

ADVERTISEMENT

c&en | WEBINARS



Scale-up and Production of Key Lipids for Nano Particles

RETURN TO ISSUE

< PREV ARTICLE NEXT >



[Get e-Alerts](#)

Technoeconomic Perspective on Natural Gas Liquids and Methanol as Potential Feedstocks for Producing Olefins

Arnab Dutta, Iftekhar A. Karimi*, and Shamsuzzaman Farooq*

✓ **Cite this:** *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 2019, 58, 2, 963–972

Publication Date: December 20, 2018

<https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.iecr.8b05277>

Copyright © 2018 American Chemical Society

[Request reuse permissions](#)

Article Views | Altmetric | Citations

1048 | 1 | 19

[LEARN ABOUT THESE METRICS](#)

Share Add to Export



Access Through Your Institution

Other access options

SI

[Supporting Info \(1\) »](#)

SUBJECTS: Alcohols, Hydrocarbons, Materials, Separation science, Water

Abstract



With increasing worldwide explorations of gas resources, in particular, shale gas, there will soon be an abundance of natural gas liquids (NGL) for exporting as potential feedstock for chemical production to countries deprived of natural gas (NG) reserves. Methanol, derived from NG or via CO₂ utilization as a measure to curb CO₂ emissions, is also a potential feedstock in chemical industries. We anticipate that a shift toward unconventional feedstocks in the future will make NGL and methanol the likely competitors as raw materials in the chemical sector. In this context, we present a technoeconomic perspective on two processes, NTO (NGL to olefins) and MTO (methanol to olefins), for producing olefins. Our analyses suggest that NTO is more profitable than MTO. It not only results in a 55% higher net present value but also yields a lower break even ethylene price compared to MTO. Accounting for the fluctuations in market prices, NTO is 14% more likely to be profitable than MTO. Thus, from an economic perspective, NGL appears to be a more attractive feedstock for producing olefins than methanol.

Read this Article

To access this article, please review the available access options below.



Purchase Access

Read this article for 48 hours. Check out below using your ACS ID or as a guest.

Purchase Access

[Restore my guest access](#)

Recommended



Access through Your Institution

You may have access to this article through your institution.

This website uses cookies to improve your user experience. By continuing to use the site, you are accepting our use of cookies. [Read the ACS privacy policy.](#)

CONTINUE

You may have access to this article with your ACS ID if you have previously purchased it or have ACS member benefits. Log in below.

Login with ACS ID

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the [ACS Publications website](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.iecr.8b05277) at DOI: [10.1021/acs.iecr.8b05277](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.iecr.8b05277).

- Reactions and kinetics information, process economics (costing methodology, cost correlations, and prices of raw materials, products, and utilities), process operating conditions, sensitivity analysis, and Monte Carlo simulations. ([PDF](#))



Terms & Conditions

Most electronic Supporting Information files are available without a subscription to ACS Web Editions. Such files may be downloaded by article for research use (if there is a public use license linked to the relevant article, that license may permit other uses). Permission may be obtained from ACS for other uses through requests via the RightsLink permission system: <http://pubs.acs.org/page/copyright/permissions.html>.

Cited By

Citation Statements **beta** 

Supporting  1	Mentioning  27	Contrasting  0
---	--	--

This website uses cookies to improve your user experience. By continuing to use the site, you are accepting our use of cookies. Read the ACS privacy policy.

CONTINUE

Future Opportunities. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research* **2024**, Article ASAP.

2. Juan D. Medrano-García, Vera Giulimondi, Amedeo Ceruti, Guido Zichittella, Javier Pérez-Ramírez, Gonzalo Guillén-Gosálbez. Economic and Environmental Competitiveness of Ethane-Based Technologies for Vinyl Chloride Synthesis. *ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering* **2023**, *11* (35), 13062-13069. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.3c03006>

3. Udayan Singh, Jennifer B. Dunn. Shale Gas Decarbonization in the Permian Basin: Is It Possible?. *ACS Engineering Au* **2022**, *2* (3), 248-256. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acseengineeringau.2c00001>

4. Joseph F. DeWilde, Christopher R. Ho, James Conner, Austin Smith, Alexey V. Kirilin, Andrzej Malek, Paul M. Witt. Kinetics of Direct Olefin Synthesis from Syngas over Mixed Beds of Zn–Zr Oxides and SAPO-34. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research* **2021**, *60* (39), 14166-14175. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.iecr.1c03246>

5. Md Emdadul Haque, Tarun K. Gupta, Peter Nazier, Srinivas Palanki. Techno-Economic Analysis of an Integrated Process Plant for the Production of 1,3-Butadiene from Natural Gas. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research* **2021**, *60* (30), 11187-11201. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.iecr.1c00864>

