

Document Preview

Copyright information

Copyright C.D. Howe Institute Nov 2002

Access to the complete full text

This is a short preview of the document. Your library or institution may give you access to the complete full text for this document in ProQuest.

Full Text | Scholarly Journal

Tax preferences for education saving: are RESPs effective?

Milligan, Kevin. Commentary - C.D. Howe Institute Iss. 174, (Nov 2002): n/a.

Full text preview

In this issue...

Though popular, registered education savings plans and the related Canadian education savings grants are a needless, complex and ill-targeted way of supporting postsecondary education. More general tax relief of saving and redeployment of grant money would be simpler and could better improve access to postsecondary education for lower-income families.

The Study in Brief

Since 1998, the government of Canada has substantially expanded its support for registered education savings plans (RESPs) as a means of

subsidizing savings for young people's education. This Commentary examines RESP's both analytically and statistically to gauge their effectiveness; it finds several flaws in the program.

The primary economic effect of RESP's is to add needless complexity to

Canada's tax system. Through registered retirement savings plans (RRSPs) and other forms of tax-advantaged savings, Canadians already have access

[Manage cookie preferences](#)

[Reject all](#)

[Accept all](#)

We use strictly necessary cookies which are required to run this site, as a result, users cannot opt-out of strictly necessary cookies. We also use non-essential cookies, which are used to enhance the user experience through analysis of your usage on this site. Users do have the option of rejecting non-essential cookies by choosing [reject all](#). If you choose to [accept all](#), you will be providing consent for this site to use both strictly necessary and non-essential cookies. You can also manage your non-essential cookie preference by choosing [Manage cookie preferences](#). You can read more about our [cookie policy](#), [privacy notice](#), and other privacy program information by visiting our [privacy center](#).

to tax-exempt accrual of income. The addition of extra contribution room through the RESPs may attract savings that were destined for another tax-advantaged form, but is unlikely to generate new household saving. Even if RESPs encourage households to save through a "lockbox" effect, or through learning about the importance of saving, other government measures could activate these mechanisms more effectively than the RESP. Furthermore, the \$423 million the government expects to spend this year on Canada education savings grants (CESGs) -- the federal matching grants that accompany RESP contributions -- is a poorly targeted use of public money. The CESG payments end up disproportionately in high-income households. These payments do nothing to improve access to post-secondary education for Canadians from disadvantaged backgrounds. Families with children may deserve a tax break, but the narrowly targeted CESG is the wrong way to do it.

The Commentary recommends reallocating the funds now spent on CESGs into uses targeted more directly to deserving postsecondary students and rolling the RESP program into general...

Copyright © 2024 ProQuest LLC.

We use strictly necessary cookies which are required to run this site, as a result, users cannot opt-out of strictly necessary cookies. We also use non-essential cookies, which are used to enhance the user experience through analysis of your usage on this site. Users do have the option of rejecting non-essential cookies by choosing, **reject all**. If you chose to **accept all**, you will be providing consent for this site to use both strictly necessary and non-essential cookies. You can also manage your non-essential cookie preference by choosing **Manage cookie preferences**. You can read more about our [cookie policy](#), [privacy notice](#), and other privacy program information by visiting our [Privacy Center](#).

Manage cookie preferences

Reject all

Accept all