<u>Home (/)</u> / <u>A-Z Publications (/content/publications)</u> / <u>Annual Review of Marine Science (/content/journals/marine)</u> / <u>Volume 7, 2015</u> (/content/journals/marine/7/1) / Article

ANNUAL REVIEW OF MARINE SCIENCE (/CONTENT/JOURNALS/MARINE) Volume 7, 2015-(/content/journals/marine/7/1)

Infectious Diseases Affect Marine Fisheries and Aquaculture Economics

Kevin D. Lafferty (/search?value1=Kevin+D.+Lafferty&option1=author&noRedirect=true&sortField=prism_publicationDate&sortDescending=true)¹, C. Drew Harvell (/search?value1=C.+Drew+Harvell&option1=author&noRedirect=true&sortField=prism_publicationDate&sortDescending=true)¹, Jon M. Conrad (/search? value1=Jon+M.+Conrad&option1=author&noRedirect=true&sortField=prism_publicationDate&sortDescending=true)¹, Carolyn S. Friedman (/search? value1=Carolyn+S.+Friedman&option1=author&noRedirect=true&sortField=prism_publicationDate&sortDescending=true)¹, Michael L. Kent (/search? value1=Michael+L.+Kent&option1=author&noRedirect=true&sortField=prism_publicationDate&sortDescending=true)¹, Armand M. Kuris (/search? value1=Armand+M.+Kuris&option1=author&noRedirect=true&sortField=prism_publicationDate&sortDescending=true)¹, Daniel Rondeau (/search? value1=Eric+N.+Powell&option1=author&noRedirect=true&sortField=prism_publicationDate&sortDescending=true)¹, Daniel Rondeau (/search? value1=Eric+N.+Powell&option1=author&noRedirect=true&sortField=prism_publicationDate&sortDescending=true)¹, Daniel Rondeau (/search? value1=Daniel+Rondeau&option1=author&noRedirect=true&sortField=prism_publicationDate&sortDescending=true)¹, Daniel Rondeau (/search? value1=Daniel+Rondeau&option1=author&noRedirect=true&sortField=prism_publicationDate&sortDescending=true)¹ and Sonja M. Saksida (/search? value1=Sonja+M.+Saksida&option1=author&noRedirect=true&sortField=prism_publicationDate&sortDescending=true)¹ View Affiliations

Vol. 7:471-496 (Volume publication date January 2015)

First published as a Review in Advance on September 12, 2014

© Annual Reviews

ABSTRACT

Seafood is a growing part of the economy, but its economic value is diminished by marine diseases. Infectious diseases are common in the oc ean, and here we tabulate 67 examples that can reduce commercial species' growth and survivorship or decrease seafood quality. These imp acts seem most problematic in the stressful and crowded conditions of aquaculture, which increasingly dominates seafood production as wil d fishery production plateaus. For instance, marine diseases of farmed oysters, shrimp, abalone, and various fishes, particularly Atlantic salm on, cost billions of dollars each year. In comparison, it is often difficult to accurately estimate disease impacts on wild populations, especially those of pelagic and subtidal species. Farmed species often receive infectious diseases from wild species and can, in turn, export infectious ag ents to wild species. However, the impact of disease export on wild fisheries is controversial because there are few quantitative data demonst rating that wild species near farms suffer more from infectious diseases than those in other areas. The movement of exotic infectious agents t o new areas continues to be the greatest concern.

Keywords

fish (/search?option1=pub_keyword&value1="fish"), abalone (/search?option1=pub_keyword&value1="abalone"), prawns (/search?option1=pub_keyword&value1="ord&value1="reading"), sea lice (/search?option1=pub_keyword&value1="sea lice"), extern ality (/search?option1=pub_keyword&value1="externality")

INTRODUCTION

An average person eats approximately half his or her weight in seafood each year. The 156 million metric tons (mmt) of total seafood from 201 1 landings had a farm production value exceeding US\$215 billion (FAO 2012), accounting for ~0.3% of the world economy. However, the seaf ood profits that go to wild fisheries are US\$50 billion less than they could be, because it takes more effort to maintain yield (Willman et al. 20 09). With fisheries unable to keep up with the growing demand for seafood, aquaculture has expanded and now exceeds fisheries production (FAO 2012). A larger role for aquaculture has led to more seafood production, competition between wild and farmed fish production, and incr eased trade. One major cost to aquaculture is marine infectious diseases. In this review, we begin by considering the infectious diseases known or suspected to have economic impacts, and then ask what economic c osts they impose and why. We next discuss the impacts of disease on fisheries and aquaculture. Throughout, we review ways to mitigate the e conomic losses to infectious disease. We illustrate these points with five case studies for which information exists on economics and disease management: Dermo in oysters, various diseases in abalone, white spot disease in shrimp, betanodaviruses in groupers, and several diseases in salmon.

WHAT MARINE DISEASES HAVE ECONOMIC CONSEQUENCES?

Marine diseases are a natural part of ocean ecosystems, and many have economic consequences for fisheries or aquaculture. Of the 67 examp les in **Table 1**, 25% are viruses, 34% are bacteria, 19% are protists, and 18% are metazoans; in terms of the hosts, 49% of the infectious agents affect fishes, 21% affect crustaceans, 28% affect molluscs, and 1% affect echinoderms. Most examples come from temperate waters, with a hi gher percentage from the Northern Hemisphere than from the Southern Hemisphere. Suffice it to say, many infectious agents cause significa nt marine diseases, and they infect a range of fished and cultured species.

Table 1

Representative marine disease agents with known or potential economic significance

<u>Toggle display: Table 1 ⊞</u>▼

Open Table 1 fullscreen * (/content/table/10.1146/annurev-marine-010814-015646.t1?fmt=ahah&fullscreen=true&lang=en)



Diseaseagent Abaonegangioneuritis virus (Victoria)	Cr as st a a n g ul at a iri d o vi ru s
Host Abalone (Haliotislaevigataand Haliotisrubra)	Oysters (Crassostrea angulata)

e a s e a g b g c n d t f t		D is	
s i a i a g s n s n t i r		e a	
a i H g i S n i J V i S r i J V i S re i I idi i V s i I e i V i i S i i S i i S i i S i i S i i S i i S i i S i i J i i J i i J i i J i i J i i J i i J i i J i i J i i J i i <t< th=""><th></th><th>s e</th><th>I</th></t<>		s e	I
o e s n i V S i V s i S re i id i i S re i id i i s re i r er i s j i r ru i a s i s i i a s i g Q i g V i a i i g V i a i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	н	a g	([
t t i O O I y st I s re I t id i e h I r er i s re i r er i s p i (es 9 (es 1 a s 1 s 1 i a s i g Q i g Q i g V i a i i g V i a i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i </th <th>0 S</th> <th>e n</th> <th>(</th>	0 S	e n	(
y st i y st i s re i t id i r id i r er i s p i id i i r er i s p i i er i s p i s i i s i i s i i g g i g V i a r.) i g V i a r.) i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	t	t	-
t id (e h r er (s p ; (es § C vi i r ru ; a s s 1 (s (i) o n ; s cl (tr u ; e di a n ; g g i μ (g V ; a a s r) § f i	y s	st re	
r er er s p i s p i c es ! r ru i a s 1 s 1 i s 1 i s i i s i i o n i g g i g Q i g V i a n i g V i a n i g V i a n i g V i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	t e	id h	(
(es 9 C vi i r ru i a S 1 s 1 i s 1 i s 1 i o n i s cl i di 1 i a n i g V j a n i g V j a n i g V j a i i s r) s j i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i <th>r s</th> <th>er p</th> <th>•</th>	r s	er p	•
r ru i a s I s 1 i s (i i o n i s (l i o n i s (l i e di I a n i g Q i g V i a a i s r) ! i i i j I i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i <th>(C</th> <th>es vi</th> <th>: i</th>	(C	es vi	: i
s 1 (i s (i 1 o n : s cl (i e di l a n : g g 1 i μ (i g V i a n : g V i a n : g V i a n : j 1 : i 1 : i 1 : i 1 : i 1 : i 1 : i 1 : i 1 : i 1 : i 1 : i 1 : i 1 : i 1 : i 1 : i 1 : <th>r a</th> <th>ru s</th> <th>i I</th>	r a	ru s	i I
o n : s cl i tr u : e di l a n : g g i g V i a n : g V i a n : g V i a n : g V i a n : j 1 : i 1 : i 1 : i 1 : i 1 : i 1 : i 1 : i 1 : i 1 : i 1 : i 1 : i 1 : i i : i i : i i :	s s	1 (i	(1
tr u i e di l a n i g g i j µ 0 g V j a i i s r) ! i i i	0 5	n cl	:
a n i g g 1 i μ (g V j a 1 s r) 1 j 1 i i i i	tr e	u di	i I
<i>μ μ g</i> V <i>a</i> 1 <i>s</i> r) <i>i</i> 1 <i>i i</i> <th>a g</th> <th>n g</th> <th>i 1</th>	a g	n g	i 1
Bacter	g a	μ V 2	,
7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 1 1	s)	r)	' ! 1
1 1 <td< th=""><th>,</th><th></th><th>1</th></td<>	,		1
1 1 <td< th=""><th></th><th></th><th>1</th></td<>			1
5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 6 6 6 7 1 6 7 1 6 7 1 6 7 1 1 6 7 7 1 1 6 7 7 7 7			1
6 1 1 1 1 1 6 6 7 1 6 7 1 6 7 1 6 7 1 1 6 1 1 1 6 7 1 1 1 1			: 1
 			(
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			I L
1 () () () () () () () () () () () () ()			ı İ
(1 1 (5 (1 1 1 (1 1 1 (1 1 1 (1 1 1 (1 1 1 (1 1 1 (1 1 1 1 (1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			1 (
Bacter			(
Bacter			1 7
Bacter			((
Bacter			1
Bacter			1
Bacter			(
Bacter			ı i
and the last of th	Ra	octe	li r

H o s t	Diseaseagent	• •
A b a l o n e (v a ri o u s)	With eingsyndromericketsia-like organism (VSRLO)	

H o s t	Diseaseagent	
Abalone (Haliotist uberculata)	Vi br io h ar v e yi	
Oysters (Crassostreagigas)	Vi br io tu bi as hi i	

		 	t	• 1 • 1 • † • • 1 • † 1 • 1 • • † • • • † • • • † • • • †
Diseaseagent	N o c ar di a cr as so st re a e	Vi br ta p et is	otis	er kn su sol se ni
Host	Oysters (Crassostreagigas)	C a m s (v a ri o u s)	Pr	i o II u s c s (V a ri o u s)

r s (C r as s o i	Host Oysters (Crassostreaving inica) Oysters (Ostreaedulis) Oysters (Ostreaedulis)	Diseaseagent Hapopoidium costale Bonamia exitiosa Bonamia otreae	
---	---	--	--

H o s t	Diseaseagent	• •
B i v a I v e s (v a ri o u s)	Mar teiliarefringens	
Oysters(Various)	Mikrocytos mackini	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

Host	Diseaseagent	
O y s t e r s (v a ri o u s)	H a pl os p or id iu m n el so ni	
S c a II o p s (P e c t e n m a x i m u s)	P er ki s u g w a di	

		D is e a s e	
		a	Ċ
	н	g	!
	0 5	e n	
	t	t	ī
	А	Те	١
	b	re	•
	a	br	:
	1	as a	1
	n	b	ì
	e	el	I
	(v	la h	
	a ri	et	i
	о	er	1
	u	0	٢
	s)	u n	•
		ci	• 1
		n	i
		at	1
		а	•
			1
			i
			T
			1
			ì
			ī
			i
			i
			•
			ι
			1
			1
			ī
			i
			•
			i
			;
			I
			1
-			Ľ
	Ur	ikne	DI

H o s t	Diseaseagent	1
С	Q	I
1	Ρ	(
а	X	1
m	(q	
S	u ə	
a	a h	Ĺ
ri	0	ļ
0	a	
u	p	i
s)	ar	(
	as	i
	it	I
	е	I
	u	1
	n	(
	k	
	n	(
	w	
	n)	
Cr ar	ust Is	a
Vi	ruse	9:

H o s t	D is e a s e a g e n t	
Shrimp(penaeid)	Baculovirus penaei	

H o s t	D is e a s e a g e n t	1 1 1
Shrimp(penaeid)	In fectioushy podermalandhe matopoieticne crosis virus	

Diseaseagent A paravius s pp.	Penaeus monodon-type baculovirus
Host Shrimp()enaeid)	Shrimp(penaeid)

	, ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ;
D is e a s e a g e n t	Whispoviusspp.
Host	Shrimp(penaeid)

 		\ { _ 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1 < 1	
D is e a s e a g e n t	O k a vi u s s p p.	P a n ul ir u s ar g u s vi ru s 1	A er ococcusviridansvar.homar i
H o s t	Shrimp(penaeid)	Lobsters(panulirid)	Ba L o b s t e r s (h o m a ri d)

H o s t	Diseaseagent	1 4 4
Shrimp(penaeid)	N e cr ot ic h e p at o p a n cr e at it is b a ct er iu m	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Shrimp(penaeid)	Vi br io p ar a h a e m ol yt ic u s otis	
a e i d)	e m ol yt ic u s otis	t

H o s	D is a s e a g e n	
C r a b s (v a ri o u s)	H e matodinu m s p p.	
Me ns	etaz	20

H o s t	D is e a s e a g e n t R	
rabs(various)	hizo cephalanbarnacles	
Crabs(Dungeness)	C ar ci n e m er te s er ra n s	

H o s t	Diseaseagent	
Fishes(herring)	Hemorhagic seticem ia virus	(i i i i i i
R e d s e a b r e a m s	Red seabe a findovirus] .] .]
P il c h a r d s	Pil c h ar d h er p es vi ru s	1 1 1 1 1 1

H o s t	Diseaseagent	1
S a I m o n	Infectious salmon an emiavirus	
S a I m o n	In fe ct io u s h e m at o p oi et ic n e cr os is vi u s	
Fi s h e s (v a ri o u s) Ba	A q bi rn a vi ru se s	

			1 1 1 1 1 1
D is e a s e a g e n t	A myloodiniu mocelatum	E d w ar d si el ta ta rd a	Vi br io s p p.
Host	Fishes ("arine")	Fl o u n d e r s	Fi s h e s (m a ri n e)

e a a a a a b a a a b a b a b a b a b a c a fi M c a fi b a a a a a a a a a a a b b a a a a a a a a a a a b b b b b b b b b b a b b b b b b b b b b b b b <td< th=""></td<>

1 4 9			
D is e a s e a g e n t	Photobacteriumdamselae	Te n a ci b a c u u m ar iti m u m	RenibacteriumsaImoninarum
Host	Fishes (marine)	Fishes (marine)	S a l m o n

Disiseaseagnis	• •] ; ; ;	
Host Salfon Salfon Yellovtaii Fishes(aire)	D is e a s e a g e n t	Vi br io sa I m o ni ci d a	A er o m o n sa I m o ni ci d a	N c di a se ri ol a e	C hl a m y di a-lik b a ct er ia
-	Host	S a m o n	S a I m o n	Y e II o w t a iI	Fi s h e s (m a ri n e)

1 ()		st	 	
D is e a s e a g e n t	L act oc co cc u s g ar vi e a e	otis	IC ht y p h o n u s h o f er i	Cryptocaryon irritan s
Host	Y e II o w t a iI	Pr	Fi s h e s (m a ri n e)	Fishes (marine)

Host Fishes(marine)	Diseaseagent Amyloodinumocelatum	
ns Fi	κ	١
s h e s (m a ri n e)	u d a p p.	(
S a I m o n	P ar vi c a p s ul a s p p.	1 (1) (2
Fi s h e s (m a ri n e)	Enter omyxumspp.	• • i † • • i • • i] • •

1	\ с I с \ i с е	1 () i ()	1 () i ()	
D is e a s e a g e n t	A ni sa ki d n e m at o d es	Cr y pt o c ot yl e li n g u a	M o n o g e n e a (v ar io u s)	E b ot hr iu m s p p.
Host	Fishes(marine)	Fishes(marine)	Fishes(marine)	S a I m o n



Nonseafood marine species can also have economic value that can be diminished by disease. For example, tropical aquarium fishes are mark eted through the pet trade, and corals, shells, and sea stars are sold as decorative pieces and souvenirs. Nontraded species can also have eco nomic value: Mangroves, seagrasses, and coral reefs provide valuable ecosystem services, such as supporting fisheries and protecting shoreli nes from erosion. Iconic marine species such as whales, dolphins, corals, sea stars, and sea otters support tourism, but people also value thei r existence irrespective of any commercial interest. Such "indirect use benefits" and "existence values," and the consequent losses from disea ses, are difficult to measure because of the absence of market prices or marginal harvest costs (**Costanza et al. 1998**, **Peterson et al. 2003**). T hus, although the infectious diseases of many marine species can have large economic impacts, we focus on seafood species.

ECONOMICS 101 FOR MARINE DISEASES

Economists use market data to estimate seafood's direct use value. Although the local economy that a fishery or aquaculture industry suppor ts is important to workers and residents—some estimates place the indirect seafood economy at three times the direct economic value (**Dyck** <u>& Sumaila 2010</u>)—the wages received by workers are also a cost to the vessel owner or processing plant and therefore do not represent a fish ery's true economic value. For example, money spent on processing infected fish fillets or vaccinating farmed salmon leads to employment a nd trade but diminishes profits. Specifically, the net economic benefit is the value to society from seafood harvest or production less the seaf ood harvest or production cost.

