

< Did Credit Rating Agencies Make Unbiased Assumptions on CDOs?



American Economic Review

ISSN 0002-8282 (Print) | ISSN 1944-7981 (Online)

≡ Menu

Did Credit Rating Agencies Make Unbiased Assumptions on CDOs?

John M. Griffin

Dragon Yongjun Tang

AMERICAN ECONOMIC REVIEW VOL. 101, NO. 3, MAY 2011 (pp. 125–30)

Download Full Text PDF

Article Information

Abstract

We compare key CDO assumptions from two departments within the same rating agency but with different financial incentives. Assumptions made by the ratings division are more favorable than those by the surveillance department. The differences are not explained by collateral switching during the ramp-up period, a long time gap between reports, nor the collapse of the CDO market in 2007

This website uses cookies.

By clicking the "Accept" button or continuing to browse our site, you agree to first-party and session-only cookies being stored on your device to enhance site navigation and analyze site performance and traffic. For more information on our use of cookies, please see our Privacy Policy.



Griffin, John M., and Dragon Yongjun Tang. 2011. "Did Credit Rating Agencies Make Unbiased Assumptions on CDOs?" American Economic Review, 101 (3): 125–30.

DOI: 10.1257/aer.101.3.125

Choose Format:

Additional Materials
Online Appendix (1.28 MB)

JEL Classification

G21 Banks; Other Depository Institutions; Micro Finance Institutions; Mortgages
G24 Investment Banking; Venture Capital; Brokerage; Ratings and Ratings Agencies

Copyright 2024 American Economic Association. All rights reserved.

Terms of Use & Privacy Policy

This website uses cookies.

By clicking the "Accept" button or continuing to browse our site, you agree to first-party and session-only cookies being stored on your device to enhance site navigation and analyze site performance and traffic. For more information on our use of cookies, please see our Privacy Policy.

