



Contingent Valuation: Is Some Number Better than No Number?

Peter A. Diamond

Jerry A. Hausman

JOURNAL OF ECONOMIC PERSPECTIVES
VOL. 8, NO. 4, FALL 1994
(pp. 45-64)

Download Full Text PDF
(Complimentary)

Article Information

Comments (0)

Abstract

Without market outcomes for comparison, internal consistency tests, particularly adding-up tests, are needed for credibility. When tested, contingent valuation has failed. Proponents find surveys tested poorly done. To the authors' knowledge, no survey has passed these tests. The 'embedding effect' is the similarity of willingness-to-pay responses that theory suggests (and sometimes requires) be different. This problem has long been recognized but not solved. The authors conclude that current methods are not suitable for damage assessment or benefit-cost analysis. They believe the problems come from an absence of preferences, not a flaw in survey methodology, making improvement unlikely.

Citation

Diamond, Peter A., and Jerry A. Hausman. 1994. "Contingent Valuation: Is Some Number Better than No Number?" *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 8 (4): 45-64.

This website uses cookies.

By clicking the "Accept" button or continuing to browse our site, you agree to first-party and session-only cookies being stored on your device to enhance site navigation and analyze site performance and traffic. For more information on our use of cookies, please see our [Privacy Policy](#).

Accept

Choose Format:



JEL Classification

Q26 Recreational Aspects of Natural Resources

Find us on Facebook and X (formerly Twitter).

 @AEAJournals

 @AEAJournals

 @AEAInformation

 @ASSAMeeting

 @JOE_listings

Copyright 2023 American Economic Association. All rights reserved.

[Terms of Use & Privacy Policy](#)

This website uses cookies.

By clicking the "Accept" button or continuing to browse our site, you agree to first-party and session-only cookies being stored on your device to enhance site navigation and analyze site performance and traffic. For more information on our use of cookies, please see our [Privacy Policy](#).

Accept