Because fish stocks are exploited over time, a fishery has its highest economic value when it maximizes the discounted value of present and f uture net benefits. At some point, the cost of catching another fish outweighs its value. For instance, increasing the harvest could decrease a s tock's growth rate, thereby increasing future harvesting costs. Infectious diseases could change the optimal strategy: If a healthy fish is going to die before it spawns, one might benefit from harvesting it (<u>Conrad & Rondeau 2014</u>).

Marine diseases can impact a species' economic value in two ways. The first comes from the reduction in potential catch resulting from decre ased biological productivity, which can arise from increased mortality, slower growth, immune defenses invested by hosts, and host response s to infection that harm both the hosts and the infectious agents. The second comes from a bad taste or appearance or from risks (or assumed risks) to human health. Not surprisingly, consumers avoid seafood if they can see or taste parasites. In some extreme cases, diseased animals, like crabs with bitter crab disease, lose all commercial value. Sorting out diseased crabs from the catch increases harvesting costs and thus re duces the total catch's net economic worth. Several infectious agents reduce the market value of infected muscle tissue, including sealworm l arvae in the flesh of cod, pollock, and herring (<u>McClelland 2002</u>); visible myxozoans and postmortem myoliquefaction associated with *Kudoa thyrsites* (<u>Moran et al. 1999</u>); the ichthyosporean genus *lchthyophonus* (<u>White et al. 2013</u>); and the hyperparasite *Urosporidium spisuli* in ne matodes parasitizing surfclams (<u>Perkins et al. 1975</u>). Dealing with these infectious agents increases processing costs and thus reduces seafo od's net value.

Negative externalities interest economists and challenge management. A negative externality occurs when a firm or individual impairs the pro ductivity or welfare of others, such as when an aquaculture farm exports an infectious disease that then impacts a fished stock. Negative exte rnalities are difficult to manage because neither the creator of the externality nor decision makers face external costs, and thus they have no direct incentives to take corrective measures. Therefore, controlling or managing a marine disease with an external effect might include coor dinating individuals, firms, and government agencies.

FISHERIES AND INFECTIOUS DISEASES

The most obvious way to reduce marine diseases is to increase the harvest. Fishing reduces host density and can break the transmission of ho st-specific, density-dependent infectious diseases (<u>Amundsen & Kristoffersen 1990</u>, <u>Dobson & May 1987</u>, <u>Kuris & Lafferty 1992</u>, <u>Ward & La</u> <u>fferty 2004</u>, <u>Wood et al. 2010</u>). This does not mean that overfishing is in a fishery's best interest; rather, as a fishery collapses, host-specific in fectious diseases should, in theory, become a relatively minor problem.

Nonetheless, some fishing activities can increase infectious diseases. One example would be a fishery restoration effort that unknowingly out planted infected abalone (Friedman & Finley 2003). Another example concerns fisheries that release infected individuals. Even a small amou nt of crabmeat infected with bitter crab disease will contaminate an entire can; crabbers can distinguish most healthy from infected crabs an d, to avoid contaminating the catch, often throw infected crabs overboard while moving to a new fishing ground (Taylor & Kahn 1995), sprea ding the dinoflagellate that causes the disease. Similarly, Australian pilchards imported for bait might have introduced a herpesvirus linked to mass pilchard mortalities (Whittington et al. 1997). Fisheries should therefore assume that stocks carry infectious agents and be cautious ab out moving them.

Fisheries can also indirectly increase infectious diseases in nontarget species. An example is the California spiny lobster fishery: This fishery is profitable and sustainable, but lobster fishing can indirectly increase lobster prey, such as purple and red sea urchins, leading to compensato ry increases in epizootics that reduce sea urchin populations, including commercially valuable species (Lafferty 2004). Here, the lobster fisher ry might benefit the red sea urchin fishery by reducing predation on urchins, but it also fosters epizootics, so the net effect on the urchin fisher ry is unclear. Whether their effects are indirect or direct, fisheries have commensurate impacts on infectious disease dynamics through their i nfluence on host population dynamics.

AQUACULTURE AND INFECTIOUS DISEASES

Wild stocks support many infectious agents, which can enter aquaculture farms through water intake, feed, or infected broodstock. As a resul t, most infectious diseases in farmed hosts come from wild hosts in the surrounding waters (Kurath & Winton 2011, McVicar 1997, Saksida e tal. 2014) (Figure 1). Regardless of the disease source, new aquaculture species can be hit by series of infectious diseases over time. For inst ance, Japanese yellowtail (*Seriola quinqueradiata*) culture became industrialized around 1961; vibriosis became a problem in 1963, nocardio sis and ichthyphoniasis in 1967, pseudotuberculosis in 1969, streptococcosis in 1974, lymphocystis in 1975, and so on (Egusa 1983). Aquacult ure's history is one of victories over diseases followed by new challenges.

Figure 1

Infectious disease interactions between wild and farmed stocks.

			Export from wild to farmed sp	ecies
		No	Maybe	Yes
	Yes	Abalone gaoglioneunits virus Aqualimasiruses Terebraudela beteranociuste (California)	Nodaviruses	Arrywniar solwoniside Anglodinian welletron Bosennis exilian Hafogordian centel Hafogordian netwei Perkines wariour QPX (qualoog parsite unknown) Sea lite (valucan) Vibris tapetir Wibiperins spp. Withering syndrome ricketsia-like oorganian (WS-RLO)
Export from farmed to wild species	Maybe	Cryptocaryon irritans	Aparatiran spp. Rankeiran yorari Colonyidh-like hacteria Consottra angular iridavirus Economica angular iridavirus Infections hematopositeit necrosis virus Infections silmon anemia virus Lastowen garvine Lastowen garvine Lastowen garvine Lastowen garvine Lastowen garvine Lastowen garvine Morella refringen Morella refringen Morella refringen Morella refringen Normilo midde Oberiven spp. Osterish hergeorines I påre Portiogente spp. Phenos madow-type baculovirus (tuda dy hechtorica) Phylicar stead Phylosicar stead Red sea bream indovirus Real sea bream indovirus False barrent findovirus False barrent findovirus	kibilyophenar bafori Myookuurtana app. Perkiense gagaadi
	No	An isakid nematudes Cryptosopic lingua Rabaderium spp. Hemorrhogic sequicentia virus Kindos typ. Neuroliti esquisentia virus Revibucerium rabassiniarram Vitivia rabassiniarram Vitivia rabassinida	Caligar spp. Sea lice (cod)	Terebrandvilla hettervansinsta (South Mrica)

Annu. Rev. Mar. Sci. 7:471–96

Click to view

(/docserver/fulltext/marine/7/1/ma70471.f1.gif) Download as PowerPoint (/docserver/fulltext/marine/7/1/ma70471.f1.ppt?mimeT ype=application/vnd.ms-powerpoint)

Infectious diseases that might not normally affect wild hosts can become problematic in aquaculture. Farmed stock is often not coevolved wit h local infectious agents, and this can lead to unpredictable outcomes. For example, local *Streptococcus iniae* (bacterial) strains tend to be m ore pathogenic to imported, naive fishes than to local wild fishes (**Colorni et al. 2002**). Another factor that makes aquaculture susceptible to disease outbreaks is the high stocking density of monocultures, which increases host contact rates and stress and reduces water quality (**Ken t 2000**). These conditions are perfect for opportunistic bacterial infections by ubiquitous marine pseudomonads and vibrios (especially *Vibrio anguillarum*) (**Sinderman 1984**). By contrast, infectious agents with complex life cycles should do worse in simplified aquaculture settings th an in the wild, where intermediate hosts are present.

To stay profitable, farms must invest in prevention and treatment. Preventing opportunistic diseases requires good water quality and modera te stocking density (**Sinderman 1984**). Farms can also treat stocks with pesticides and antibiotics. However, few therapeutants are registered for use in aquaculture in many countries owing to the expense of obtaining governmental approval combined with the small markets and pot ential health risks for consumers (**Friedman et al. 2003**, **Morrison & Saksida 2013**). Fortunately, vaccines for viruses and bacteria can be effective for finfish, which possess an adaptive immune response, and immunostimulants have shown some promise in invertebrates (**Smith et a L 2003**). For example, furunculosis and vibriosis were devastating to salmon cage culture in the 1980s and 1990s (**McVicar 1997**), but after vac cine use, these conditions became almost nonexistent, reducing the need for antibiotics (**Lillehaug et al. 2003**). Aquaculturists also minimize disease impacts by using frozen or dried feed that limits food-borne disease transmission, separating wild-caught foundational stock from cul tured offspring, and growing disease-resistant genetic stocks. With good management, the added mortality from opportunistic infections can be minor in aquaculture, and the costs of reduced food conversion and growth associated with chronic infections then become the important concerns (**Scholz 1999**). In addition, the routine cost of using pesticides, vaccines, and therapeutics, for either prophylaxis or treatment, is su bstantial (**Asche & Bjørndal 2011**, **Dixon 2012**). As such, aquaculturists must balance the costs of prevention and treatment against those of decreased production and value.

WHEN MARINE DISEASES MOVE FROM AQUACULTURE TO WILD SPECIES

One promise of aquaculture was that it would relieve fishing pressure on declining wild stocks and help to restore ocean ecosystems. This has happened to some extent, but aquaculture has also led to the escape of exotic species, eutrophication, habitat destruction, the conversion of scarce protein feed to luxury commodities, and the spread of marine diseases (**Diana 2009**). In **Figure 1**'s tabulation of marine diseases of ec onomic importance, 45 of 57 infectious agents found in aquaculture are or might be exported from farms to wild species. Whether disease ex port affects wild species depends on the quantity, location, and nature of the exported infectious agent combined with host susceptibility, res istance, and tolerance.

Infectious agents can build up on farms with poor management, but good management can also foster infectious agents if farms manage for host tolerance to infection. In California, abalone farms can maintain red abalone infected with withering syndrome rickettsia-like organism (WS-RLO) in locations with cool temperatures. Although bacterial loads and transmission are low at these temperatures (**Braid et al. 2005**, **Fri edman & Finley 2003**), tolerant red abalone might become sources of WS-RLO for wild (and endangered) black abalone if farms discharge eff luent into abalone habitats (**Lafferty & Ben-Horin 2013**).

Where farming fosters disease transmission, infective stages should be higher in farm outflow than in farm inflow, making aquaculture a net e xporter of infectious disease to wild stocks. Currents, outflow flushing, and infective-stage longevity determine the dispersal kernel of the dis ease plume. For example, a strong DNA signal of WS-RLO from an abalone farm discharge pipe quickly dissipates within less than one to a few kilometers of the coastline (Lafferty & Ben-Horin 2013).

Whether farm effluent containing an infectious agent affects wild stocks depends on many factors. The extent to which an effluent plume reac hes wild species that are sensitive to infection is the most obvious concern. As discussed below, siting salmon pens in areas where wild smolt s return to the ocean is controversial for this reason. However, wild stocks are often adapted to their infectious agents, and the population-lev el consequences of increased exposure to most native pathogens should be mild (**Jackson et al. 2013**), although increased exposure to exoti c or introduced pathogens is obviously a danger to wild stocks. Regardless, the economic impacts are difficult to document, as exemplified by sea lice on salmon, because there is not enough baseline information on infectious diseases in wild stocks to assess the net effect of disease e xport from aquaculture (**Kent et al. 1998**). Siting aquaculture away from the habitats of susceptible hosts and developing more rigorous best practices are obvious ways to reduce potential externalities from aquaculture, but this can carry significant economic costs to aquaculture.

The stakes increase when aquaculture releases infectious agents that have evolved in culture (Kurath & Winton 2011). In farms, susceptible h osts are always available, minimizing a key cost to the parasite of harming the host. A possible example is infectious salmon anemia, a viral di sease of farmed Atlantic salmon that is thought to be nonpathogenic in wild fish but is highly pathogenic in farms (Raynard et al. 2007). On t he one hand, adaptation to the water quality, host type, and treatment regime within a farm should select for infectious agents that become maladapted to the wild; on the other hand, high culture densities could create a bridge that allows exotic and maladapted infectious agents ti me to adapt to the farm environment and eventually establish themselves in the wild. Alternatively, culture-adapted infectious agents might cause suboptimal pathologies in naive wild hosts, leading to greater impacts than is seen in coevolved host-parasite relationships. Either way, this is a large knowledge gap, and the outcome is difficult to predict.

Impacts on wild species are easier to document when aquaculture moves farmed species and their infectious agents. One such infectious age nt is *Bonamia ostreae*, which was introduced in Europe in the late 1970s through infected flat oyster spat from California, spread throughout Europe as oysters were transferred to different growing areas, and spilled over into wild oysters (**Friedman et al. 1989**). The spread of these k inds of novel diseases has led to regulations on moving cultured species between different areas; most often, new species are certified free of specific infectious agents before import. For instance, limiting translocations to egg stages keeps most infectious agents from spreading (**Ken t & Kieser 2003**). Of the infectious agents that slip through the screening process, few will persist in wild stocks (**Kennedy et al. 1991**). For th ose that do, however, the local stocks will often lack an evolutionary history with the infectious agent, leading to substantial disease (**Johans en et al. 2011**, **Johnsen & Jensen 1991**). Although disease spread from aquaculture has declined with better management, aquaculture still contributes to outbreaks of novel infectious agents (**Raynard et al. 2007**), such as *Haplosporidium nelsoni* (introduced by Pacific oysters fro m Japan to eastern oysters in the United States) (**Burreson et al. 2000**) and the sabellid worm *Terebrasabella heterouncinata* (introduced fro m South African abalone to Californian abalone) (**Kuris & Culver 1999**).

CASE STUDIES

Dermo in Oysters

The oyster culture industry has moved oyster diseases around the world, and several of these diseases have led to substantial mortality and e conomic impacts (**Sinderman 1984**). Most of the cost of oyster diseases falls on aquaculture because the 4.5 mmt produced via aquaculture (valued at US\$3.7 billion) towers over the current 200,000 mt per year of wild harvest (**FAO 2012**).

Perkinsus marinus is a parasite that causes Dermo disease in adult eastern oysters (*Crassostrea virginica*). This apicomplexan protozoan, whic h is related to dinoflagellates, has a swimming zoospore. Under experimental conditions, zoospores are capable of initiating infections; howe ver, they are not as infective as vegetative stages (**Chu 1996**, **Perkins 1988**), and their role in transmission under natural conditions is unknow n. The parasites are acquired through feeding and often colonize digestive epithelia before entering the hemolymph and becoming systemic. The parasite is usually found intracellularly in hemocytes (**Bushek et al. 1997**, **Mackin 1951**), where it proliferates. The parasite is released int o the water with host feces or when the host dies and decomposes (**Bushek et al. 2002**). Prevalence varies in time and space, but it is not unu sual for most oysters to be infected (**Figure 2**).

Figure 2

Average Dermo prevalence for bays sampled in the winter, when prevalences are normally near yearly lows, by the NOAA Status and Trends Mussel Watch program from 1995 to 2010. For details of the sampling program, see <u>Kim & Powell (2007)</u>.



🔣 Annu. Rev. Mar. Sci. 7:471–96

Click to view

(/docserver/fulltext/marine/7/1/ma70471.f2.gif) Download as PowerPoint (/docserver/fulltext/marine/7/1/ma70471.f2.ppt?mimeT ype=application/vnd.ms-powerpoint)

Dermo was first identified in the late 1940s in the northwestern Gulf of Mexico (**Ray & Chandler 1955**) and shortly thereafter was recorded as f ar north as Chesapeake Bay. Beginning around 1990, the parasite's range expanded into the Gulf of Maine (**Ford & Chintala 2006**). Warm wint ers and droughts (**Powell et al. 1996**), along with El Niño–Southern Oscillation cycles in the Gulf of Mexico and Northern Atlantic Oscillation c ycles in the Mid-Atlantic (**Soniat et al. 2009**), are implicated in triggering Dermo epizootics.

During epizootics, Dermo can reduce oysters' carrying capacity fivefold (**Powell et al. 2009**). In the Mid-Atlantic region, Dermo has absorbed at least two-thirds of the surplus production available to the fishery (**Bushek et al. 2012**, **Powell et al. 2011**), severely limiting the sustainabl e harvest throughout this region (**Mann & Powell 2007**). In Delaware Bay, with roughly 2,000 mt landed, the total potential ex-vessel economi c loss to Dermo equates to approximately US\$6 million per year. Dermo is likely to be a long-term cost for oyster growers because resistance h as been slow to evolve owing to susceptible oysters persisting in low-salinity refuges (**Powell et al. 2012b**).

Dermo impacts more than the oyster industry. Oysters build reefs that attract more oysters and reef-associated species that both support fish eries and contribute ecosystem services such as shoreline wave barriers and natural filtration. Dermo-infected reefs recede, and this is abette d by overfishing of the diseased stock (**Powell et al. 2012a**). Thus, the restriction on stock production imposed by Dermo can explain much of the oyster reef loss in the Gulf of Mexico and Mid-Atlantic (**Beck et al. 2011**). Only one program is testing the management of a Dermo-infecte d population under the dual requirement of a sustainable stock and habitat (**Soniat et al. 2012**).

