

Document Preview

Copyright information

Copyright American Accounting Association Spring 1993

Access to the complete full text

This is a short preview of the document. Your library or institution may give you access to the complete full text for this document in ProQuest.

Full Text | Scholarly Journal

Corporate characteristics associated with master limited partnership formation

Terando, William D; Omer, Thomas C. The Journal of the American Taxation Association; Sarasota Vol. 15, Iss. 1, (Spring 1993): 23.

Full text preview

U.S. tax policy favors partnerships as organizational forms by double taxing corporate earnings. Until the early 1980s, the partnership tax advantage was offset by (1) the corporation's superior capacity for generating capital, (2) the administrative compliance burden for partnerships with many partners, and (3) high personal tax rates. By the mid-1980s these corporate advantages were mitigated because (1) partnership interests (units) with limited liability could be publicly traded so that in theory partnerships had as much capital generating power as corporations and (2) the highest personal tax rate was lowered below the highest corporate tax rate.

We use strictly necessary cookies which are required to run this site, as a result, users cannot opt-out of strictly necessary cookies. We also use non-essential cookies, which are used to enhance the user experience and to analyze site usage. You can choose to have the option of rejecting non-essential cookies by choosing **reject all**. If you chose to **accept all**, you will be providing consent for this site to use both strictly necessary and non-essential cookies. You can also opt-out of non-essential cookie preference by choosing **Manage cookie preferences**. You can read more about our **cookie policy**, **privacy notice**, and other privacy program information by visiting our **Privacy Center**.

In the late 1980s, the effect of taxes on the choice of organizational form generated a great deal of debate in the media and in Congressional subcommittees (e.g., Joint Tax Committee 1987; Forbes 1986; The Wall Street Journal 1987). One of the central issues was the tax treatment of master limited partnerships (MLPs). Policy makers were alarmed by the rapid increase in the sale of new equity MLPs after the Tax Reform Act of

Manage cookie preferences

reject all

Accept all

1986. Erosion of the corporate tax base was a major concern because policy makers believed that MLPs would be attractive to many firms in the corporate sector.

Advocates of corporate taxation for MLPs stressed changes in the Tax Reform Act of 1986 that made these entities more attractive than corporations for tax purposes and argued that increased investment in these entities was primarily tax motivated (Joint Committee 1987, J-9). Opponents of corporate taxation for MLPs argued that MLPs facilitated desirable economic and business goals that may have been enhanced by the tax advantages but were not primarily motivated by those advantages (Joint Committee 1987, J-10). The increase in the number of MLPs during 1985, 1986, and 1987 prompted Congress to impose corporate taxation on most new and already established MLPs...

Copyright © 2024 ProQuest LLC.

We use strictly necessary cookies which are required to run this site, as a result, users cannot opt-out of strictly necessary cookies. We also use non-essential cookies, which are used to enhance the user experience through analysis of your usage on this site. Users do have the option of rejecting non-essential cookies by choosing, **reject all**. If you chose to **accept all**, you will be providing consent for this site to use both strictly necessary and non-essential cookies. You can also manage your non-essential cookie preference by choosing **Manage cookie preferences**. You can read more about our [cookie policy](#), [privacy notice](#), and other privacy program information by visiting our [Privacy Center](#).

Manage cookie preferences

Reject all

Accept all