Kubilay, B., & Bayrakdaroglu, A. (2016). An Empirical Research on Investor Biases in Financial Decision-Making, Financial Risk Tolerance and Financial Personality. *International Journal of Financial Research*, 7(2), 171â€“182. <https://doi.org/10.5430/ijfr.v7n2p171>

Lahmiri, S., & Boukadoum, M. (2014). An Ensemble System Based on Hybrid EGARCH-ANN with Different Distributional Assumptions to Predict S&P 500 Intraday Volatility. *Fluctuation and Noise Letters*, 14(01), 1â€“10. <https://doi.org/10.1142/s0219477515500017>

Lama, A., Jha, G. K., Paul, R. K., & Gurung, B. (2015). Modelling and Forecasting of Price Volatility: An Application of GARCH and EGARCH Models. *Agricultural Economics Research Review*, 28(1), 73â€“82. <https://doi.org/10.5958/0974-0279.2015.00005.1>

LÄngkvist, M., Karlsson, L., & Loutfi, A. (2014). A review of unsupervised feature learning and deep learning for time-series modeling. *Pattern Recognition Letters*, 42(1), 11â€“24. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.patrec.2014.01.008>

Lin, Z. (2018). Modelling and forecasting the stock market volatility of SSE Composite Index using GARCH models. *Future Generation Computer Systems*, 79, 960â€“972. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.future.2017.08.033>

Liu, Q., Wong, I., An, Y., & Zhang, J. (2014). Asymmetric information and volatility forecasting in commodity futures markets. *Pacific Basin Finance Journal*, 26, 79â€“97. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pacfin.2013.10.007>

Marco, M. (2014). Financial Markets and Economic Growth. *International Research Journal of Finance and Economics*, (127), 83â€“89. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-6622.2012.00360.x>

Menggen, C. (2015). Article information :Risk-return tradeoff in Chinese stock markets: some recent evidence. *International Journal of Emerging Markets*, 10(3), 448â€“473. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/IJoEM-06-2012-0058>

Monfared, S. A., & Enke, D. (2014). Volatility forecasting using a hybrid GJR-GARCH neural network model. *Procedia Computer Science*, 36, 246â€“253. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2014.09.087>

Neama, N. H. (2016). Accumulated Remark Forecasting for American NASDAQ Stock Market by using Artificial Neural Network Models from 2006-2015. *Global Journal of Finance and Management*, 8(2), 143â€“151. Retrieved from [http://www.ripublication.com/gjfm16/gjfmv8n2\\_04.pdf](http://www.ripublication.com/gjfm16/gjfmv8n2_04.pdf)

Ngozi V., A. (2014). Testing volatility in Nigeria stock market using GARCH models. In *CBN Journal of Applied Statistics* (Vol. 5). Retrieved from <https://www.econstor.eu/handle/10419/144786>

Pilbeam, K., & Langeland, K. N. (2015). Forecasting exchange rate volatility: GARCH models versus implied volatility forecasts. *International Economics and Economic Policy*, 12(1), 127â€“142. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10368-014-0289-4>

Ramos, P., Santos, N., & Rebelo, R. (2015). Performance of state space and ARIMA models for consumer retail sales forecasting. *Robotics and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing*, 34, 151â€“163. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rcim.2014.12.015>

Salisu, A. A., & Isah, K. O. (2017). Revisiting the oil price and stock market nexus: A nonlinear Panel ARDL approach. *Economic Modelling*, 66(July), 258â€“271. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econmod.2017.07.010>

Sam Alan, W., Harminder, S., Sukanto, B., & Kuldeep, K. (2016). Invisible walls: Do psychological barriers really exist in stock index levels? *North American Journal of Economics and Finance*, 36, 267â€“278. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.najef.2016.01.006>

Sensoy, A., & Tabak, B. M. (2015). Time-varying long term memory in the European Union stock markets. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and Its Applications*, 436, 147â€“158. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2015.05.034>

Sharma, P., & Vipul. (2015). Forecasting stock index volatility with GARCH models: international evidence. *Studies in Economics and Finance*, 32(4), 445â€“463. <https://doi.org/10.1108/SEF-11-2014-0212>

Sinay, L. J. (2014). PENDEKATAN VECTOR ERROR CORRECTION MODEL UNTUK ANALISIS HUBUNGAN INFLASI , BI RATE DAN KURS DOLAR AMERIKA SERIKAT Vector Error Correction Model Approach to Analysis of the relationship of Inflation , BI Rate and US Dollar. *Jurnal Ilmu Matematika Dan Terapan*, 8(2), 9â€“18.

Tripathy, T., & Gil-Alana, L. A. (2015). Modelling time-varying volatility in the Indian stock returns: Some empirical evidence. *Review of Development Finance*, 5(2), 91â€“97. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rdf.2015.04.002>

Virbickaite, A., AusÅn, M. C., & Galeano, P. (2015). Bayesian inference methods for univariate and multivariate garch models: A survey. *Journal of Economic Surveys*, 29(1), 76â€“96. <https://doi.org/10.1111/joes.12046>

Wang, Y., Ma, F., Wei, Y., & Wu, C. (2016). Forecasting realized volatility in a changing world: A dynamic model averaging approach. *Journal of Banking and Finance*, 64, 136â€“149. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbankfin.2015.12.010>

Yanan, L., & David, E. G. (2014). Modelling Volatility Spillover Effects Between Developed Stock Markets and Asian Emerging Stock Markets. *International Journal of Finance & Economics*, 20(2), 155â€“177. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ijfe.1506>



Published  
2019-10-03

Issue  
[Vol. 2 No. 3 \(2019\): EAJ \(Economic and Accounting Journal\)](#)

Section  
Articles

License  
Authors who publish with this journal agree to the following terms:

1. Authors retain copyright and grant the journal right of first publication with the work simultaneously licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution License](#) that allows others to share the work with an acknowledgement of the work's authorship and initial publication in this journal.
2. Authors are able to enter into separate, additional contractual arrangements for the non-exclusive distribution of the journal's published version of the work (e.g., post it to an institutional repository or publish it in a book), with an acknowledgement of its initial publication in this journal.
3. Authors are permitted and encouraged to post their work online (e.g., in institutional repositories or on their website) prior to and during the submission process, as it can lead to productive exchanges, as well as earlier and greater citation of published work (See [The Effect of Open Access](#)).

<a rel="license" href="http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/"></a><br />This work is licensed under a <a rel="license" href="http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/">Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License</a>.

[Open Journal Systems](#)

Information

[For Readers](#)

[For Authors](#)

[For Librarians](#)



[View My Stats](#)

00121460



Platform &  
workflow by  
**OJS / PKP**