Dermo also complicates those oyster restoration efforts that focus on creating unfished oyster sanctuaries (**Paynter et al. 2010**). Most sanctu aries are in the lower-salinity (<12‰) reaches of estuaries (**Paynter et al. 2010**) because these areas have higher survival rates from disease. This strategy protects susceptible genotypes (**Ford et al. 2012**) whose offspring recruit down-estuary, where they become infected with Derm o, helping the disease persist in the system (**Munroe et al. 2012**). If the evolution of resistant genotypes is a long-term fisheries management goal, one would instead fish upper-estuary sites to select against susceptible genotypes and protect resistant survivors in the lower estuaries, but implementing this strategy is not an easy sell (**Carlsson et al. 2008**, **Munroe et al. 2013**).

Current and future research on Dermo is focusing on the development of disease-resistant genotypes in oysters and the identification of loci c ontributing to genetic resistance (Abbe et al. 2010, He et al. 2012, Powell et al. 2012b), the development and testing of new management pr actices to retain carbonate balance in fished populations burdened by the disease (Soniat et al. 2012), and the application of classic transmi ssion dynamics models to investigate the influence of natural and anthropogenic changes on the dynamics of the disease process. The appro ach in most of these studies is to develop new ways to sustain oyster culture both in aquaculture and in the fishery that is consistent with the continued infection of 50% or more of the adult oysters in most East and Gulf Coast populations by *P. marinus* (Kim & Powell 2007).

Withering Syndrome Rickettsia-Like Organism, Sabellid Worm, and Herpesvirus in Abalone

Wild abalone fisheries are a high-value, high-cost, shallow-water endeavor, and these characteristics make abalone stocks vulnerable to over harvesting and poaching under open-access management. Several stocks that supported diving-based fisheries have collapsed (**Karpov et a 1.2000**). Annual production from wild abalone fisheries now stands at approximately 100,000 mt (**FAO 2012**). Although abalone grow slowly, f armed abalone production (400,000 mt) has a high farm-gate value worth approximately US\$1 billion (**FAO 2012**), despite production losses c aused by several novel infectious agents.

In the mid-1980s, WS-RLO caused a fatal withering syndrome in various California abalone species (**Crosson et al. 2014**). WS-RLO is an intrace llular bacterium that spreads from abalone to abalone when bacteria are passed with abalone feces and then consumed by an abalone durin g feeding. At warm temperatures, the bacteria damage the digestive gland so that the animal starves, catabolizing proteins from a withering f oot before dying (**Figure 3**). All wild fisheries were closed as abalone populations crashed because of both overfishing and WS-RLO. With no commercial fishery in California, prices for cultured red abalone (*Haliotis rufescens*) increased. Although WS-RLO infected cultured abalone, f arms could keep red abalone alive to market size if they were located in cooler-water sites or—for one farm that participated in the US Depart

ment of Agriculture's Investigational New Animal Drug program for approximately one year—by applying the antibiotic oxytetracycline (**Fried man et al. 2003**, **Moore et al. 2011**). However, antibiotic use was discontinued because abalone were declared safe to sell only one year after the oxytetracycline treatment (**Friedman et al. 2007**). By 2008, abalone culture in California had risen to ~227 mt (US\$8–9 million) (**FAO 2012**). It remains unclear whether WS-RLO discharged from abalone farms is an externality that prevents endangered black abalone from recruitin g (**Lafferty & Ben-Horin 2013**). A newly found phage hyperparasite of WS-RLO increases tolerance to WS-RLO in abalone farms and might sig nal a reprieve for wild populations as well (**Friedman et al. 2014**).

Figure 3

Red abalone (*Haliotis rufescens*) (*a*) without and (*b*) with withering syndrome. Note that the abalone with withering syndrome has an atrophied pedal muscle and is lethargic, where as the uninfected abalone is actively trying to right itself. Photographs courtesy of L. Crosson.



Lafferty KD, et al. 2015. Annu. Rev. Mar. Sci. 7:471–96

Click to view

(/docserver/fulltext/marine/7/1/ma70471.f3.gif) Download as PowerPoint (/docserver/fulltext/marine/7/1/ma70471.f3.ppt?mimeT ype=application/vnd.ms-powerpoint)

In the early 1990s, California abalone farms became infested with a sabellid polychaete, *Terebrasabella heterouncinata* (Kuris & Culver 1999) that an abalone farmer unintentionally introduced along with abalone from South Africa. These polychaetes settle on the growing margin of gastropod shells and induce the shell to grow around them; the worms then brood larvae that can settle on nearby hosts. On the shells of their r coevolved, wild South African hosts, the worms appear benign, but they slow growth and disfigure Californian abalone. Most abalone in far ms became infested, and abalone with heavy sabellid infestations had ~30–40% the value of uninfested animals. In response, the California Department of Fish and Game established policies to eradicate the worm from farms and the wild, including cleaning up the stock, screening outflow, and halting shell-debris dumping into the intertidal zone; however, because the outflow from one farm was situated over a rich rock y intertidal area, the discharged sabellids spread to wild abalone and other gastropods (Culver & Kuris 2004). Volunteers eventually eradicat et the worm by culling potential hosts (Culver & Kuris 2000).

Abalone viral ganglioneuritis (AVG) appeared in several abalone farms in Victoria, Australia, in December 2005. This virus is transmitted horizo ntally (individual to individual); vertical transmission (parent to offspring) is not verified. Because the host appears to have had no evolutiona ry history with the virus, the disease killed 90% of the stock, but the potential for virus latency in survivors is a concern. Then, in May 2006, AV G appeared in wild *Haliotis rubra* and *Haliotis laevigata* stocks next to an infected abalone farm. By August 2006, AVG had spread 5 km to the west and 12 km to the east (Hooper et al. 2007). Over the next five years, it spread 280 km along the Victoria coast, mostly to the east (Mayfie ld et al. 2011), into an area with 71 individual transferable quota (ITQ) holders in the well-regulated abalone dive fishery. The AVG outbreak w as devastating, leading regulators to reduce the total allowable catch from 296 mt to 16 mt—a 280-mt drop valued at approximately US\$10 mi llion (Mayfield et al. 2011). ITQ licenses—the price of which is the best estimate of the net present value of a fishery—that were trading for US \$5–6 million before the epizootic lost almost all their value (Conrad & Rondeau 2014). To help prevent another AVG outbreak, regulators imp osed greater management restrictions on abalone aquaculture elsewhere in Australia (Jones & Fletcher 2012).

The spread of AVG illustrates the close biological and economic interdependence of wild fisheries and aquaculture, where farm decisions lead to risks and damage in the wild and vice versa. In practical terms, this means that to make efficient economic use of the resource, aquacultur e management must account not only for the farm-level costs and benefits of prevention and remedial actions but also for the benefits of tho se actions for wild fisheries. If a disease in the wild responds to host density, then setting efficient catch levels also requires accounting for the impact of different wild stock levels on disease prevalence and the risks to farms. Here, and elsewhere, rapid responses to disease outbreaks can be critical. Recognition that a new disease is spreading leaves some time to modify harvesting policies, salvage the still-healthy stocks, an d perhaps halt the spread of the disease. Under simplified scenarios, the optimal response to the impending arrival of a disease can be a dras tic increase in the allowable catch (**Conrad & Rondeau 2014**). Yet even in well-managed fisheries, such as the Australian abalone fishery, it ca n be difficult to shift management policies in response to impending doom.

Successful management of wild and farmed abalone will require resolving several unanswered questions. For example, how long does WS-RL O survive in seawater? What is the biological relevance of quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) data on pathogen DNA abundance f or abalone? What is the relative risk of infection for an abalone throughout its range? Is an abalone more at risk near a wild or farmed populat ion or near a developed area of coastline? Will the phage infecting WS-RLO be an efficient "natural treatment" for withering syndrome? Will A VG reemerge? What conditions are needed for the emergence of AVG? What is the rate of evolution of abalone pathogens, and how does their evolution impact host-pathogen relationships? The sources of WS-RLO and AVG are still unknown, and understanding their origin will help elu cidate disease introduction mechanisms.

White Spot Disease in Shrimp

Penaeid shrimp are the world's most valuable seafood. By the 1980s, declining wild fisheries could not keep up with the increased demand fr om wealthy countries, leading to increased aquaculture production, particularly in Thailand and Ecuador (**Flegel et al. 2008**, **Lightner 2011**). In 2011, farms produced 3.5 mmt of shrimp worth US\$16 billion, and fisheries captured 3 mmt of wild shrimp (**FAO 2012**). Shrimp fisheries us e trawlers, whereas penaeid shrimp aquaculture requires inexpensive coastal land. These contrasting economic models mean that developed nations tend to fish (e.g., along the Gulf Coast of the United States), whereas developing countries (especially in Asia and Latin America) do m ore shrimp farming. Shrimp farms have been hit by several infectious diseases, including white spot syndrome, yellow-head virus, hepatopan creatic parvovirus, monodon baculovirus, Taura syndrome virus, infectious hypodermal and hematopoietic virus (**Flegel 2006**), and, more rec ently, infectious myonecrosis and early mortality syndrome (D.V. Lightner, personal communication).

The agent of white spot syndrome is *Whispovirus*, a new genus of double-stranded DNA viruses in the family Nimaviridae (<u>Sánchez-Mártinez</u> et al. 2007). This directly transmitted virus infects all decapods tested to date, including crabs, crayfish, lobsters, and shrimp (<u>Lightner 2011</u>). Penaeid shrimp captured for broodstock are the main source of infections on farms, where the virus then spreads under high culture densit y (<u>Lotz & Soto 2002</u>) and warm temperatures (<u>Jiravanichpaisal et al. 2004</u>). During an outbreak on a commercial farm, white spot syndrome virus (WSSV) (<u>Figure 4</u>) can kill all shrimp within a week (<u>Lightner 2011</u>).

Figure 4

Carapace of a prawn with white spot disease. Photograph courtesy of D.V. Lightner.



R Lafferty KD, et al. 2015. Annu. Rev. Mar. Sci. 7:471–96

Click to view

(/docserver/fulltext/marine/7/1/ma70471.f4.gif) Download as PowerPoint (/docserver/fulltext/marine/7/1/ma70471.f4.ppt?mimeT ype=application/vnd.ms-powerpoint)

White spot syndrome outbreaks began in 1992 in Southeast Asia, where they devastated a thriving industry (**Flegel 2006**). In the 1990s, a shri mp pond could be farmed for only approximately seven years before disease outbreaks made the pond unprofitable, after which it was often abandoned and new ponds dug from mangrove forests, perpetuating a cycle of coastal environmental damage and economic loss (**Dierberg & Kiattisimkul 1996**). Losses from the 1992 and 1993 outbreaks throughout Asia were valued at ~US\$6 billion (**Lightner 2011**). In 1999, the v irus had catastrophic impacts to the farming of *Litopenaeus vannamei* and *Litopenaeus stylirostris* in the Americas (**Lightner 2011**). Ecuador declared a state of emergency when production plummeted by 65%, representing almost US\$1 billion in lost exports (**McClennon 2004**). Star ting in 2002, disease outbreaks in Iran reduced exports by two-thirds (**Salehi 2010**). During the worst years, the disease claimed 40% of global shrimp production.

The outbreaks in the 1990s and early 2000s changed shrimp farm management (**Lightner 2011**). In particular, using closed systems and cultu ring shrimp larvae from resistant stocks protected against disease. Furthermore, farms manage shrimp virus diseases by culturing domesticat ed specific-pathogen-free or specific-pathogen-resistant (SPR) stocks, reducing stress, and emphasizing biosecurity (**Lightner 2011**). As a res ult of these biosecurity practices, white spot syndrome is a far smaller problem now.

It might appear that exports of WSSV into the wild would have environmental impacts on wild crustaceans. After all, the virus enters the ocea n through farm effluent and shrimp escapes, and it infects many crustacean species. Interestingly, there are few reports of economic effects c aused by viral release from aquaculture. One reason for this might be that the virus kills stressed shrimp in farm conditions, which do not ofte n apply to wild shrimp. The most compelling evidence for an economic impact of viral export from shrimp farms comes from another agent, i nfectious hypodermal and hematopoietic virus: After aquaculture presumably introduced it in 1987, this virus infected 60–100% of the wild *P enaeus stylirostris* in the Gulf of Mexico and affected the fishery for a decade (Morales-Covarrubias et al. 1999). However, instead of being a net negative externality for shrimp fisheries, it seems possible that, by impairing aquaculture, shrimp viruses reduce the extent to which shri mp farms compete with wild fisheries, shifting the balance of global trade.

This example illustrates another interdependence between fishing and aquaculture. If consumers consider products from two sources (e.g., fi shed or farmed) to be equivalent, then disease outbreaks that reduce the supply from one source can benefit the other source because of an i ncrease in the market price. Therefore, limiting the economic analysis of marine diseases (or of any other economic problem, for that matter) to the sale value of products can be misleading. The true value of seafood is not the price at which it is sold but rather the maximum amount t hat consumers will pay minus the delivery cost (including user costs in wild fisheries). An overall drop in the farmed shrimp supply that drives up the price benefits capture fisheries and penalizes consumers by an identical amount. The real economic losses come from the diseased sh rimp that are neither produced nor eaten, not the overall change in the value of shrimp sold.

Because there are no treatments for white spot syndrome, the focus of current research is on prevention through clean aquaculture protocol s, new diagnostic methods, and breeding SPR strains. Diagnostics research focuses on sensitive multiplex tests to detect multiple viral pathog ens such as WSSV, yellow-head virus, and a shrimp densovirus (**Panichareon et al. 2011**). The development of SPR strains amounts to breedi ng the survivors of experimental outbreaks. But these survivors are also locally adapted to particular environmental settings, and most have been subject to multiple diseases (i.e., WSSV, Taura syndrome virus, and infectious hypodermal and hematopoietic virus), making it hard to fo cus selection on WSSV (**Cuéllar-Anjel et al. 2012**). Furthermore, it is important to distinguish whether SPR strains are tolerant or resistant bec ause tolerant strains can act as carriers (**Cuéllar-Anjel et al. 2012**). Nonetheless, there are WSSV-resistant stocks of *Fenneropenaeus chinensi s* (**Zhiqin et al. 2005**), *Penaeus monodon* (**Dutta et al. 2013**), and *Litopenaeus vannamei* (**Huang et al. 2011**).

Betanodaviruses in Groupers

Tropical groupers (*Epinephelus* spp.) and sea bass (*Dicentrarchus* spp.) support valuable localized sport, commercial, and artisanal fisheries (**Seng 1998**). Their high price (particularly on the live market) combined with declining wild stocks has led to an increase in net-pen culture. To stock the pens, young groupers are either caught from nearby coral reefs or raised in a hatchery.

Farmed and wild groupers are susceptible to diseases caused by generalist betanodaviruses that probably have both vertical and horizontal t ransmission (<u>Munday et al. 2002</u>). Viruses from farmed groupers have complex genetics that are similar in viruses from Asia and Europe (<u>Kar</u> <u>a et al. 2014</u>) and in several reassortant strains (<u>Panzarin et al. 2012</u>). Reassortment is highest in viral isolates from farmed fish, suggesting t hat farms create environments for viral evolution (<u>Panzarin et al. 2012</u>).

Most infected adult fish are resistant to these viruses but sometimes show bizarre disease symptoms (**Figure 5**). For instance, in the Gulf of A nnaba, Algeria, baffled divers saw several groupers with opaque eyes, skin lesions, unbalanced swimming, and a hyperinflated swim bladder, with some fish floating on the surface; these fish, which later stranded on a nearby beach, were infected with betanodavirus (**Kara et al. 2014**).

Figure 5

A living but moribund endangered dusky grouper in Italy infected with betanodavirus. The grouper has lost control of its swimming, causing it to crash into the bottom, which has led to severe trauma in the skin and fins. Photograph reproduced from <u>Vendramin et al. (2013)</u>.



A R Lafferty KD, et al. 2015. Annu. Rev. Mar. Sci. 7:471–96

Click to view

(/docserver/fulltext/marine/7/1/ma70471.f5.gif) Download as PowerPoint (/docserver/fulltext/marine/7/1/ma70471.f5.ppt?mimeT

ype=application/vnd.ms-powerpoint)

The increasing number of reports of simultaneous but distant die-offs has led to the hypothesis that death in infected adult fish results from t he stress of spawning combined with warm temperature events (**Kara et al. 2014**). Optimal temperatures for viral growth vary by strain owing to local adaptation (**Iwamoto et al. 2005**), and the movement of locally adapted and benign strains into novel culture settings could lead to u nusual pathologies. Betanodaviruses are now a major threat to aquaculture in the Mediterranean (**Vendramin et al. 2013**). Here, the main ec onomic impact is their constraint on hatchery production (**Le Breton et al. 1997**) because fry and juveniles cannot tolerate infection (**Breuil e t al. 1991**).

