POLICY FORUM

The Convention on Biological Diversity's 2010 Target

ANDREW BALMFORD, LEON BENNUN, BEN TEN BRINK, DAVID COOPER, [...] , AND BRUNO A. WALTHER   +23 authors   Authors Info & Affiliations

CHECK ACCESS

POLICY FORUM

The Convention on Biological Diversity's 2010 Target

Andrew Balmford, Leon Bennun, Ben ten Brink, David Cooper, Isabelle M. Côté, Peter Crane, Andrew Dobson,* Nigel Dudley, Ian Dutton, Rhys E. Green, Richard D. Gregory, Jeremy Harrison, Elizabeth T. Kennedy, Claire Kremen, Nigel Leader-Williams, Thomas E. Lovejoy, Georgina Mace, Robert May, Phillipe Mayaux, Paul Morling, Joanna Phillips, Kent Redford, Taylor H. Ricketts, Jon Paul Rodríguez, M. Sanjayan, Peter J. Schei, Albert S. van Jaarsveld, Bruno A. Walther

Most of the time, most of us behave as if our ongoing destruction of biological diversity and natural ecosystems has a net beneficial effect on our personal well-being. This is because it often has—locally, in the short term, and for people with the most power. However, when a longer-term view is taken, conserving biodiversity and the services it provides emerges as essential to human self-interest (1, 2). Representatives of 190 countries at the 2002 Johannesburg World Summit on Sustainable Development committed themselves to "...achieving by 2010 a significant reduction of the current rate of biodiversity loss at the global, regional, and national level..." (3). By adopting the 2010 target, governments are explicitly recognizing the value of biodiversity, setting goals for its conservation, and holding themselves accountable (4, 5).

These undertakings present conservation scientists with a great challenge. The 2010 target can only catalyze effective conservation if systems are in place to tell governments, businesses, and individuals

Author affiliation in order listed: Cambridge University and University of Cape Town; BirdLife International; Netherlands Environmental Assessment Agency (RIVM); Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) Secretariat; University of East Anglia; Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew; Princeton University; Equilibrium; The Nature Conservancy; Royal Society for the Protection of Birds and Cambridge University; Royal Society for the Protection of Birds; United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) World Conservation Monitoring Centre; Conservation International; Princeton University; University of Kent; Heinz Center for Science, Economics and the Environment; Zoological Society of London; Oxford University; European Commission (EC) Joint Research Center; Royal Society for the Protection of Birds (twice); Wildlife Conservation Society; World Wildlife Fund, USA; Instituto Venezolano de Investigaciones Científicas; Diversitas and Fridtjof Nansen Institute; The Nature Conservancy; Stellenbosch University; Cambridge University. The views expressed in this article are the authors' and do not necessarily reflect the views of the organizations to which they are affiliated.

*Author for correspondence. E-mail: dobber@princeton.edu

about the consequences of their actions. Yet we have so far identified only a fraction of the earth's biological diversity and have just a rudimentary understanding of how biological, geophysical, and geochemical processes interact to contribute to human well-being. How can we present our knowledge in ways that are useful to decision-makers and in time to contribute to achieving the 2010 target?

The Need for Indicators

Part of the answer lies in establishment of indicators of biodiversity and ecosystem functions and services that are rigorous, repeatable, widely accepted, and easily understood. Conservation scientists have a lot to learn in this regard from economists, who have long had a set of common and clear indicators for tracking and influencing market development. Recently, biologists adopted a similar approach by producing composite indicators from population time series data on widely studied groups such as birds and other vertebrates (3, 6–10). One of these, the U.K. Wild Bird Index, has already been adopted by the U.K. government as an indicator of quality of life and a measure of how well environmental policies are working (6, 11); because of well-understood links with farming practices (12), this index could soon be extended to the European Union (EU) to inform the reshaping of its Common Agricultural Policy (6).

The first step toward developing global indicators has already been taken. In early 2004, parties to the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) established a framework for assessing progress on the 2010 target [United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) (13); see table, p. 213]. For these indicators to gain wider scientific respect and be used more broadly, they will require continuing independent scientific assessment and input. In July 2004, the Royal Society (U.K.) invited more than 60 scientists from governments,

academia, and global and national conservation organizations (representing 15 countries) to a workshop designed to review the indicators and to explore how such input could be provided.

Workshop participants concluded that the 18 indicators already identified are likely to provide useful information but also will leave important gaps in our understanding of biodiversity loss. Additional indicators were proposed that could provide some of the missing information by 2010. A comprehensive set of indicators may need to be larger still [e.g., see 102 indicators for taking the pulse of U.S. ecosystems (14)]. However, workshop participants recognized that developing indicators would not be enough.