6. Alexey Pustovarenko, Alla Dikhtiarenko, Anastasiya Bavykina, Lieven Gevers, Adrian Ramírez, Artem Russkikh, Selvedin Telalovic, Antonio Aguilar, Jean-Louis Hazemann, Samy Ould-Chikh, Jorge Gascon. Metal–Organic Framework-Derived Synthesis of Cobalt Indium Catalysts for the Hydrogenation of CO₂ to Methanol. *ACS Catalysis* **2020**, *10* (9), 5064-5076. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.0c00449>

7. Dupeng Liu, Raghunath V. Chaudhari, Bala Subramaniam. Enriching Propane/Propylene Mixture by Selective Propylene Hydroformylation: Economic and Environmental Impact Analyses. *ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering* **2020**, *8* (13), 5140-5146. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.9b07224>

8. Tengjiao He, Yonghou Xiao, Qidong Zhao, Mengxue Zhou, Gaohong He. Ultramicroporous Metal–Organic Framework Qc-5-Cu for Highly Selective Adsorption of CO₂ from C₂H₄ Stream. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research* **2020**, *59* (7), 3153-3161. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.iecr.9b05665>

9. Nathaniel J. Berger, Christoph Pfeifer. Evaluating the profitability and global warming potential of manufacturing styrene-butadiene rubber with chemicals synthesized from bulk waste plastic and CO₂ emissions. *Sustainable Production*

11. Gabriel Lopez, Dominik Keiner, Mahdi Fasihi, Tuomas Koironen, Christian Breyer. From fossil to green chemicals: sustainable pathways and new carbon feedstocks for the global chemical industry. *Energy & Environmental Science* **2023**, 16 (7) , 2879-2909. <https://doi.org/10.1039/D3EE00478C>

12. Anant Gaurav Kedia, Arnab Dutta, Pankaj Kumar. Dimethyl Carbonate as a Cost-Effective Substitute of Methanol for Biodiesel Production via Transesterification of Nonedible Oil. *BioEnergy Research* **2023**, 16 (2) , 1134-1142. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12155-022-10509-y>

13. Junkang Sang, Yang Zhang, Jun Yang, Tao Wu, Luo Xiang, Jianxin Wang, Wanbing Guan, Maorong Chai, Subhash C. Singhal. Enhancing coking tolerance of flat-tube solid oxide fuel cells for direct power generation with nearly-dry methanol. *Journal of Power Sources* **2023**, 556 , 232485. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2022.232485>

14. Anirudh Parekh, Gauri Chaturvedi, Arnab Dutta. Sustainability analyses of CO₂ sequestration and CO₂ utilization as competing options for mitigating CO₂ emissions. *Sustainable Energy Technologies and Assessments* **2023**, 55 , 102942. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seta.2022.102942>

15. Santiago D. Salas, Lizbeth Contreras-Salas, Pamela Rubio-Dueñas, Jorge Chebeir, José A. Romagnoli. A multi-objective evolutionary optimization framework for a natural gas liquids recovery unit. *Computers & Chemical Engineering* **2021**, 151 , 107363. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compchemeng.2021.107363>

16. Ángel Galán-Martín, Victor Tulus, Ismael Díaz, Carlos Pozo, Javier Pérez-Ramírez, Gonzalo Guillén-Gosálbez. Sustainability footprints of a renewable carbon transition for the petrochemical sector within planetary boundaries. *One Earth* **2021**, 4 (4) , 565-583. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oneear.2021.04.001>

17. Iasonas Ioannou, Sebastiano C. D'Angelo, Antonio J. Martín, Javier Pérez-Ramírez, Gonzalo Guillén-Gosálbez. Hybridization of Fossil- and CO₂-Based Routes for Ethylene Production using Renewable Energy. *ChemSusChem* **2020**, 13 (23) , 6370-6380. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.202001312>

18. Matthew Bryan Leo, Arnab Dutta, Shamsuzzaman Farooq, Iftekhar A Karimi. Simulation and health monitoring of a pressure regulating station. *Computers & Chemical Engineering* **2020**, 139 , 106824. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compchemeng.2020.106824>

Partners

A⁺typon

CHORUS

C O P E

COUNTER
Consortium of Universities


Crossref

 **Crossref**
Similarity Check
Powered by iThenticate

ORCID
Connecting Research
and Researchers


PORTICO

 **ACS Publications**
Most Trusted. Most Cited. Most Read.

1155 Sixteenth Street N.W.
Washington, DC 20036
Copyright © 2024
American Chemical Society

About

About ACS Publications
ACS & Open Access
ACS Membership
ACS Publications Blog

Resources and Information

Journals A-Z
Books and Reference
Advertising Media Kit
Institutional Sales
ACS Publishing Center
Privacy Policy
Terms of Use

Support & Contact

Help
Live Chat
FAQ

Connect with ACS Publications