Viral exchange between farms and wild fish seems probable and was proposed to explain a mass mortality of wild dusky grouper 15–20 km fr om sea bass farms (<u>Vendramin et al. 2013</u>). If this hypothesis is correct, then grouper farming could impede the recovery of this endangered species (<u>Marino & Azzuro 2001</u>). As is the case for most marine diseases, whether farms are net virus exporters or simply victims of exposure from wild fishes is difficult to determine (<u>Vendramin et al. 2013</u>) but worthy of investigation.

Infectious Hematopoietic Necrosis Virus, Kudoa, and Sea Lice in Salmon

After years of decline resulting from river damming and overfishing, wild Pacific salmon stocks have stabilized, with landings totaling approxi mately 1 mmt per year. By contrast, the production of farmed Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) has increased. In 2011, salmon farming delivered 1.7 mmt, with a farm-gate value of US\$9.7 billion (**FAO 2012**).

Infectious hematopoietic necrosis virus.

Infectious hematopoietic necrosis virus (IHNv) is a rhabdovirus endemic in the Pacific Northwest. This virus has efficient transmission in wate r, with acute disease occurring within days of exposure for susceptible species. In both fresh water (for wild and hatchery fish) and salt water (for farmed Atlantic salmon), young infected fish often die from hemorrhaging and septicemia. The disease severity depends on the pathogen genotype, host species, and stock, with the most susceptible being Atlantic salmon (**Traxler et al. 1993**). Sea-caged Atlantic salmon suffered several IHNv outbreaks in British Columbia and Washington State in 1992–1996 (**St-Hilaire et al. 2002**), 2001–2003 (**Saksida 2006**), and 2012, with mortalities ranging from 18% to 78%. Chinook salmon are less susceptible to the disease and have been identified as virus carriers when held near Atlantic salmon in net pens (**St-Hilaire et al. 2001**). The virus has also become established in Japan, Taiwan, and Europe, possibly f rom moving infected fish and fish eggs (**Hostnik et al. 2002**). Sockeye is the most susceptible of the Pacific salmon species, with a 48–85% de ath rate (**Meyers et al. 2003**).

IHNv has cost the salmon farming industry in several ways. In addition to lost production, carcass disposal has been an economic burden to f arms. Many small farms went bankrupt in British Columbia and were absorbed into large, foreign-owned companies. During the 2001–2003 o utbreaks on 36 farms, culling was imposed to halt the spread, and the cumulative mortality on these farms reached 58%; more than 12 millio n Atlantic salmon, valued in the tens of millions of dollars, were killed or culled (**Saksida 2006**). After this outbreak, most farms installed disin fection systems at costs of up to US\$4 million (B. Milligan & G. Murphy, personal communication). To avoid vertical transmission, all farms als o now screen broodstock for IHNv at an annual cost of more than US\$200,000. Some farms reduce risk by vaccinating their Atlantic salmon ag ainst IHNv at an annual cost of US\$4 million (D. Morrison, personal communication). Companies in areas with low infection risk chose not to v accinate their fish after an economic assessment predicted that the high vaccination cost would outweigh the expected benefits. However, in 2012, an outbreak of an IHNv strain identical to an isolate from wild fish spread among unvaccinated farms (K. Garver, personal communicati on). The decision by some farms to forgo vaccination is a classic market failure in economics (**Stiglitz 1988**): Immunization at one farm is a pu blic good that, when ignored, leads to lower-than-optimal protection against disease among farms. Achieving optimal protection might requi re public policies that impose vaccination or subsidize its implementation.

There has been one documented IHNv outbreak among wild sockeye salmon in the marine environment (**Traxler et al. 1997**), which is the m ost valuable wild salmon fishery (**FAO 2012**), with an annual Alaskan harvest of more than 100,000 mt (**Alsk. Dep. Fish Game 2014**). Although this outbreak is worrisome, the main cost to the sockeye fishery is the extra expense that IHNv management and prevention impose on stock enhancement. For example, using UV sterilization and modular facilities to help prevent outbreaks cost one hatchery US\$300,000 (C. Cherry, personal communication). Hatcheries bear this cost because the alternative is to destroy their stock if they detect IHNv.

Kudoa.

Kudoa is a common genus in the phylum Myxozoa that infects marine fishes. Based on our knowledge of other myxozoans, *Kudoa thyrsites* pr obably requires an annelid host in the marine environment to complete its life cycle. Fish are likely infected by direct exposure or ingestion of a free-floating actinosporean stage in the water. Most *Kudoa* species do not kill their hosts, but several species infect commercially important fishes like yellowtail, mahimahi, Atlantic mackerel, and Pacific hake, causing visible pseudocysts throughout the muscle, postharvest myoliq uefaction (*Figure 6*), or both (*Moran et al. 1999*). These infections undermine the fishes' value and, in particular, impede some fisheries for P acific hake, the most abundant commercial fish species off the US West Coast (*Kabata & Whitaker 1981*).

Figure 6

A mackerel with myoliquefaction caused by infection with Kudoa thyrsites. Photograph courtesy of C. Whipps.



Annu. Rev. Mar. Sci. 7:471–96

Click to view

(/docserver/fulltext/marine/7/1/ma70471.f6.gif) Download as PowerPoint (/docserver/fulltext/marine/7/1/ma70471.f6.ppt?mimeT ype=application/vnd.ms-powerpoint)

K. thyrsites was first documented in pen-reared Atlantic salmon industry in British Columbia in the early 1990s (**Whitaker & Kent 1991**), and by 2000 it was costing the industries more than US\$7 million per year, based on a 0.5% claim rate charged back to the producers for spoiled fi sh (**Dodd 2001**). The infection in farmed salmon continues to be a major economic problem, and one large company's 2011 annual report esti mated that total costs related to discards and claims as a result of soft flesh caused by *K. thyrsites* amounted to NOK 68 million, and that the a verage price in Canada was 11% lower than in 2010 owing to the high presence of *Kudoa* (Mar. **Harvest 2011**). An even bigger problem for the industry is how consumers view farmed salmon quality. A few mushy *Kudoa*-infected fish can have a long-lasting impression, driving down de mand and price.

Sea lice.

Small caligoid copepod crustaceans in the genera *Caligus* and *Lepeophtheirus* are called sea lice. Free-living nauplius larvae hatch from eggs of parasitic females. The nauplius molts into a free-living copepodid that does not feed until it enters the chalmus stage and uses its second a ntenna to attach to a fish. After feeding and molting, the chalmus transforms first into a parasitic preadult and then into an adult that can mo ve about on the fish host. Sea lice feed on fish skin, mucus, and blood, causing lesions. The resulting impact on infected fish varies by parasite species, parasite life stage, fish species, fish age, and fish health, but disease severity increases with sea lice intensity. At low intensities, infect ed fish can keep up with their energetic demands by feeding more, but this increases feed costs for penned salmon by 5% (<u>Sinnott 1998</u>). At high intensities, as is often seen on farms, damage to the epithelium and blood loss leads to stress, secondary infections, reduced growth, di minished flesh quality, and, in extreme cases, death (<u>Pike & Wadsworth 2000</u>).

Salmon aquaculture operations from Norway to Chile, Canada, the United Kingdom, and Ireland manage for sea lice. Best practices include s eparating age classes, all-in–all-out production cycles with fallowing, and regular sea lice monitoring (**Saksida et al. 2011**). Farms also purch ase therapeutants to control sea lice (**Revie et al. 2002**), which can raise production costs by 5–20% (**Rae 2002**). Overall, sea lice increase far med salmon costs by US\$0.15–0.30/kg, which translates to a global annual cost exceeding US\$400 million (**Costello 2009**).

The potential export of sea lice to wild stocks is controversial and leads to negative views of salmon farming. Some analyses have suggested t hat the increased sea lice intensity on smolts that migrate near fish farms is not great enough to reduce survival (**Jackson et al. 2013**). Howev er, models have predicted that sea lice from salmon farms could extirpate wild stocks in the Broughton Archipelago, British Columbia (**Krkoše k et al. 2007**). This strong claim was contested by the salmon farming industry and has not been borne out over time. A subsequent analysis of the links between farms and sea lice on wild fish suggested that lice from farms do not reduce wild salmon production, that salmon might benefit from eating sea lice, and that aquaculture does not need to protect wild stocks from sea lice (**Marty et al. 2010**). However, an indepen dent analysis suggested that salmon production was lower near salmon farms (**Krkošek et al. 2011**). The actual effect is important because a model of wild pink and chum salmon populations in the Broughton Archipelago predicted that a lice-induced mortality between 20% and 3 0% could lead to sharp stock declines unless countered by reduced harvest rates or the closure of the commercial fishery (**Liu et al. 2011**).

Although salmon farms have a private interest in controlling sea lice in net pens, little incentive exists for farms to reduce hypothetical (and di fficult-to-prove) impacts on wild stocks. Regulators have therefore established sea lice intensity thresholds above which fish must be treated (<u>Saksida et al. 2011</u>), and Norway has set aside farming-free fjords and set management goals to reduce sea lice intensity on wild fish to 10 lic e. Meeting this goal will be difficult because there are far more farmed salmon than wild salmon in Norway (<u>Finstad & Bjørn 2011</u>).

A key research need for sea lice (and other marine diseases) is to collect baseline data on pathogen prevalence and distributions in wild speci es before establishing an aquaculture enterprise (**Saksida et al. 2014**). This would help regulators assess whether impacts to wild stocks are within natural variation or are increased by spillover from aquaculture. Other useful data for understanding the dynamics and risks of transmi ssion of various marine diseases include the survival time of an infectious agent in the water, its host range, and other required hosts in the lif e cycle.

CONCLUSIONS

Marine diseases are common in the ocean and can reduce seafood's economic value by decreasing meat quality, increasing the marginal cost s of harvest and processing, and diminishing biological productivity. Fishing can inadvertently reduce infectious disease prevalence in fished stocks. By contrast, farming favors infectious diseases and therefore requires investment in disease management (**Sinderman 1984**). Becaus e disease management has costs that weigh against profits, the economic effects of infectious diseases are more obvious to farmers than to fi shers.

Aquaculturists try to control transfers and introductions. They also reduce stress-activated disease by maintaining water quality and limiting crowding. For finfish, prophylactic therapeutants and immunization can reduce viral and bacterial diseases. If, despite these investments in p revention, a disease emerges, the farm should first diagnose the problem and then, if it makes economic sense, hope to treat it. In worst-case scenarios, regulatory actions might require that farms cull stock to prevent spread to other farms or to the wild.

The extent to which aquaculture exports infectious agents that in turn infect wild stock is controversial, drawing critiques from conservation biologists and attention from regulators. Water flow connects farmed species to wild infectious agents, which can then build up under dense f arming conditions, escape from farms, and transmit back to wild hosts. Documenting the extent to which aquaculture magnifies wild infectio us agents has proven difficult given the sparse baseline information on their background prevalence, but impacts from amplified native disea ses should often be local. Local effects are of greatest concern in areas that are critical habitats for susceptible endangered species. Clearer ar e the negative impacts of infectious agents accidently moved around by aquaculture, such as when new infectious agents arrive with importe d stock. The imported stock might have coevolved tolerance to its infectious agents, but the release of a new infectious agent into the wild mi ght lead to new disease in naive wild stocks. Aquaculture's role in facilitating the evolution of new infectious strains is more speculative. The argument is that farms present a novel evolutionary environment for infectious agents (e.g., IHNv), which might lead to greater selection for v irulence, crossing among parasite strains, or adaptation to farm conditions that leads to unusual pathologies in the wild. Such impacts are cur rently hypothetical, but new molecular tools will make it easier to identify novel genotypes of infectious agents associated with aquaculture.

Scientific studies could fill key knowledge gaps and mitigate the economic consequences of marine diseases in several ways. Given the contin ual onslaught of existing and new infectious agents, the aquaculture industry needs continued research into diagnostics, treatment, and epiz ootiology. More focus on economics specifically could help to identify best practices and strategies for maximizing the economic value of seaf ood in the face of disease pressures. However, a quantitative understanding of host–infectious agent dynamics is essential to the economic as sessment of these systems. Perhaps because of the complexity of the ocean ecosystem, reliable population models are rare. There is therefor e a substantial need for basic research into the ecology and evolution of marine diseases.

Resolving the controversial issues surrounding the export of marine diseases from aquaculture will require understanding the background rat es of disease in potentially affected wild species as well as testing hypotheses about environmental impacts. Finally, marine diseases are part of a dynamic human-natural system in which there are apt to be important sociological, behavioral, legal, and political issues that interact wit h the biology we review here. Given the staggering economic cost of marine diseases, research is a clear public good.

The most obvious economic impact of marine disease is the cost that aquaculture expends to manage infectious agents on farms. In addition, infectious diseases are moving targets that can rapidly destabilize markets, which might dissuade investment. Less obvious, and more contro versial, is the export of infectious agents from aquaculture to wild stock, a negative externality that might affect wild fisheries and threaten bi odiversity. However, the impact of infectious diseases on farms might also indirectly help wild fisheries that already struggle with declining ca tch per effort and competition with aquaculture. Regardless, consumers bear the brunt of marine diseases in the form of reduced availability and higher seafood prices.

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

The authors are not aware of any affiliations, memberships, funding, or financial holdings that might be perceived as affecting the objectivity of this review.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Any use of trade, product, website, or firm names in this publication is for descriptive purposes only and does not imply endorsement by the US Government. This work was conducted as part of the Ecology of Infectious Marine Diseases Research Coordination Network (<u>http://www.eeb.cornell.edu/ecologymarinedisease</u>)) funded by National Science Foundation Ecology and Evolution of Infectious Diseases grant OCE-1215977. Affiliations for the coauthors of this article are as follows:

C.D.H.: Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14850

J.M.C.: Dyson School of Applied Economics and Management, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14850

C.S.F.: School of Aquatic and Fishery Sciences, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98195

M.L.K.: Departments of Microbiology and Biomedical Sciences, Oregon State University, Corvallis, Oregon 97331

A.M.K.: Department of Ecology, Evolution, and Marine Biology and Marine Science Institute, University of California, Santa Barbara, California 93106

E.N.P.: Gulf Coast Research Laboratory, University of Southern Mississippi, Ocean Springs, Mississippi 39564

D.R.: Department of Economics, University of Victoria, Victoria V8W 2Y2, Canada

S.M.S.: British Columbia Centre for Aquatic Health Sciences, Campbell River V9W 2C2, Canada

LITERATURE CITED

Abbe GR, McCollough CB, Barker LS, Dungan CF. **2010**. Performance of disease-tolerant strains of eastern oysters (*Crassostrea virginica*) in the Patuxent River, Maryland, 2003 to 2007. *J. Shellfish Res.* 29:161–75 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Performance+of+disease-tolerant <u>+strains+of+eastern+oysters+%28Crassostrea+virginica%29+in+the+Patuxent+River%2C+Maryland%2C+2003+to+2007&author=GR+Abbe&author=CB+McCollough&author=LS+Barker&author=CF+Dungan&journal=J.+Shellfish+Res.&volume=29&pages=161-75&publication_year=2010&)</u>

Alsk. Dep. Fish Game **2014**. *Sockeye salmon* (*Oncorhynchus nerka*): *species profile*. <u>http://www.adfg.alaska.gov/index.cfm?adfg=sockeyesalmon.main (htt p://www.adfg.alaska.gov/index.cfm?adfg=sockeyesalmon.main)</u>

Amundsen PA, Kristoffersen R. **1990**. Infection of whitefish (*Coregonus lavaretus* L. s.l.) by *Triaenophorus crassus* Forel (Cestoda: Pseudophyllidea): a case stu dy in parasite control. *Can. J. Zool.* 68:1187–92 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Infection+of+whitefish+%28Coregon us+lavaretus+L.+s.l.%29+by+Triaenophorus+crassus+Forel+%28Cestoda%3A+Pseudophyllidea%29%3A+a+case+study+in+parasite+control&author =PA+Amundsen&author=R+Kristoffersen&journal=Can.+J.+Zool.&volume=68&pages=1187-92&publication_year=1990&)

Asche F, Bjørndal T. 2011. The Economics of Salmon Aquaculture Chichester, UK: Wiley-Blackwell, 2nd ed.. [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/sc holar_lookup?title=The+Economics+of+Salmon+Aquaculture&author=F+Asche&author=T+Bj%C3%B8rndal&publication_year=2011&)

Beck MW, Brumbaugh RD, Airoldi L, Carranza A, Coen LD. et al. **2011**. Oyster reefs at risk and recommendations for conservation, restoration, and managemen t. *BioScience* 61:107–16 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Oyster+reefs+at+risk+and+recommendations+for+conserv ation%2C+restoration%2C+and+management&author=MW+Beck&author=RD+Brumbaugh&author=L+Airoldi&author=A+Carranza&author=LD+Coe n&journal=BioScience&volume=61&pages=107-16&publication_year=2011&)

Braid B, Moore JD, Robbins TT, Hedrick RP, Tjeerdema RS, Friedman CS. **2005**. Health and survival of red abalone, *Haliotis rufescens*, under varying temperat ure, food supply and exposure to the agent of withering syndrome. *J. Invertbr. Pathol.* 89:219–31 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Health+and+survival+of+red+abalone%2C+Haliotis+rufescens%2C+under+varying+temperature%2C+food+supply+and+exposure+to+the +agent+of+withering+syndrome&author=B+Braid&author=JD+Moore&author=TT+Robbins&author=RP+Hedrick&author=RS+Tjeerdema&author=C S+Friedman&journal=J.+Invertbr.+Pathol.&volume=89&pages=219-31&publication_year=2005&)

