



University Libraries  
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH CAROLINA

# Scholar Commons

[\(https://scholarcommons.sc.edu/\)](https://scholarcommons.sc.edu/)

[\(https://sc.edu/\)](https://sc.edu/)

[SENIOR THESES \(HTTPS://SCHOLARCOMMONS.SC.EDU/SENIOR\\_THESES\)](https://scholarcommons.sc.edu/senior_theses/)

[Vulnerability and Resistance: An Evaluation of Hostile Takeover Defenses Proposed By: Carissa Wilson, International Business & Business Economics \(https://scholarcommons.sc.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1050&context=senior\\_theses\)](https://scholarcommons.sc.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1050&context=senior_theses)

---

## Author

**Carissa Laurel Wilson** (<https://scholarcommons.sc.edu/do/search/?q=author%3A%22Carissa%20Laurel%20Wilson%22&start=0&context=1297624>)

## Date of Award

Winter 12-15-2015

## Degree Type

Thesis

## Department

Moore School of Business

## Director of Thesis

Thomas Hughes

## First Reader

Jessica Atwood

## Second Reader

Jessica Atwood

We use cookies that are necessary to make our site work. We may also use additional cookies to analyze, improve, and personalize our content and your digital experience. For more information, see our [Cookie Policy \(https://www.elsevier.com/legal/cookiepolicy\)](https://www.elsevier.com/legal/cookiepolicy)

[Cookie Settings](#)

[Accept all cookies](#)

## Abstract

Within the context of mergers and acquisitions ("M&A"), hostile takeovers comprise a complex and pivotal area of study. It is hostile takeovers, rather than friendly ones, that give rise to the need for most buy- and sell-side tactics related to strategic M&A. This paper focuses primarily on sell-side tactics, or "target defenses," used to deflect undesired takeover attempts and prevent changes in corporate control. It will attempt to present a high level, comprehensible, and comprehensive listing of defensive tactics in the target arsenal, with references to related case law.

Target defenses developed preemptively, or before an official takeover offer has been made, are often called "preventive defenses," while those created to combat a current, specified takeover attempt are dubbed "active defenses." Both types of defenses have been debated as regards both their effectiveness and, perhaps more interestingly, their acceptability according to the various constructs of managerial and general business ethics.

These constructs include, firstly, Milton Friedman's views on the business director's exclusive obligation to maximize shareholder welfare in all situations; it can be argued that directors who choose to fight a lucrative buyout offer using target defenses may be unethically depriving shareholders of possible gains to which they are unexceptionally entitled. Next, the business judgment rule describes circumstances in which directors are due certain decision-making license; in those cases where directors do not qualify for protection under the business judgment rule, they may be held liable in court for injury or perceived injury to the business and its shareholders.

This debate over the acceptability of target defenses has been voiced primarily through courtroom testimony and resulting judicial decisions. Through these decisions, a three-tiered framework has been built to assess the appropriateness of target defenses. Using this framework, the relevant court officials will evaluate (Wong 173):

1. Whether the target board acted in good faith when adopting the defense.
2. Whether the defense is preclusive or subject to heightened levels of judicial scrutiny.
3. Whether the target defense is proportional to the threat posed.

This paper will evaluate the context in which this framework was created. It will provide a timeline of landmark and similarly notable cases through which the framework was developed over time. Finally, it will comment on the development of the concept of judicial review in the field of target defenses in M&A.

## First Page

1

## Last Page

47

## Recommended Citation

Wilson, Carissa Laurel, "Vulnerability and Resistance: An Evaluation of Hostile Takeover Defenses Proposed By: Carissa Wilson, International Business & Business Economics" (2015). *Senior Theses*. 44.  
[https://scholarcommons.sc.edu/senior\\_theses/44](https://scholarcommons.sc.edu/senior_theses/44)

## Rights

© 2015, Carissa Laurel Wilson

[Download \(https://scholarcommons.sc.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1050&context=senior\\_theses\)](https://scholarcommons.sc.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1050&context=senior_theses)

2,517 DOWNLOADS

Since February 03, 2016



PlumX Metrics ([https://plu.mx/plum/a/?repo\\_url=https://scholarcommons.sc.edu/senior\\_theses/44&theme=plum-bigben-theme](https://plu.mx/plum/a/?repo_url=https://scholarcommons.sc.edu/senior_theses/44&theme=plum-bigben-theme))

Included in

[Business Administration, Management, and Operations Commons \(https://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/623\)](https://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/623), [Business Law, Public Responsibility, and Ethics Commons \(https://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/628\)](https://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/628), [Finance and Financial Management Commons \(https://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/631\)](https://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/631)

## Share



([https://www.addtoany.com/share?url=https%3A%2F%2Fscholarcommons.sc.edu%2Fsenior\\_theses%2F44%2F&title=%22Vulnerability%20and%20Resistance%3A%20An%20Evaluation%20of%20Hostile%20Takeover%20Defens%22%20by%20Carissa%20Wilson](https://www.addtoany.com/share?url=https%3A%2F%2Fscholarcommons.sc.edu%2Fsenior_theses%2F44%2F&title=%22Vulnerability%20and%20Resistance%3A%20An%20Evaluation%20of%20Hostile%20Takeover%20Defens%22%20by%20Carissa%20Wilson))

We use cookies that are necessary to make our site work. We may also use additional cookies to analyze, improve, and personalize our content and your digital experience. For more information, see our [Cookie Policy \(https://www.elsevier.com/legal/cookiepolicy\)](https://www.elsevier.com/legal/cookiepolicy)

[Cookie Settings](#)

[Accept all cookies](#)