	SSRN & Subscribe a Browse Rankings Blog > Contact (https://www.ssrn.com/) Services paper (https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/ShoppingCa		
	Download This Paper (Delivery.cfm/SSRN_ID1685063_code336924.pdf?abstractid=1685063&mirid=1)		
Open PDF in Browser (Delivery.cfm/SSRN_ID1685063_code336924.pdf?abstractid=1685063&mirid=1&type=2)			
7	₹ Add Paper to My Library		
Sł	re: f 💆 🖾 🔗		
	oes the Market Value Innovative Investments? A Comparison of CAPEX ith Investments in R&D and IT		
	Pages osted: 30 Sep 2010		
	on Moltchanski (https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/cf_dev/AbsByAuth.cfm?per_id=1547923) niversity of New South Wales (UNSW)		
	Fouad Nagm (https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/cf_dev/AbsByAuth.cfm?per_id=1107889) UNSW Australia Business School, School of Information Systems, Technology & Management		
	Ronan Powell (https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/cf_dev/AbsByAuth.cfm?per_id=336924) University College Dublin (UCD) - Michael Smurfit Graduate School of Business		
[ate Written: July 30, 2010		
Abstract The paper examines the stock market's relative response to innovative investments using a novel dataset that tracks all R&D, IT and capital expenditures (CAPEX) made by Australian firms over the period 1994 to 2006. Continuous disclosure rules in Australia help ensure that the sample is less affected by endogeneity issues, where companies may 'select' which announcements to make, giving rise to a sample selection bias. We report positive and statistically significant cumulative average abnormal returns (CAARs) of between 3.03% and 5.24%, which are substantially higher than those reported by comparable US studies. Investments in R&D and IT generate higher CAARs, particularly on a value-weighted basis, indicating positive benefits to scale in undertaking innovative investments. On a per-dollar spent basis, innovative investments return, on average, about 85 cents per dollar, compared to 64 cents for CAPEX. Cross-sectional regressions show firm size, growth options, leverage, and investor uncertainty as key drivers in explaining announcement returns. Consistent with the view that innovative investments involve greater uncertainty for investors, compared to CAPEX, we report a significantly higher dispersion of investor opinion around their announcement, which also has a larger negative impact on their value. Collectively, the results suggest that markets do not react myopically to innovative expenditures. Our findings run counter to claims by some managers that markets are inherently myopic, and who use this perception to justify underinvestment in strategic-type investments. Keywords: Information technology, innovative investments, dispersion of investor opinion, abnormal returns, myopia JEL Classification: G3, Q3 Suggested Citation > Show Contact Information			
	Download This Paper (Delivery.cfm/SSRN_ID1685063_code336924.pdf?abstractid=1685063&mirid=1)		
	Open PDF in Browser (Delivery.cfm/SSRN_ID1685063_code336924.pdf?abstractid=1685063&mirid=1&type=2)		
	0 References		
	Fetch References		
)		
	0 Citations		
	Ψειμης τρακίες that are necessary to make our site work. We may also use additional cookies to analyze, improve, and personalize our content and your digital experience. For more information, see our Cookie Policy (https://www.elsevier.com/legal/cookienotice)		
	Do you have negative results from your research you'd like to share?		
	Cookie Settings Accept all cookies		

Paper statistics		
DOWNLOADS	259	
ABSTRACT VIEWS	1,622	
RANK	217,007	
72 References		
PlumX Metrics		
(https://plu.mx/ssrn/a/? Related ejournals ssm_id=1685963)		
Corporate Finance: Valuation, Capital Budgeting & Investment Policy eJournal (https://papers	s.ssrn.com/sol3/JELJOUR_Results.cfm?form_name=journalBrowse&journal_id=1508981)	
Innovation Finance & Accounting eJournal (https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/JELJOUR_Results.cf	m?form_name=journalBrowse&journal_id=2010022)	
Recommended Papers the Market for Corporate Control: The Scientific Evidence (https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=244158&rec=1&srcabs=1685063&pos=1) y Richard S. Ruback (https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/cf_dev/AbsByAuth.cfm?per_id=58677) and Michael C. Jensen (https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/cf_dev/AbsByAuth.cfm?er_id=9) lew Evidence and Perspectives on Mergers (https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=269313&rec=1&srcabs=1685063&pos=2) y Gregor Andrade (https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/cf_dev/AbsByAuth.cfm?per_id=38420), Mark L. Mitchell (https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/cf_dev/AbsByAuth.cfm?per_id=22879), to Managerial Objectives Drive Bad Acquisitions? (https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=979724&rec=1&srcabs=1685063&pos=3) y Randall Morck (https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/cf_dev/AbsByAuth.cfm?per_id=71368), Andrei Shleifer (https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/cf_dev/AbsByAuth.cfm?per_id=17066),		
View more > Feedback		
reeuback φ		
Submit a Paper > (https://hq.ssrn.com/submissions/CreateNewAbstract.cfm)	
SSRN Quick Links		
SSRN Rankings		
About SSRN	~	
f (https://www.facebook.com/SSRNcommunity/) in (https://www.linkedin.com/company/493409?		

(http://www.elsevier.com/)

Copyright (https://www.ssrn.com/index.cfm/en/dmca-notice-policy/)

Privacy Policy (https://www.elsevier.com/legal/privacy-policy)

All content on this site: Copyright © 2023 Elsevier Inc., its licensors, and contributors. All rights are reserved, including those for text and data mining, Al training, and

similar technologies. For all open access content, the Creative Commons licensing terms apply.

We use cookies to help provide and enhance our service and tailor content.

To learn more, visit Cookie Settings.

(http://www.relx.com/)

(https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/updateInformationLog.cfm?process=true)