Breuil G, Bonamib JR, Pepin JF, Pichot Y. **1991**. Viral infection (picorna-like virus) associated with mass mortalities in hatchery-reared sea-bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) larvae and juveniles. *Aquaculture* 97:109–16 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Viral+infection+%28picorna-like +virus%29+associated+with+mass+mortalities+in+hatchery-reared+sea-bass+%28Dicentrarchus+labrax%29+larvae+and+juveniles&author=G+Breu il&author=JR+Bonamib&author=JF+Pepin&author=Y+Pichot&journal=Aquaculture&volume=97&pages=109-16&publication_year=1991&)

Burreson EM, Stokes NA, Friedman CS. 2000. Increased virulence in an introduced pathogen: *Haplosporidium nelsoni* (MSX) in the eastern oyster *Crassostrea virginica*. *J. Aquat. Anim. Health* 12:1–8 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Increased+virulence+in+an+introduced+pat hogen%3A+Haplosporidium+nelsoni+%28MSX%29+in+the+eastern+oyster+Crassostrea+virginica&author=EM+Burreson&author=NA+Stokes&author=CS+Friedman&journal=J.+Aquat.+Anim.+Health&volume=12&pages=1-8&publication_year=2000&)

Bushek D, Allen SK, Alcox KA, Gustafson R, Ford SE. **1997**. Response of *Crassostrea virginica* to in vitro cultured *Perkinsus marinus*: preliminary comparison of three inoculation methods. *J. Shellfish Res.* 16:479–85 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Response+of+Crassostrea+vir ginica+to+in+vitro+cultured+Perkinsus+marinus%3A+preliminary+comparison+of+three+inoculation+methods&author=D+Bushek&author=SK+Alle n&author=KA+Alcox&author=R+Gustafson&author=SE+Ford&journal=J.+Shellfish+Res.&volume=16&pages=479-85&publication_year=1997&)

Bushek D, Ford SE, Burt I. 2012. Long-term patterns of an estuarine pathogen along a salinity gradient. *J. Mar. Res.* 70:225–51 [Google Scholar] (http://schol ar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Long-term+patterns+of+an+estuarine+pathogen+along+a+salinity+gradient&author=D+Bushek&author=SE+Fo rd&author=I+Burt&journal=J.+Mar.+Res.&volume=70&pages=225-51&publication_year=2012&)

Bushek D, Ford SE, Chintala MM. 2002. Comparison of in vitro-cultured and wild-type *Perkinsus marinus*. III. Fecal elimination and its role in transmission. *Dis. Aquat. Org.* 51:217–25 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Comparison+of+in+vitro-cultured+and+wild-type+Perkinsus +marinus.+III.+Fecal+elimination+and+its+role+in+transmission&author=D+Bushek&author=SE+Ford&author=MM+Chintala&journal=Dis.+Aquat.+ Org.&volume=51&pages=217-25&publication_year=2002&)

Carlsson J, Carnegie RB, Cordes JF, Hare MP, Leggett AT, Reese KS. **2008**. Evaluating recruitment contribution of a selectively bred aquaculture line of the oyst er, *Crassostrea virginica* used in restoration efforts. *J. Shellfish Res.* 27:1117–24 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Evaluat ing+recruitment+contribution+of+a+selectively+bred+aquaculture+line+of+the+oyster%2C+Crassostrea+virginica+used+in+restoration+efforts&au

<u>thor=J+Carlsson&author=RB+Carnegie&author=JF+Cordes&author=MP+Hare&author=AT+Leggett&author=KS+Reese&journal=J.+Shellfish+Res.&v</u> olume=27&pages=1117-24&publication_year=2008&)

Chu F-LE. **1996**. Laboratory investigations of susceptibility, infectivity, and transmission of *Perkinsus marinus* in oysters. *J. Shellfish Res.* 15:57–66 [Google Sc holar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Laboratory+investigations+of+susceptibility%2C+infectivity%2C+and+transmission+of+Per kinsus+marinus+in+oysters&author=F-LE+Chu&journal=J.+Shellfish+Res.&volume=15&pages=57-66&publication_year=1996&)

Colorni A, Diamant A, Eldar A, Kvitt H, Zlotkin A. 2002. *Streptococcus iniae* infections in Red Sea cage-cultured and wild fishes. *Dis. Aquat. Org.* 49:165–70 [Goo gle Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Streptococcus+iniae+infections+in+Red+Sea+cage-cultured+and+wild+fishes&author= A+Colorni&author=A+Diamant&author=A+Eldar&author=H+Kvitt&author=A+Zlotkin&journal=Dis.+Aquat.+Org.&volume=49&pages=165-70&publica tion_year=2002&)

Conrad JM, Rondeau D. 2014. *Bioeconomics of a marine disease* Work. Pap., Dep. Econ., Univ. Victoria, Can. [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/sc holar lookup?title=Bioeconomics+of+a+marine+disease&author=JM+Conrad&author=D+Rondeau&publication_year=2014&)

Costanza R, d'Arge R, de Groot R, Farber S, Grasso M. et al. **1998**. The value of the world's ecosystem services and natural capital. *Ecol. Econ.* 25:3–15 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=The+value+of+the+world%27s+ecosystem+services+and+natural+capital&author=R+Cost anza&author=R+d%27Arge&author=R+de+Groot&author=S+Farber&author=M+Grasso&journal=Ecol.+Econ.&volume=25&pages=3-15&publication_year=1998&)

Costello MJ. 2009. The global economic cost of sea lice to the salmonid farming industry. *J. Fish Dis.* 32:115–18 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.co m/scholar_lookup?title=The+global+economic+cost+of+sea+lice+to+the+salmonid+farming+industry&author=MJ+Costello&journal=J.+Fish+Dis.&v olume=32&pages=115-18&publication_year=2009&)

Crosson LM, Wight N, VanBlaricom GR, Kiryu I, Moore JD, Friedman CS. **2014**. Abalone withering syndrome: distribution, impacts, current diagnostic methods and new findings. *Dis. Aquat. Org.* 108:261–70 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Abalone+withering+syndrome%3A+di stribution%2C+impacts%2C+current+diagnostic+methods+and+new+findings&author=LM+Crosson&author=N+Wight&author=GR+VanBlaricom&au thor=I+Kiryu&author=JD+Moore&author=CS+Friedman&journal=Dis.+Aquat.+Org.&volume=108&pages=261-70&publication_year=2014&)

Cuéllar-Anjel J, White-Noble B, Schofield P, Chamorro R, Lightner DV. **2012**. Report of significant WSSV-resistance in the Pacific white shrimp, *Litopenaeus van namei*, from a Panamanian breeding program. *Aquaculture* 368:36–39 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Report+of+sign ificant+WSSV-resistance+in+the+Pacific+white+shrimp%2C+Litopenaeus+vannamei%2C+from+a+Panamanian+breeding+program&author=J+Cu%C 3%A9llar-Anjel&author=B+White-Noble&author=P+Schofield&author=R+Chamorro&author=DV+Lightner&journal=Aquaculture&volume=368&page s=36-39&publication_year=2012&)

Culver CS, Kuris AM. 2000. The apparent eradication of a locally established introduced marine pest. *Biol. Invasions* 2:245–53 [Google Scholar] (http://schola r.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=The+apparent+eradication+of+a+locally+established+introduced+marine+pest&author=CS+Culver&author=AM+ Kuris&journal=Biol.+Invasions&volume=2&pages=245-53&publication_year=2000&)

Culver CS, Kuris AM. 2004. Susceptibility of California gastropods to an introduced South African sabellid polychaete, *Terebrasabella heterouncinata*. *Inverteb r. Biol.* 123:316–23 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Susceptibility+of+California+gastropods+to+an+introduced+So uth+African+sabellid+polychaete%2C+Terebrasabella+heterouncinata&author=CS+Culver&author=AM+Kuris&journal=Invertebr.+Biol.&volume=12 3&pages=316-23&publication_year=2004&)

Diana JS. 2009. Aquaculture production and biodiversity conservation. *BioScience* 59:27–38 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup? title=Aquaculture+production+and+biodiversity+conservation&author=JS+Diana&journal=BioScience&volume=59&pages=27-38&publication_year =2009&)

Dierberg FE, Kiattisimkul W. **1996**. Issues, impacts, and implications of shrimp aquaculture in Thailand. *Environ. Manag.* 20:649–66 [Google Scholar] (http://s cholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Issues%2C+impacts%2C+and+implications+of+shrimp+aquaculture+in+Thailand&author=FE+Dierberg&au thor=W+Kiattisimkul&journal=Environ.+Manag.&volume=20&pages=649-66&publication_year=1996&) Dixon B. 2012. Vaccines for finfish aquaculture: What do we need to know to make them work?. *Electron. J. Biotechnol.* 15:18 [Google Scholar] (http://schol ar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Vaccines+for+finfish+aquaculture%3A+What+do+we+need+to+know+to+make+them+work%3F&author=B+Dix on&journal=Electron.+J.+Biotechnol.&volume=15&pages=18&publication_year=2012&)

Dobson AP, May RM. **1987**. The effects of parasites on fish populations—theoretical aspects. *Int. J. Parasitol.* 17:363–70 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.goo gle.com/scholar_lookup?title=The+effects+of+parasites+on+fish+populations%E2%80%94theoretical+aspects&author=AP+Dobson&author=RM+Ma y&journal=Int.+J.+Parasitol.&volume=17&pages=363-70&publication_year=1987&)

Dodd Q. 2001. *Kudoa* losses spur new research on west coast. *North. Aquac.* May 1–2 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=N orth.+Aquac.&author=Q+Dodd&publication_year=2001&)

Dutta S, Chakrabarty U, Mallik A, Mandal N. **2013**. Experimental evidence for white spot syndrome virus (WSSV) susceptibility linked to a microsatellite DNA m arker in giant black tiger shrimp, *Penaeus monodon* (Fabricius). *J. Fish Dis.* 36:593–97 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=E xperimental+evidence+for+white+spot+syndrome+virus+%28WSSV%29+susceptibility+linked+to+a+microsatellite+DNA+marker+in+giant+black+ti ger+shrimp%2C+Penaeus+monodon+%28Fabricius%29&author=S+Dutta&author=U+Chakrabarty&author=A+Mallik&author=N+Mandal&journal=J. +Fish+Dis.&volume=36&pages=593-97&publication_year=2013&)

Dyck AJ, Sumaila UR. 2010. Economic impact of ocean fish populations in the global fishery. *J. Bioecon.* 12:227–43 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google. com/scholar_lookup?title=Economic+impact+of+ocean+fish+populations+in+the+global+fishery&author=AJ+Dyck&author=UR+Sumaila&journal=J. +Bioecon.&volume=12&pages=227-43&publication_year=2010&)

Egusa S. **1983**. Disease problems in Japanese yellowtail, *Seriola quinqueradiata*, culture: a review. *Rapp. P.-V. Réun. Cons. Perm. Int. Explor. Mer* 182:10–18 [<u>G</u> <u>oogle Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Disease+problems+in+Japanese+yellowtail%2C+Seriola+quinqueradiata%2C+cultu</u> re%3A+a+review&author=S+Egusa&journal=Rapp.+P.-V.+R%C3%A9un.+Cons.+Perm.+Int.+Explor.+Mer&volume=182&pages=10-18&publication_yea r=1983&)

FAO (Food Agric. Organ. UN) **2012**. The state of world fisheries and aquaculture Rep., FAO, Rome [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_look up?title=The+state+of+world+fisheries+and+aquaculture&publication_year=2012&)

Finstad B, Bjørn PA. 2011. Present status and implications of salmon lice on wild salmonids in Norwegian coastal zones. *Salmon Lice: An Integrated Approach* to Understanding Parasite Abundance and Distribution S Jones, R Beamish 279–305 Chichester, UK: Wiley- Blackwell [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.googl e.com/scholar_lookup?title=Present+status+and+implications+of+salmon+lice+on+wild+salmonids+in+Norwegian+coastal+zones&author=B+Finsta d&author=PA+Bj%C3%B8rn&journal=Salmon+Lice%3A+An+Integrated+Approach+to+Understanding+Parasite+Abundance+and+Distribution&pages =279-305&publication_year=2011&)

Flegel TW. 2006. Detection of major penaeid shrimp viruses in Asia, a historical perspective with emphasis on Thailand. *Aquaculture* 258:1–33 [Google Schol ar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Detection+of+major+penaeid+shrimp+viruses+in+Asia%2C+a+historical+perspective+with+em phasis+on+Thailand&author=TW+Flegel&journal=Aquaculture&volume=258&pages=1-33&publication_year=2006&)

Flegel TW, Lightner DV, Lo CF, Owens L. 2008. Shrimp disease control: past, present and future. *Diseases in Asian Aquaculture VI* MG Bondad-Reantaso, CV Mo han, M Crumlish, RP Subasinghe 355–78 Manila, Philipp: Fish Health Sect., Asian Fish. Soc. [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?ti tle=Shrimp+disease+control%3A+past%2C+present+and+future&author=TW+Flegel&author=DV+Lightner&author=CF+Lo&author=L+Owens&journ al=Diseases+in+Asian+Aquaculture+VI&pages=355-78&publication_year=2008&)

Ford SE, Chintala MM. **2006**. Northward expansion of a marine parasite: testing the role of temperature adaptation. *J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.* 339:226–35 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Northward+expansion+of+a+marine+parasite%3A+testing+the+role+of+temperature+ada ptation&author=SE+Ford&author=MM+Chintala&journal=J.+Exp.+Mar.+Biol.+Ecol.&volume=339&pages=226-35&publication_year=2006&)

Ford SE, Scarpa E, Bushek D. **2012**. Spatial and temporal variability of disease refuges in an estuary: implications for the development of resistance. *J. Mar. Re s.* 70:253–77 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Spatial+and+temporal+variability+of+disease+refuges+in+an+estuar y%3A+implications+for+the+development+of+resistance&author=SE+Ford&author=E+Scarpa&author=D+Bushek&journal=J.+Mar.+Res.&volume=7 0&pages=253-77&publication_year=2012&) Friedman CS, Finley CA. **2003**. Anthropogenic introduction of the etiological agent of withering syndrome into northern California abalone populations via co nservation efforts. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 60:1424–31 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Anthropogenic+introduction+ of+the+etiological+agent+of+withering+syndrome+into+northern+California+abalone+populations+via+conservation+efforts&author=CS+Friedma n&author=CA+Finley&journal=Can.+J.+Fish.+Aquat.+Sci.&volume=60&pages=1424-31&publication_year=2003&)

Friedman CS, McDowell T, Groff JM, Hollibaugh JT, Manzer D, Hedrick RP. **1989**. Presence of *Bonamia ostreae* among populations of the European flat oyster, *Ostrea edulis* Linn, in California, USA. *J. Shellfish Res.* 8:133–37 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Presence+of+Bonamia +ostreae+among+populations+of+the+European+flat+oyster%2C+Ostrea+edulis+Linn%2C+in+California%2C+USA&author=CS+Friedman&author=T +McDowell&author=JM+Groff&author=JT+Hollibaugh&author=D+Manzer&author=RP+Hedrick&journal=J.+Shellfish+Res.&volume=8&pages=133-37 &publication_year=1989&)

Friedman CS, Scott BB, Strenge RE, Vadopalas B, McCormick TB. 2007. Oxytetracycline as a tool to manage and prevent losses of the endangered white abalo ne, *Haliotis sorenseni*, caused by withering syndrome. *J. Shellfish Res.* 26:877–85 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Oxyt etracycline+as+a+tool+to+manage+and+prevent+losses+of+the+endangered+white+abalone%2C+Haliotis+sorenseni%2C+caused+by+withering+sy ndrome&author=CS+Friedman&author=BB+Scott&author=RE+Strenge&author=B+Vadopalas&author=TB+McCormick&journal=J.+Shellfish+Res.&v olume=26&pages=877-85&publication_year=2007&)

Friedman CS, Trevelyan G, Robbins TT, Mulder EP, Fields R. **2003**. Development of an oral administration of oxytetracycline to control losses due to withering i n cultured red abalone *Haliotis rufescens*. *Aquaculture* 224:1–23 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Development+of+an +oral+administration+of+oxytetracycline+to+control+losses+due+to+withering+in+cultured+red+abalone+Haliotis+rufescens&author=CS+Friedma n&author=G+Trevelyan&author=TT+Robbins&author=EP+Mulder&author=R+Fields&journal=Aquaculture&volume=224&pages=1-23&publication_y ear=2003&)

Friedman CS, Wight N, Crosson LM, VanBlaricom GR, Lafferty KD. **2014**. Reduced disease in black abalone following mass mortality: phage therapy and natura l selection. *Aquat. Microbiol.* 5:78 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Reduced+disease+in+black+abalone+following+m ass+mortality%3A+phage+therapy+and+natural+selection&author=CS+Friedman&author=N+Wight&author=LM+Crosson&author=GR+VanBlaricom & author=KD+Lafferty&journal=Aquat.+Microbiol.&volume=5&pages=78&publication_year=2014&)

