[illegible] IP:178.214.4.5

airiti Library

[illegible]

The Performance of Fat Cat Portfolios with Companies Announced by the TWSE and the TPEx: A Stochastic Dominance Analysis

[illegible]

[illegible](Ting-Yu Lin)、[illegible](Teng-Shih Wang)、[illegible](Chuan-Hao Hsu)

[illegible] 27卷4期 (2015/12) Pp. 119-142

https://doi.org/10.6529/RSFM.2015.27(4).4

[illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]Cookies

[illegible]Cookies[illegible]
[illegible]Cookies[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

companies that perform poorly, but nevertheless continue to increase compensation for directors and supervisors. We compare the market performance of the fat cat portfolio relative to each of the many benchmark portfolios. Except for the case of the fat cat portfolio versus the value stocks, we find that there is no FSD relation between the fat cat portfolio and the benchmark portfolio. However, the fat cat portfolio second-order stochastically dominates all benchmark portfolios. Our conclusions are robust across the weighted methods of a portfolio, holding periods, test methods of stochastic dominance, strong economics, excluding outliers, and some sub-portfolios.

□□□□□

Directors and Supervisors' Compensation □ Long-Term Performance □ Stochastic Dominance □ Fat Cat

□□□□

Huang, S. Y., T. S. Wang, S. H. Chang, and S. R. Tsai (2015), “Self-Interested Board of Directors and Earnings Management in Loss Companies,” Review of Securities and Futures Markets, Vol.27, 59-98.

Airiti Library DOI Google Scholar

Abhyankar, A., H. C. Chen, and K. Y. Ho (2006), “The Long-Run Performance of Initial Public Offerings: Stochastic Dominance Criteria,” Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance, Vol. 46, 620-637.

DOI Google Scholar

Ammann, M., D. Oesch, and M. M. Schmid (2011), “Corporate Governance and Firm Value: International Evidence,” Journal of Empirical Finance, Vol.18, 36-55.

DOI Google Scholar

Barrett, G. F. and S. G. Donald (2003), “Consistent Tests for Stochastic Dominance,” Econometrica, Vol. 71, 71-104.

DOI Google Scholar

□□□□□Cookies

□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□Cookies□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□
□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□Cookies□

□□□□

□□□□□

□□□□□

□□□□□□□□2023□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□(77)□139-181□
https://doi.org/10.6552/JOAR.202307_(77).0004

Airiti Library Google Scholar DOI

□□□□□□□□□□□□2022□□□□□□□□□CEO□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□(75)□137-180□
https://doi.org/10.6552/JOAR.202207_(75).0004

Airiti Library Google Scholar DOI

□□□□□□□□2017□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□6()□83-100□
https://doi.org/10.6285/MIC.6(S3).08

Airiti Library Google Scholar DOI

□□□□

□□□□□□□□2000□□(46)□77-113□
https://www.airitilibrary.com/Article/Detail?DocID=10259627-200004-x-46-77-114-a

□□□□2022□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□
https://www.airitilibrary.com/Article/Detail?DocID=U0002-0606202221245300

□□□□2012□□□□□□□□□□□□─□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□
https://doi.org/10.6342/NTU.2012.01207

□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□2019□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□*31*(3)□79-124□
https://doi.org/10.6529/RSFM.201909_31(3).0003

□□□□2015□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□https://doi.org/10.6840/cycu201500321

□□□□□Cookies

□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□Cookies□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□
□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□Cookies□

□□□□

□□□□

Citations

Citation Indexes: 4

PLUMX View details

□□□□□□□□□□□ Airiti Inc.

234 □□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□80□18□

Email: lib@airiti.com

□□□□ : 70696235

□□□□ □□□□ □□□□□ □□□□ □□□□ □□□□□

□□□□□ □□□□□□□ □□□□□□□ DOI□□ □□□□ □□□□ □□□□□□ □□□□□□□□ □□□□□□□□□

© 2021 Airiti Inc. All rights reserved.

□□□□□Cookies

□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□Cookies□□□Cookies□

□□□□

□□□□□