Broadening the Science

Fundamentally, we need to develop models that describe how the human, biological, physical, and chemical components of the earth system interact. Sketching the scope of such models (see SOM) brings home the fact that while we have little detailed and quantitative information on many components of the system, we know even less about how the linkages between them work. Developing models would guide data collection, help quantify how ecosystems benefit humans, clarify mechanisms by which activities and policies affect biodiversity and the services it provides, and allow improved projections about what might happen in the future. Part of the work of the Millennium Ecosystem Assessment (15) is to build models of this kind, but this effort needs to be continued and extended.

Most of the indicators so far under discussion deal with biodiversity per se and principally involve biologists. Studies linking socio-economic factors and geophysical and geochemical processes with biodiversity are relatively undeveloped. Given the contributions that biodiversity conservation will make toward alleviating poverty (16, 17), it is crucial that indicators and models address all components.

Reducing the rate of loss of a plant or animal species is only a step in the right direction and may not prevent extinction. Likewise, preventing further decline and even allowing modest recovery, for example, of a depleted fish stock, might not be sufficient to allow sustainable exploitation (18). Policy-makers may need to consider more ambitious targets, such as halting loss and restoring ecosystems. This was already accepted by the EU Council at its meeting in Göteborg, Sweden, in 2001 and by the European Environment Ministers at Kiev, Ukraine, in 2003 (19).

Access the full article

View all access options to continue reading this article.

CHECK ACCESS

File (balmford.som.pdf)

DOWNLOAD | 275.90 KB

References and Notes

1

Daily G. C., Ed. *Nature's Services* (Island Press, Washington, DC, 1997).

GOOGLE SCHOLAR

2

Balmford A., et al., *Science* **297**, 950 (2002).

CROSSREF • PUBMED • WEB OF SCIENCE • GOOGLE SCHOLAR

3

UNEP, "Report on the Sixth Meeting of the Conference of the Parties to the Convention on Biological Diversity (UNEP/CBD/COP/6/20/Part 2) Strategic Plan Decision VI/26" (CBD, 2002); available at www.biodiv.org/doc/meetings/cop/cop-06/official/cop-06-20-part2-en.pdf (2004).

GOOGLE SCHOLAR

4

European Council, "Presidency conclusions," Göteburg Council, 15 and 16 June 2001 (SN/200/1/01 REV1, EC, Brussels, 2001), p. 8.

GOOGLE SCHOLAR

SHOW ALL REFERENCES

www.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/307/5707/212/DC1

---

eLetters (0)

eLetters is a forum for ongoing peer review. eLetters are not edited, proofread, or indexed, but they are screened. eLetters should provide substantive and scholarly commentary on the article. Neither embedded figures nor equations with special characters can be submitted, and we discourage the use of figures and equations within eLetters in general. If a figure or equation is essential, please include within the text of the eLetter a link to the figure, equation, or full text with special characters at a public repository with versioning, such as Zenodo. Please read our Terms of Service before submitting an eLetter.

LOG IN TO SUBMIT A RESPONSE

No eLetters have been published for this article yet.

CURRENT ISSUE

Rapid model-guided design of organ-scale synthetic vasculature for biomanufacturing
BY ZACHARY A. SEXTON, DOMINIC RÜTSCHE, ET AL.

Pan-viral ORFs discovery using massively parallel ribosome profiling
BY SHIRA WEINGARTEN-GABBAY, MATTHEW R. BAUER, ET AL.

Gate-driven band modulation hyperdoping for high-performance p-type 2D semiconductor transistors
BY BEI ZHAO, ZUCHENG ZHANG, ET AL.

TABLE OF CONTENTS ›

LATEST NEWS

to Columbia University

'Dragon Man' skull belongs to mysterious human relative

opportunity?

U.S. National Academies adopts new business model to weather a financial storm

RELATED JOBS

Instructor, Research Faculty Appointment (RFA), Genetics

University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center
Houston, Texas

Chair, Department of Biophysics

The University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center
Dallas, Texas

Robotics - Open Rank Faculty

Mohamed Bin Zayed University Of Artificial Intelligence
Abu Dhabi (AE)

MORE JOBS ▶

View full text | Download PDF

FOLLOW US

GET OUR NEWSLETTER

NEWS

All News

ScienceInsider

News Features

CAREERS

Careers Articles

Find Jobs

Employer Hubs

COMMENTARY

Opinion

Analysis

Blogs

JOURNALS

Science

Science Advances

Science Immunology

AUTHORS & REVIEWERS

Information for Authors

Information for Reviewers

Subscription

Library Admin Portal

Request a Quote

Librarian FAQs

Custom Publishing Info

Post a Job

AAAS Communities

EurekAlert!

Science in the Classroom

Work at AAAS

Prizes and Awards

Access and Subscriptions

Order a Single Issue

Reprints and Permissions

TOC Alerts and RSS Feeds

Contact Us