He Y, Yu HW, Bao ZM, Zhang QQ, Guo X. **2012**. Mutation in promoter region of a serine protease inhibitor confers *Perkinsus marinus* resistance in the eastern o yster (*Crassostrea virginica*). *Fish Shellfish Immunol.* 33:411–17 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Mutation+in+promote r+region+of+a+serine+protease+inhibitor+confers+Perkinsus+marinus+resistance+in+the+eastern+oyster+%28Crassostrea+virginica%29&author=Y +He&author=HW+Yu&author=ZM+Bao&author=QQ+Zhang&author=X+Guo&journal=Fish+Shellfish+Immunol.&volume=33&pages=411-17&publicati on year=2012&)

Hooper C, Hardy-Smith P, Handlinger J. 2007. Ganglioneuritis causing high mortalities in farmed Australian abalone (*Haliotis laevigata* and *Haliotis rubra*). Au st. Vet. J. 85:188–93 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Ganglioneuritis+causing+high+mortalities+in+farmed+Australian+abalone+%28Haliotis+laevigata+and+Haliotis+rubra%29&author=C+Hooper&author=P+Hardy-Smith&author=J+Handlinger&journal=Aust.+Vet. +J.&volume=85&pages=188-93&publication_year=2007&)

Hostnik P, Barlic-Maganja D, Strancar M, Jencic V, Toplak I, Grom J. **2002**. Influence of storage temperature on infectious hematopoietic necrosis virus detecti on by cell culture isolation and RT-PCR methods. *Dis. Aquat. Org.* 52:179–84 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Influence+ of+storage+temperature+on+infectious+hematopoietic+necrosis+virus+detection+by+cell+culture+isolation+and+RT-PCR+methods&author=P+Hos tnik&author=D+Barlic-Maganja&author=M+Strancar&author=V+Jencic&author=I+Toplak&author=J+Grom&journal=Dis.+Aquat.+Org.&volume=52& pages=179-84&publication_year=2002&)

Huang YC, Yin ZX, Ai HS, Huang XD, Li SD, Weng SP, Hei JG. **2011**. Characterization of WSSV resistance in selected families of *Litopenaeus vannamei*. *Aquacult ure* 311:54–60 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Characterization+of+WSSV+resistance+in+selected+families+of+Lito penaeus+vannamei&author=YC+Huang&author=ZX+Yin&author=HS+Ai&author=XD+Huang&author=SD+Li&author=SP+Weng&author=JG+Hei&jour nal=Aquaculture&volume=311&pages=54-60&publication_year=2011&) Iwamoto T, Mise K, Taked A, Okinaka Y, Mori F. et al. **2005**. Characterization of striped jack nervous necrosis virus subgenomic RNA3 and biological activities of its encoded protein B2. *J. Gen. Virol.* 86:2807–16 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Characterization+of+striped+jack+ nervous+necrosis+virus+subgenomic+RNA3+and+biological+activities+of+its+encoded+protein+B2&author=T+Iwamoto&author=K+Mise&author=A +Taked&author=Y+Okinaka&author=F+Mori&journal=J.+Gen.+Virol.&volume=86&pages=2807-16&publication_year=2005&)

Jackson D, Cotter D, Newell J, McEvoy S, O'Donohoe P. et al. **2013**. Impact of *Lepeophtheirus salmonis* infestations on migrating Atlantic salmon, *Salmo salar* L., smolts at eight locations in Ireland with an analysis of lice-induced marine mortality. *J. Fish Dis.* 36:273–81 [Google Scholar].(http://scholar.google.com/ scholar_lookup?title=Impact+of+Lepeophtheirus+salmonis+infestations+on+migrating+Atlantic+salmon%2C+Salmo+salar+L.%2C+smolts+at+eight +locations+in+Ireland+with+an+analysis+of+lice-induced+marine+mortality&author=D+Jackson&author=D+Cotter&author=J+Newell&author=S+M cEvoy&author=P+0%27Donohoe&journal=J.+Fish+Dis.&volume=36&pages=273-81&publication_year=2013&)

Jiravanichpaisal P, Soderhall K, Soderhall I. 2004. Effect of water temperature on the immune response and infectivity pattern of white spot syndrome virus (WSSV) in freshwater crayfish. *Fish Shellfish Immunol.* 17:265–75 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Effect+of+water+te mperature+on+the+immune+response+and+infectivity+pattern+of+white+spot+syndrome+virus+%28WSSV%29+in+freshwater+crayfish&author=P +Jiravanichpaisal&author=K+Soderhall&author=I+Soderhall&journal=Fish+Shellfish+Immunol.&volume=17&pages=265-75&publication_year=2004 &)

Johansen L-H, Jensen I, Mikkelsen H, Bjørn P-A, Jansen PA, Bergh O. **2011**. Disease interaction and pathogens exchange between wild and farmed fish popula tions with special reference to Norway. *Aquaculture* 315:167–86 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Disease+interaction+ and+pathogens+exchange+between+wild+and+farmed+fish+populations+with+special+reference+to+Norway&author=L-H+Johansen&author=I+Je nsen&author=H+Mikkelsen&author=P-A+Bj%C3%B8rn&author=PA+Jansen&author=O+Bergh&journal=Aquaculture&volume=315&pages=167-86&p ublication_year=2011&)

Johnsen BO, Jensen AJ. **1991**. The *Gyrodactylus* story in Norway. *Aquaculture* 98:289–302 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?tit le=The+Gyrodactylus+story+in+Norway&author=BO+Johnsen&author=AJ+Jensen&journal=Aquaculture&volume=98&pages=289-302&publication_ year=1991&)

Jones JB, Fletcher WJ. **2012**. Assessment of the risks associated with the release of abalone sourced from abalone hatcheries for enhancement of marine gro w-out in the open ocean areas of WA. *Fish. Rep.* 227:1–24 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Assessment+of+the+risks+a ssociated+with+the+release+of+abalone+sourced+from+abalone+hatcheries+for+enhancement+of+marine+grow-out+in+the+open+ocean+areas+o <u>f+WA&author=JB+Jones&author=WJ+Fletcher&journal=Fish.+Rep.&volume=227&pages=1-24&publication_year=2012&)</u>

Kabata Z, Whitaker DJ. **1981**. Two species of *Kudoa* (Myxosporea: Multivalvulida) parasitic in the flesh of *Merluccius productus* (Ayres, 1855) (Pisces: Teleostei) in the Canadian Pacific. *Can. J. Zool.* 59:2085–91 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Two+species+of+Kudoa+%28Myxos porea%3A+Multivalvulida%29+parasitic+in+the+flesh+of+Merluccius+productus+%28Ayres%2C+1855%29+%28Pisces%3A+Teleostei%29+in+the+Ca nadian+Pacific&author=Z+Kabata&author=DJ+Whitaker&journal=Can.+J.+Zool.&volume=59&pages=2085-91&publication_year=1981&)

Kara HM, Chaoui L, Derbal F, Zaidi R, de Boisséson C. et al. **2014**. Betanodavirus-associated mortalities of adult wild groupers *Epinephelus marginatus* (Lowe) and *Epinephelus costae* (Steindachner) in Algeria. *J. Fish Dis.* 37:273–78 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Betanodavirus s-associated+mortalities+of+adult+wild+groupers+Epinephelus+marginatus+%28Lowe%29+and+Epinephelus+costae+%28Steindachner%29+in+Al geria&author=HM+Kara&author=L+Chaoui&author=F+Derbal&author=R+Zaidi&author=C+de+Boiss%C3%A9son&journal=J.+Fish+Dis.&volume=37& pages=273-78&publication_year=2014&)

Karpov K, Haaker P, Taniguchi I, Rogers-Bennett L. 2000. Serial depletion and the collapse of the California abalone (*Haliotis* spp.) fishery. *Workshop on Rebui lding Abalone Stocks in British Columbia* A Campbell 11–12 Ottawa, Can: NRC Res. [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Seri al+depletion+and+the+collapse+of+the+California+abalone+%28Haliotis+spp.%29+fishery&author=K+Karpov&author=P+Haaker&author=I+Tanigu chi&author=L+Rogers-Bennett&journal=Workshop+on+Rebuilding+Abalone+Stocks+in+British+Columbia&pages=11-12&publication_year=2000&)

Kennedy CR, Hartvigsen R, Halvorsen O. **1991**. The importance of fish stocking in the dissemination of parasites throughout a group of reservoirs. *J. Fish Biol.* 38:541–52 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=The+importance+of+fish+stocking+in+the+dissemination+of+parasites

<u>+throughout+a+group+of+reservoirs&author=CR+Kennedy&author=R+Hartvigsen&author=O+Halvorsen&journal=J.+Fish+Biol.&volume=38&pages</u> =541-52&publication_year=1991&)

Kent ML. 2000. Marine netpen farming and infections with some unusual parasites. *Int. J. Parasitol.* 30:321–26 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/ scholar_lookup?title=Marine+netpen+farming+and+infections+with+some+unusual+parasites&author=ML+Kent&journal=Int.+J.+Parasitol.&volum e=30&pages=321-26&publication_year=2000&)

Kent ML, Kieser D. 2003. Avoidance of introduction of exotic pathogens with atlantic salmon reared in British Columbia. *Biosecurity in Aquaculture Productio n Systems: Exclusion of Pathogens and Other Undesirables*, ed. CS Lee, PJ O'Bryen 43–50 Baton Rouge, LA: World Aquac. Soc. [Google Scholar].(http://schol ar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Avoidance+of+introduction+of+exotic+pathogens+with+atlantic+salmon+reared+in+British+Columbia&author =ML+Kent&author=D+Kieser&journal=Biosecurity+in+Aquaculture+Production+Systems%3A+Exclusion+of+Pathogens+and+Other+Undesirables&p ages=43-50&publication_year=2003&)

Kent ML, Traxler GS, Kieser D, Richard J, Dawe SC. et al. **1998**. Survey of salmonid pathogens in ocean-caught fishes in British Columbia, Canada. *J. Aquat. Ani m. Health* 10:211–19 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Survey+of+salmonid+pathogens+in+ocean-caught+fishes+in+ British+Columbia%2C+Canada&author=ML+Kent&author=GS+Traxler&author=D+Kieser&author=J+Richard&author=SC+Dawe&journal=J.+Aquat.+ Anim.+Health&volume=10&pages=211-19&publication_year=1998&)

Kim Y, Powell EN. 2007. Distribution of parasites and pathologies in sentinel bivalves: NOAA status and trends "Mussel Watch" program. J. Shellfish Res. 26:11 15–51 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Distribution+of+parasites+and+pathologies+in+sentinel+bivalves%3A+NOA A+status+and+trends+%E2%80%9CMussel+Watch%E2%80%9D+program&author=Y+Kim&author=EN+Powell&journal=J.+Shellfish+Res.&volume=2 6&pages=1115-51&publication_year=2007&)

Krkošek M, Connors BM, Morton A, Lewis MA, Dill LM, Hilborn R. **2011**. Effects of parasites from salmon farms on productivity of wild salmon. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 108:14700–704 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Effects+of+parasites+from+salmon+farms+on+productivit y+of+wild+salmon&author=M+Krko%C5%A1ek&author=BM+Connors&author=A+Morton&author=MA+Lewis&author=LM+Dill&author=R+Hilborn&jo urnal=Proc.+Natl.+Acad.+Sci.+USA&volume=108&pages=14700-704&publication_year=2011&)

Krkošek M, Ford JS, Morton A, Lele S, Myers RA, Lewis MA. 2007. Declining wild salmon populations in relation to parasites from farm salmon. *Science* 318:177 2–75 [Google Scholar].(http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Declining+wild+salmon+populations+in+relation+to+parasites+from+farm+s almon&author=M+Krko%C5%A1ek&author=JS+Ford&author=A+Morton&author=S+Lele&author=RA+Myers&author=MA+Lewis&journal=Science&v olume=318&pages=1772-75&publication_year=2007&)

Kurath G, Winton J. **2011**. Complex dynamics at the interface between wild and domestic viruses of finfish. *Curr. Opin. Virol.* 1:73–80 [Google Scholar] (htt p://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Complex+dynamics+at+the+interface+between+wild+and+domestic+viruses+of+finfish&author=G+Ku rath&author=J+Winton&journal=Curr.+Opin.+Virol.&volume=1&pages=73-80&publication_year=2011&)

Kuris AM, Culver CS. **1999**. An introduced sabellid polychaete pest infesting cultured abalones and its potential spread to other California gastropods. *Inverte* br. Biol. 118:391–403 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=An+introduced+sabellid+polychaete+pest+infesting+cultured +abalones+and+its+potential+spread+to+other+California+gastropods&author=AM+Kuris&author=CS+Culver&journal=Invertebr.+Biol.&volume=11 <u>8&pages=391-403&publication_year=1999&)</u>

Kuris AM, Lafferty KD. **1992**. Modelling crustacean fisheries: effects of parasites on management strategies. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 49:327–36 [Google Schola r] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Modelling+crustacean+fisheries%3A+effects+of+parasites+on+management+strategies&author= AM+Kuris&author=KD+Lafferty&journal=Can.+J.+Fish.+Aquat.+Sci.&volume=49&pages=327-36&publication_year=1992&)

Lafferty KD. 2004. Fishing for lobsters indirectly increases epidemics in sea urchins. *Ecol. Appl.* 14:1566–73 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Fishing+for+lobsters+indirectly+increases+epidemics+in+sea+urchins&author=KD+Lafferty&journal=Ecol.+Appl.&volume=14&pa ges=1566-73&publication_year=2004&)

Lafferty KD, Ben-Horin T. **2013**. Abalone farm discharges the withering syndrome pathogen into the wild. *Front. Microbiol.* 4:373 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Abalone+farm+discharges+the+withering+syndrome+pathogen+into+the+wild&author=KD+Lafferty&author

=T+Ben-Horin&journal=Front.+Microbiol.&volume=4&pages=373&publication_year=2013&)

Le Breton A, Grisez L, Sweetman J, Ollevier F. **1997**. Viral nervous necrosis (VNN) associated with mass mortalities in cage-reared sea bass, *Dicentrarchus labr* ax (L.). J. Fish Dis. 20:145–51 [Google Scholar].(http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Viral+nervous+necrosis+%28VNN%29+associated+wit h+mass+mortalities+in+cage-reared+sea+bass%2C+Dicentrarchus+labrax+%28L.%29&author=A+Le+Breton&author=L+Grisez&author=J+Sweetma n&author=F+Ollevier&journal=J.+Fish+Dis.&volume=20&pages=145-51&publication_year=1997&)

Lightner DV. 2011. Virus diseases of farmed shrimp in the western hemisphere: a review. *J. Invertebr. Pathol.* 106:110–30 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.go ogle.com/scholar_lookup?title=Virus+diseases+of+farmed+shrimp+in+the+western+hemisphere%3A+a+review&author=DV+Lightner&journal=J.+In vertebr.+Pathol.&volume=106&pages=110-30&publication_year=2011&)

Lillehaug A, Lunestad BT, Grave K. 2003. Epidemiology of bacterial diseases in Norwegian aquaculture—a description based on antibiotic prescription data for r the ten-year period 1991 to 2000. *Dis. Aquat. Org.* 53:115–25 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Epidemiology+of+bacte rial+diseases+in+Norwegian+aquaculture%E2%80%94a+description+based+on+antibiotic+prescription+data+for+the+ten-year+period+1991+to+2 000&author=A+Lillehaug&author=BT+Lunestad&author=K+Grave&journal=Dis.+Aquat.+Org.&volume=53&pages=115-25&publication_year=2003&)

Liu Y, Sumaila UR, Volpe JP. **2011**. Potential ecological and economic impacts of sea lice from farmed salmon on wild salmon fisheries. *Ecol. Econ.* 70:1746–55 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Potential+ecological+and+economic+impacts+of+sea+lice+from+farmed+salmon +on+wild+salmon+fisheries&author=Y+Liu&author=UR+Sumaila&author=JP+Volpe&journal=Ecol.+Econ.&volume=70&pages=1746-55&publication_ year=2011&)

Lotz JM, Soto MA. 2002. Model of white spot syndrome virus (WSSV) epidemics in *Litopenaeus vannamei. Dis. Aquat. Org.* 50:199–209 [Google Scholar] (htt p://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Model+of+white+spot+syndrome+virus+%28WSSV%29+epidemics+in+Litopenaeus+vannamei&author =JM+Lotz&author=MA+Soto&journal=Dis.+Aquat.+Org.&volume=50&pages=199-209&publication_year=2002&)

Mackin JG. **1951**. Histopathology of infection of *Crassostrea virginica* (Gmelin) by *Dermocystidium marinum* Mackin, Owen, and Collier. *Bull. Mar. Sci. Gulf Car ibb.* 1:72–87 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Histopathology+of+infection+of+Crassostrea+virginica+%28Gmelin% 29+by+Dermocystidium+marinum+Mackin%2C+Owen%2C+and+Collier&author=JG+Mackin&journal=Bull.+Mar.+Sci.+Gulf+Caribb.&volume=1&pag es=72-87&publication_year=1951&)

Mann R, Powell EN. 2007. Why oyster restoration goals in the Chesapeake Bay are not and probably cannot be achieved. J. Shellfish Res. 26:905–17 [Google S cholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Why+oyster+restoration+goals+in+the+Chesapeake+Bay+are+not+and+probably+cannot+b e+achieved&author=R+Mann&author=EN+Powell&journal=J.+Shellfish+Res.&volume=26&pages=905-17&publication_year=2007&)

Mar. Harvest 2011. Annual report. Rep., Mar. Harvest, Bergen, Nor. http://hugin.info/209/R/1608160/510268.pdf (http://hugin.info/209/R/1608160/510268.pdf (http://hugin.info/209/R/1608160/5100/800

Marino G, Azzuro E. **2001**. Nodavirus in dusky grouper (*Epinephelus marginatus* Lowe 1834) of the natural marine reserve of Ustica, South Thyrrenian Sea. *Bio l. Mar. Mediterr.* 8:837–41 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Nodavirus+in+dusky+grouper+%28Epinephelus+margina tus+Lowe+1834%29+of+the+natural+marine+reserve+of+Ustica%2C+South+Thyrrenian+Sea&author=G+Marino&author=E+Azzuro&journal=Biol.+M ar.+Mediterr.&volume=8&pages=837-41&publication_year=2001&)

Marty GD, Saksida SM, Quinn TJ. **2010**. Relationship of farm salmon, sea lice, and wild salmon populations. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 107:22599–604 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Relationship+of+farm+salmon%2C+sea+lice%2C+and+wild+salmon+populations&author=GD+Marty&author=SM+Saksida&author=TJ+Quinn&journal=Proc.+Natl.+Acad.+Sci.+USA&volume=107&pages=22599-604&publication_year=2010 &)

Mayfield S, McGarvey R, Gorfine HK, Peeters H, Burch P, Sharma S. **2011**. Survey estimates of fishable biomass following a mass mortality in an Australian mol luscan fishery. *J. Fish Dis.* 34:287–302 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Survey+estimates+of+fishable+biomass+following+a+mass+mortality+in+an+Australian+molluscan+fishery&author=S+Mayfield&author=R+McGarvey&author=HK+Gorfine&author=H+Peeters& author=P+Burch&author=S+Sharma&journal=J.+Fish+Dis.&volume=34&pages=287-302&publication_year=2011&)

McClelland G. 2002. The trouble with sealworms (*Pseudoterranova decipiens* species complex, Nematoda): a review. *Parasitology* 124:S183–203 [Google Sch olar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=The+trouble+with+sealworms+%28Pseudoterranova+decipiens+species+complex%2C+Nema toda%29%3A+a+review&author=G+McClelland&journal=Parasitology&volume=124&pages=S183-203&publication_year=2002&)

McClennon C. 2004. The economic, environmental and technical implications on the development of Latin American shrimp farming MS Thesis, Tufts Univ., M edford, MA [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=The+economic%2C+environmental+and+technical+implications+on+th e+development+of+Latin+American+shrimp+farming&author=C+McClennon&publication_year=2004&)

McVicar AH. **1997**. Disease and parasite implications of the coexistence of wild and cultured Atlantic salmon populations. *ICES J. Mar. Sci.* 54:1093–103 [Goog] e Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Disease+and+parasite+implications+of+the+coexistence+of+wild+and+cultured+Atlanti c+salmon+populations&author=AH+McVicar&journal=ICES+J.+Mar.+Sci.&volume=54&pages=1093-103&publication_year=1997&)

Meyers TR, Korn D, Burton TM, Glass K, Follett JE. et al. **2003**. Infectious hematopoietic necrosis virus (IHNV) in Alaskan sockeye salmon culture from 1973 to 2 000: annual virus prevalences and titers in broodstocks compared with juvenile losses. *J. Aquat. Anim. Health* 15:21–30 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.goo gle.com/scholar_lookup?title=Infectious+hematopoietic+necrosis+virus+%28IHNV%29+in+Alaskan+sockeye+salmon+culture+from+1973+to+200 0%3A+annual+virus+prevalences+and+titers+in+broodstocks+compared+with+juvenile+losses&author=TR+Meyers&author=D+Korn&author=TM+B urton&author=K+Glass&author=JE+Follett&journal=J.+Aquat.+Anim.+Health&volume=15&pages=21-30&publication_year=2003&)

Moore JD, Marshman BC, Chun CSY. **2011**. Health and survival of red abalone *Haliotis rufescens* from San Miguel Island, California, USA, in a laboratory simula tion of La Niña and El Niño conditions. *J. Aquat. Anim. Health* 23:78–84 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Health+and+s urvival+of+red+abalone+Haliotis+rufescens+from+San+Miguel+Island%2C+California%2C+USA%2C+in+a+laboratory+simulation+of+La+Ni%C3%B1 a+and+El+Ni%C3%B1o+conditions&author=JD+Moore&author=BC+Marshman&author=CSY+Chun&journal=J.+Aquat.+Anim.+Health&volume=23&p ages=78-84&publication_year=2011&)

Morales-Covarrubias MS, Nunan LM, Lightner DV, Mota-Urbina JC, Garza-Aguirre MC, Chávez-Sánchez MC. **1999**. Prevalence of IHHNV in wild broodstock of *Pe* naeus stylirostris from the upper Gulf of California, Mexico. *J. Aquat. Anim. Health* 11:296–301 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_looku p?title=Prevalence+of+IHHNV+in+wild+broodstock+of+Penaeus+stylirostris+from+the+upper+Gulf+of+California%2C+Mexico&author=MS+Morales-Covarrubias&author=LM+Nunan&author=DV+Lightner&author=JC+Mota-Urbina&author=MC+Garza-Aguirre&author=MC+Ch%C3%A1vez-S%C3%A1 nchez&journal=J.+Aquat.+Anim.+Health&volume=11&pages=296-301&publication_year=1999&)

Moran JDW, Whitaker DJ, Kent ML. **1999**. A review of the myxosporean genus *Kudoa* Meglitsch, 1947, and its impact on the international aquaculture industry and commercial fisheries. *Aquaculture* 172:163–96 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=A+review+of+the+myxosporean+genus+Kudoa+Meglitsch%2C+1947%2C+and+its+impact+on+the+international+aquaculture+industry+and+commercial+fisheries&author=JDW+Mo

Morrison DB, Saksida S. **2013**. Trends in antimicrobial use in marine harvest Canada salmon production in British Columbia (2003–2011). *Can. Vet. J.* 54:1160–63 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Trends+in+antimicrobial+use+in+marine+harvest+Canada+salmon+production n+in+British+Columbia+%282003%E2%80%932011%29&author=DB+Morrison&author=S+Saksida&journal=Can.+Vet.+J.&volume=54&pages=1160-63&publication_year=2013&)

Munday BL, Kwang J, Moody N. 2002. Betanodavirus infections of teleost fish: a review. *J. Fish Dis.* 25:127–42 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/s cholar lookup?title=Betanodavirus+infections+of+teleost+fish%3A+a+review&author=BL+Munday&author=J+Kwang&author=N+Moody&journal=J. +Fish+Dis.&volume=25&pages=127-42&publication_year=2002&)

Munroe DM, Hofmann EE, Powell EN, Klinck JM. **2013**. How do shellfisheries influence genetic connectivity in metapopulations? A modeling study examining t he role of lower size limits in oyster fisheries. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 70:1813–28 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=How+ do+shellfisheries+influence+genetic+connectivity+in+metapopulations%3F+A+modeling+study+examining+the+role+of+lower+size+limits+in+oyst er+fisheries&author=DM+Munroe&author=EE+Hofmann&author=EN+Powell&author=JM+Klinck&journal=Can.+J.+Fish.+Aquat.+Sci.&volume=70&p ages=1813-28&publication_year=2013&)

Munroe DM, Klinck JM, Hofmann EE, Powell EN. 2012. The role of larval dispersal in metapopulation gene flow: local population dynamics matter. *J. Mar. Res.* 70:441–67 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=The+role+of+larval+dispersal+in+metapopulation+gene+flow%3A+loca <u>l+population+dynamics+matter&author=DM+Munroe&author=JM+Klinck&author=EE+Hofmann&author=EN+Powell&journal=J.+Mar.+Res.&volume</u> <u>=70&pages=441-67&publication_year=2012&)</u>

Panichareon B, Khawsak P, Deesukon W, Sukhumsirichart W. **2011**. Multiplex real-time PCR and high-resolution melting analysis for detection of white spot s yndrome virus, yellow-head virus, and *Penaeus monodon* densovirus in penaeid shrimp. *J. Virol. Methods* 178:16–21 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Multiplex+real-time+PCR+and+high-resolution+melting+analysis+for+detection+of+white+spot+syndrome+virus%2C+ yellow-head+virus%2C+and+Penaeus+monodon+densovirus+in+penaeid+shrimp&author=B+Panichareon&author=P+Khawsak&author=W+Deesuk on&author=W+Sukhumsirichart&journal=J.+Virol.+Methods&volume=178&pages=16-21&publication_year=2011&)

Panzarin V, Fusaro A, Monne I, Cappellozza E, Patarnello P. et al. **2012**. Molecular epidemiology and evolutionary dynamics of betanodavirus in southern Euro pe. *Infect. Genet. Evol.* 12:63–70 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Molecular+epidemiology+and+evolutionary+dyna mics+of+betanodavirus+in+southern+Europe&author=V+Panzarin&author=A+Fusaro&author=I+Monne&author=E+Cappellozza&author=P+Patarnel lo&journal=Infect.+Genet.+Evol.&volume=12&pages=63-70&publication_year=2012&)

Paynter KT, Politano V, Lane HA, Allen SM, Meritt D. **2010**. Growth rates and prevalence of *Perkinsus marinus* in restored oyster populations in Maryland. *J. Sh ellfish Res.* 29:309–17 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Growth+rates+and+prevalence+of+Perkinsus+marinus+in+re stored+oyster+populations+in+Maryland&author=KT+Paynter&author=V+Politano&author=HA+Lane&author=SM+Allen&author=D+Meritt&journal= J.+Shellfish+Res.&volume=29&pages=309-17&publication_year=2010&)

Perkins FO. **1988**. Structure of protistan parasites found in bivalve molluscs. *Disease Processes in Marine Bivalve Molluscs* WS Fisher 93–111 Bethesda, MD: A m. Fish. Soc. [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar lookup?title=Structure+of+protistan+parasites+found+in+bivalve+molluscs&auth or=FO+Perkins&journal=Disease+Processes+in+Marine+Bivalve+Molluscs&pages=93-111&publication_year=1988&)

Perkins SE, Zwerner D, Dias R. **1975**. The hyperparasite, *Urosporidium spisuli* sp. n. (Haplosporea), and its effects on the surf clam industry. *J. Parasitol.* 61:944 -49 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=The+hyperparasite%2C+Urosporidium+spisuli+sp.+n.+%28Haplosporea%2 9%2C+and+its+effects+on+the+surf+clam+industry&author=SE+Perkins&author=D+Zwerner&author=R+Dias&journal=J.+Parasitol.&volume=61&pa ges=944-49&publication_year=1975&)

Peterson CH, Grabowski JH, Powers SP. **2003**. Estimated enhancement of fish production resulting from restoring oyster reef habitat: quantitative valuation. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 264:249–64 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Estimated+enhancement+of+fish+production+resulting+from+restoring+oyster+reef+habitat%3A+quantitative+valuation&author=CH+Peterson&author=JH+Grabowski&author=SP+Powers&journal= Mar.+Ecol.+Prog.+Ser.&volume=264&pages=249-64&publication_year=2003&)

Pike AW, Wadsworth SL. 2000. Sealice on salmonids: their biology and control. *Adv. Parasitol.* 44:233–337 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/sch olar_lookup?title=Sealice+on+salmonids%3A+their+biology+and+control&author=AW+Pike&author=SL+Wadsworth&journal=Adv.+Parasitol.&volu me=44&pages=233-337&publication_year=2000&)

Powell EN, Klinck JM, Ashton-Alcox KA, Hofmann EE, Morson J. **2012a**. The rise and fall of *Crassostrea virginica* oyster reefs: the role of disease and fishing in t heir demise and a vignette on their management. *J. Mar. Res.* 70:505–58 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=The+rise+and +fall+of+Crassostrea+virginica+oyster+reefs%3A+the+role+of+disease+and+fishing+in+their+demise+and+a+vignette+on+their+management&auth or=EN+Powell&author=JM+Klinck&author=KA+Ashton-Alcox&author=EE+Hofmann&author=J+Morson&journal=J,+Mar.+Res.&volume=70&pages=5 05-58&)

Powell EN, Klinck JM, Ashton-Alcox KA, Kraeuter JN. 2009. Multiple stable reference points in oyster populations: implications for reference point-based mana gement. *Fish. Bull.* 107:133–47 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Multiple+stable+reference+points+in+oyster+popula tions%3A+implications+for+reference+point-based+management&author=EN+Powell&author=JM+Klinck&author=KA+Ashton-Alcox&author=JN+Kr aeuter&journal=Fish.+Bull.&volume=107&pages=133-47&publication_year=2009&)

Powell EN, Klinck JM, Guo X, Ford SE, Bushek D. **2011**. The potential for oysters, *Crassostrea virginica*, to develop resistance to Dermo disease in the field: eval uation using a gene-based population dynamics model. *J. Shellfish Res.* 30:685–712 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Th e+potential+for+oysters%2C+Crassostrea+virginica%2C+to+develop+resistance+to+Dermo+disease+in+the+field%3A+evaluation+using+a+gene-ba

<u>sed+population+dynamics+model&author=EN+Powell&author=JM+Klinck&author=X+Guo&author=SE+Ford&author=D+Bushek&journal=J.+Shellfis</u> <u>h+Res.&volume=30&pages=685-712&publication_year=2011&)</u>

Powell EN, Klinck JM, Guo X, Hofmann EE, Ford SE, Busheck D. **2012b**. Can oysters *Crassostrea virginica* develop resistance to Dermo disease in the field: the i mpediment posed by climate cycles. *J. Mar. Res.* 70:309–55 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Can+oysters+Crassostrea +virginica+develop+resistance+to+Dermo+disease+in+the+field%3A+the+impediment+posed+by+climate+cycles&author=EN+Powell&author=JM+K linck&author=X+Guo&author=EE+Hofmann&author=SE+Ford&author=D+Busheck&journal=J.+Mar.+Res.&volume=70&pages=309-55&)

Powell EN, Klinck JM, Hofmann EE. **1996**. Modeling diseased oyster populations. II. Triggering mechanisms for *Perkinsus marinus* epizootics. *J. Shellfish Res.* 15:141–65 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Modeling+diseased+oyster+populations.+II.+Triggering+mechanisms+f or+Perkinsus+marinus+epizootics&author=EN+Powell&author=JM+Klinck&author=EE+Hofmann&journal=J.+Shellfish+Res.&volume=15&pages=14 1-65&publication_year=1996&)

Rae GH. 2002. Sea louse control in Scotland, past and present. *Pest Manag. Sci.* 58:515–20 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?tit le=Sea+louse+control+in+Scotland%2C+past+and+present&author=GH+Rae&journal=Pest+Manag.+Sci.&volume=58&pages=515-20&publication_ye ar=2002&)

Ray SM, Chandler AC. **1955**. *Dermocystidium marinum*, a parasite of oysters. *Exp. Parasitol*. 4:172–200 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar _lookup?title=Dermocystidium+marinum%2C+a+parasite+of+oysters&author=SM+Ray&author=AC+Chandler&journal=Exp.+Parasitol.&volume=4& pages=172-200&publication_year=1955&)

Raynard R, Wahli T, Vatsos I, Mortensen S. 2007. *Review of disease interactions and pathogen exchange between farmed and wild finfish and shellfish in Euro pe* Rep. 1655, VESO, Oslo, Nor. [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Review+of+disease+interactions+and+pathogen+exc hange+between+farmed+and+wild+finfish+and+shellfish+in+Europe&author=R+Raynard&author=T+Wahli&author=I+Vatsos&author=S+Mortensen &publication_year=2007&)

Revie CW, Gettinby G, Treasurer JW, Rae GH, Clark N. 2002. Temporal, environmental and management factors influencing the epidemiological patterns of se a lice (*Lepeophtheirus salmonis*) infestations on farmed Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) in Scotland. *Pest Manag. Sci.* 58:576–84 [Google Scholar] (http://schol ar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Temporal%2C+environmental+and+management+factors+influencing+the+epidemiological+patterns+of+sea+l ice+%28Lepeophtheirus+salmonis%29+infestations+on+farmed+Atlantic+salmon+%28Salmo+salar%29+in+Scotland&author=CW+Revie&author=G +Gettinby&author=JW+Treasurer&author=GH+Rae&author=N+Clark&journal=Pest+Manag.+Sci.&volume=58&pages=576-84&publication_year=2002 &)

Saksida SM. 2006. Infectious haematopoietic necrosis epidemic (2001 to 2003) in farmed Atlantic salmon *Salmo salar* in British Columbia. *Dis. Aquat. Org.* 72: 213–23 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Infectious+haematopoietic+necrosis+epidemic+%282001+to+2003%29+in +farmed+Atlantic+salmon+Salmo+salar+in+British+Columbia&author=SM+Saksida&journal=Dis.+Aquat.+Org.&volume=72&pages=213-23&publicat ion_year=2006&)

Saksida SM, Gardner I, Kent ML. 2014. Transmission of infectious agents between wild and farmed fish. *Diseases and Disorders of Finfish in Cage Culture* PTK Woo, DW Bruno, LHS Lim Wallingford, UK: CABI [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Transmission+of+infectious+agents+ between+wild+and+farmed+fish&author=SM+Saksida&author=I+Gardner&author=ML+Kent&journal=Diseases+and+Disorders+of+Finfish+in+Cage+ Culture&publication_year=2014&)

Saksida SM, Morrison D, Sheppard M, Keith I. 2011. Sea lice management on salmon farms in British Columbia, Canada. *Salmon Lice: An Integrated Approach to Understanding Parasite Abundance and Distribution* S Jones, R Beamish 235–78 Chichester, UK: Wiley-Blackwell [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Sea+lice+management+on+salmon+farms+in+British+Columbia%2C+Canada&author=SM+Saksida&author=D+Morrison&author=M+Sheppard&author=I+Keith&journal=Salmon+Lice%3A+An+Integrated+Approach+to+Understanding+Parasite+Abundance+and+Distributionon&pages=235-78&publication_year=2011&)

Salehi H. 2010. The economic impacts of WSSV on shrimp farming production and export in Iran. *Aquat. Anim. Health* 5:29–31 [Google Scholar] (http://schol ar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=The+economic+impacts+of+WSSV+on+shrimp+farming+production+and+export+in+Iran&author=H+Salehi&jo urnal=Aquat.+Anim.+Health&volume=5&pages=29-31&publication_year=2010&) Sánchez-Mártinez JG, Aguirre-Guzmán G, Meíja-Ruíz H. 2007. White Spot Syndrome Virus in cultured shrimp: a review. *Aquac. Res.* 38:1339–54 [Google Schol ar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=White+Spot+Syndrome+Virus+in+cultured+shrimp%3A+a+review&author=JG+S%C3%A1nchez-M%C3%A1rtinez&author=G+Aguirre-Guzm%C3%A1n&author=H+Me%C3%ADja-Ru%C3%ADz&journal=Aquac.+Res.&volume=38&pages=1339-54&pu blication_year=2007&)

Scholz T. 1999. Parasites in cultured and feral fish. Vet. Parasitol. 84:317–35 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Parasites+ in+cultured+and+feral+fish&author=T+Scholz&journal=Vet.+Parasitol.&volume=84&pages=317-35&publication_year=1999&)

Seng LT. **1998**. Grouper culture. *Tropical Mariculture* SS De Silva 423–48 San Diego, CA: Academic [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_loo kup?title=Grouper+culture&author=LT+Seng&journal=Tropical+Mariculture&pages=423-48&publication_year=1998&)

Sinderman CJ. **1984**. Disease in marine aquaculture. *Helgol. Meeresunters.* 37:505–32 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title= <u>Disease+in+marine+aquaculture&author=CJ+Sinderman&journal=Helgol.+Meeresunters.&volume=37&pages=505-32&publication_year=1984&)</u>

Sinnott R. 1998. Sea lice—watch out for the hidden costs. *Fish Farmer* 21:45–46 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Sea+lic e%E2%80%94watch+out+for+the+hidden+costs&author=R+Sinnott&journal=Fish+Farmer&volume=21&pages=45-46&publication_year=1998&)

Smith VJ, Brown JH, Hauton C. 2003. Immunostimulation in crustaceans: Does it really protect against infection?. *Fish Shellfish Immunol.* 15:71–90 [Google S cholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Immunostimulation+in+crustaceans%3A+Does+it+really+protect+against+infection%3F&a uthor=VJ+Smith&author=JH+Brown&author=C+Hauton&journal=Fish+Shellfish+Immunol.&volume=15&pages=71-90&publication_year=2003&)

Soniat TM, Hofmann EE, Klinck JM, Powell EN. 2009. Differential modulation of eastern oyster (*Crassostrea virginica*) disease parasites by the El Niño-Souther n Oscillation and the North Atlantic Oscillation. *Int. J. Earth Sci.* 98:99–114 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Differential +modulation+of+eastern+oyster+%28Crassostrea+virginica%29+disease+parasites+by+the+El+Ni%C3%B1o-Southern+Oscillation+and+the+North+ Atlantic+Oscillation&author=TM+Soniat&author=EE+Hofmann&author=JM+Klinck&author=EN+Powell&journal=Int.+J.+Earth+Sci.&volume=98&pa ges=99-114&publication_year=2009&)

Soniat TM, Klinck JM, Powell EN, Cooper N, Abdelguerfi M. et al. **2012**. A shell-neutral modeling approach yields sustainable oyster harvest estimates: a retros pective analysis of the Louisiana state primary seed grounds. *J. Shellfish Res.* 31:1103–12 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?titl e=A+shell-neutral+modeling+approach+yields+sustainable+oyster+harvest+estimates%3A+a+retrospective+analysis+of+the+Louisiana+state+prim ary+seed+grounds&author=TM+Soniat&author=JM+Klinck&author=EN+Powell&author=N+Cooper&author=M+Abdelguerfi&journal=J.+Shellfish+Res.s.

St-Hilaire S, Ribble CS, Stephen C, Anderson E, Kurath G, Kent ML. **2002**. Epidemiological investigation of infectious hematopoietic necrosis virus in salt water net-pen reared Atlantic salmon in British Columbia, Canada. *Aquaculture* 212:49–67 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Ep idemiological+investigation+of+infectious+hematopoietic+necrosis+virus+in+salt+water+net-pen+reared+Atlantic+salmon+in+British+Columbia%2 C+Canada&author=S+St-Hilaire&author=CS+Ribble&author=C+Stephen&author=E+Anderson&author=G+Kurath&author=ML+Kent&journal=Aquacu Iture&volume=212&pages=49-67&publication_year=2002&)

St-Hilaire S, Ribble CS, Traxler GS, Davies T, Kent ML. **2001**. Evidence for a carrier state of infectious hematopoietic necrosis virus in chinook salmon (*Oncorhy nchus tshawytscha*). *Dis. Aquat. Org.* 46:173–79 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Evidence+for+a+carrier+state+of+inf ectious+hematopoietic+necrosis+virus+in+chinook+salmon+%28Oncorhynchus+tshawytscha%29&author=S+St-Hilaire&author=CS+Ribble&author =GS+Traxler&author=T+Davies&author=ML+Kent&journal=Dis.+Aquat.+Org.&volume=46&pages=173-79&publication_year=2001&)

Stiglitz JE. **1988**. *Economics of the Public Sector* New York: Norton, 2nd ed.. [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Economic s+of+the+Public+Sector&author=JE+Stiglitz&publication_year=1988&)

Taylor D, Kahn R. **1995**. Observations on the occurrence of *Hematodinium sp*. (Dinoflagellata: Syndinidae), the causative agent of bitter crab disease in Newfo undland snow crab (*Chionecetes opilio*). *J. Invertebr. Pathol.* 65:283–88 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Observations+ on+the+occurrence+of+Hematodinium+sp.+%28Dinoflagellata%3A+Syndinidae%29%2C+the+causative+agent+of+bitter+crab+disease+in+Newfoun dland+snow+crab+%28Chionecetes+opilio%29&author=D+Taylor&author=R+Kahn&journal=J.+Invertebr.+Pathol.&volume=65&pages=283-88&publ ication_year=1995&)

Traxler GS, Roome JR, Kent ML. **1993**. Transmission of infectious hematopoietic necrosis virus in seawater. *Dis. Aquat. Org.* 16:111–14 [Google Scholar] (htt p://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Transmission+of+infectious+hematopoietic+necrosis+virus+in+seawater&author=GS+Traxler&author= JR+Roome&author=ML+Kent&journal=Dis.+Aquat.+Org.&volume=16&pages=111-14&publication_year=1993&)

Traxler GS, Roome JR, Lauda KA, LaPatra S. **1997**. Appearance of infectious hematopoietic necrosis virus (IHNV) and neutralizing antibodies in sockeye salmo n *Onchorynchus nerka* during their migration and maturation period. *Dis. Aquat. Org.* 28:31–38 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_looku p?title=Appearance+of+infectious+hematopoietic+necrosis+virus+%28IHNV%29+and+neutralizing+antibodies+in+sockeye+salmon+Onchorynchus+ nerka+during+their+migration+and+maturation+period&author=GS+Traxler&author=JR+Roome&author=KA+Lauda&author=S+LaPatra&journal=Di s.+Aquat.+Org.&volume=28&pages=31-38&publication_year=1997&).

Vendramin N, Patarnello P, Toffan A, Panzarin V, Cappellozza E. et al. **2013**. Viral encephalopathy and retinopathy in groupers (*Epinephelus spp.*) in southern It aly: a threat for wild endangered species?. *BMC Vet. Res.* 9:20 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Viral+encephalopathy+ and+retinopathy+in+groupers+%28Epinephelus+spp.%29+in+southern+Italy%3A+a+threat+for+wild+endangered+species%3F&author=N+Vendram in&author=P+Patarnello&author=A+Toffan&author=V+Panzarin&author=E+Cappellozza&journal=BMC+Vet.+Res.&volume=9&pages=20&publication _year=2013&)

Ward JR, Lafferty KD. 2004. The elusive baseline of marine disease: Are diseases in ocean ecosystems increasing?. *PLoS Biol.* 2:542–47 [Google Scholar] (htt p://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=The+elusive+baseline+of+marine+disease%3A+Are+diseases+in+ocean+ecosystems+increasing%3F&a uthor=JR+Ward&author=KD+Lafferty&journal=PLoS+Biol.&volume=2&pages=542-47&publication_year=2004&)

Whitaker DJ, Kent ML. **1991**. Myxosporean *Kudoa thyrsites*: a cause of soft flesh in farm-reared Atlantic salmon. *J. Aquat. Anim. Health* 3:291–94 [Google Scho lar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Myxosporean+Kudoa+thyrsites%3A+a+cause+of+soft+flesh+in+farm-reared+Atlantic+salmon& author=DJ+Whitaker&author=ML+Kent&journal=J.+Aquat.+Anim.+Health&volume=3&pages=291-94&publication_year=1991&)

White VC, Morado JF, Crosson LM, Vadopalas B, Friedman CS. **2013**. Development and validation of a quantitative PCR assay for *lchthyophonus* spp. *Dis. Aqua t. Org.* 104:69–81 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Development+and+validation+of+a+quantitative+PCR+assay+for +lchthyophonus+spp&author=VC+White&author=JF+Morado&author=LM+Crosson&author=B+Vadopalas&author=CS+Friedman&journal=Dis.+Aqua t.+Org.&volume=104&pages=69-81&publication_year=2013&)

Whittington RJ, Jones JB, Hine PM, Hyatt AD. **1997**. Epizootic mortality in the pilchard *Sardinops sagax neopilchardus* in Australia and New Zealand in 1995. I. Pathology and epizootiology. *Dis. Aquat. Org.* 28:1–16 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Epizootic+mortality+in+the+pi lchard+Sardinops+sagax+neopilchardus+in+Australia+and+New+Zealand+in+1995.+I.+Pathology+and+epizootiology&author=RJ+Whittington&auth or=JB+Jones&author=PM+Hine&author=AD+Hyatt&journal=Dis.+Aquat.+Org.&volume=28&pages=1-16&publication_year=1997&)

Willman Rolf, Kieran Kelleher, Arnason R, Franz N. 2009. *The Sunken Billions: The Economic Justification for Fisheries Reform* Washington, DC: World Bank an d FAO (Food Agric. Organ. UN) [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=The+Sunken+Billions%3A+The+Economic+Justification on+for+Fisheries+Reform&author=Rolf+Willman&author=Kelleher+Kieran&author=R+Arnason&author=N+Franz&publication_year=2009&)

Wood CL, Lafferty KD, Micheli F. **2010**. Fishing out marine parasites? Impacts of fishing on rates of parasitism in the ocean. *Ecol. Lett.* 13:761–75 [Google Schol ar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Fishing+out+marine+parasites%3F+Impacts+of+fishing+on+rates+of+parasitism+in+the+ocean &author=CL+Wood&author=KD+Lafferty&author=F+Micheli&journal=Ecol.+Lett.&volume=13&pages=761-75&publication_year=2010&)

Zhiqin Y, Weiji W, Jie K, Jixun D. **2005**. Isolation, cloning and sequencing of AFLP markers related to disease resistance trait in *Fenneropenaeus chinensis*. *Chi n. J. Oceanol. Limnol.* 23:442–47 [Google Scholar] (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Isolation%2C+cloning+and+sequencing+of+AFLP+ markers+related+to+disease+resistance+trait+in+Fenneropenaeus+chinensis&author=Y+Zhiqin&author=W+Weiji&author=K+Jie&author=D+Jixun&j ournal=Chin.+J.+Oceanol.+Limnol.&volume=23&pages=442-47&publication_year=2005&)

Biological Impacts of Marine Heatwaves (/content/journals/10.1146/annurev-marine-032122-121437)

Kathryn E. Smith, Michael T. Burrows, Alistair J. Hobday, Nathan G. King, Pippa J. Moore, Alex Sen Gupta, Mads S. Thomsen, Thomas Wernberg and Dan A. Smale pp. 119–145 (27)

Impacts of Climate Change on Marine Foundation Species (/content/journals/10.1146/annurev-marine-042023-093037)

Thomas Wernberg, Mads S. Thomsen, Julia K. Baum, Melanie J. Bishop, John F. Bruno, Melinda A. Coleman, Karen Filbee-Dexter, Karine Gagnon, Qiang He, Daniel Murdiyarso, Kerrylee Rogers, Brian R. Silliman, Dan A. Smale, Samuel Starko and Mathew A. Vanderklift pp. 247–282 (36)

Marine Heatwaves (/content/journals/10.1146/annurev-marine-032720-095144)

Eric C.J. Oliver, Jessica A. Benthuysen, Sofia Darmaraki, Markus G. Donat, Alistair J. Hobday, Neil J. Holbrook, Robert W. Schlegel and Alex Sen Gupta pp. 313–342 (30)

Quantifying the Ocean's Biological Pump and Its Carbon Cycle Impacts on Global Scales (/content/journals/10.1146/annurev-marine-040722-115226)

David A. Siegel, Timothy DeVries, Ivona Cetinić and Kelsey M. Bisson pp. 329–356 (28)

Marshes and Mangroves as Nature-Based Coastal Storm Buffers (/content/journals/10.1146/annurev-marine-040422-092951)

Stijn Temmerman, Erik M. Horstman, Ken W. Krauss, Julia C. Mullarney, Ignace Pelckmans and Ken Schoutens pp. 95–118 (24)

Most Cited

ふ (/rss/content/journals/marine/mostcitedarticles?fmt=rss)

Ocean Acidification: The Other CO₂ Problem (/content/journals/10.1146/annurev.marine.010908.163834)

<u>Scott C. Doney (/search?value1=Scott+C.+Doney&option1=author&noRedirect=true&sortField=prism_publicationDate&sortDescending=true)</u>, <u>Victoria J. Fabry</u> (/search?value1=Victoria+J.+Fabry&option1=author&noRedirect=true&sortField=prism_publicationDate&sortDescending=true), <u>Richard A. Feely (/search?</u> value1=Richard+A.+Feely&option1=author&noRedirect=true&sortField=prism_publicationDate&sortDescending=true) and Joan A. Kleypas (/search? value1=Joan+A.+Kleypas&option1=author&noRedirect=true&sortField=prism_publicationDate&sortDescending=true). Vol. 1 (2009), pp. 169–192

Climate Change Impacts on Marine Ecosystems (/content/journals/10.1146/annurev-marine-041911-111611)

Scott C. Doney (/search?value1=Scott+C.+Doney&option1=author&noRedirect=true&sortField=prism_publicationDate&sortDescending=true), J. Emmett Duffy (/search? value1=J.+Emmett+Duffy&option1=author&noRedirect=true&sortField=prism_publicationDate&sortDescending=true), J. Emmett Duffy (/search? value1=James+P.+Barry&option1=author&noRedirect=true&sortField=prism_publicationDate&sortDescending=true), James P. Barry (/search? value1=Francis+Chan&option1=author&noRedirect=true&sortField=prism_publicationDate&sortDescending=true), Francis Chan (/search? value1=Chad+A.+English&option1=author&noRedirect=true&sortField=prism_publicationDate&sortDescending=true), Heather M. Galindo (/search? value1=Chad+A.+English&option1=author&noRedirect=true&sortField=prism_publicationDate&sortDescending=true), Jacqueline M. Grebmeier (/search? value1=Heather+M.+Galindo&option1=author&noRedirect=true&sortField=prism_publicationDate&sortDescending=true), Anne B. Hollowed (/search? value1=Jacqueline+M.+Grebmeier&option1=author&noRedirect=true&sortField=prism_publicationDate&sortDescending=true), Anne B. Hollowed (/search? value1=Anne+B.+Hollowed&option1=author&noRedirect=true&sortField=prism_publicationDate&sortDescending=true), Nancy Knowlton (/search? value1=Nancy+Knowlton&option1=author&noRedirect=true&sortField=prism_publicationDate&sortDescending=true), Nancy Knowlton (/search? value1=Nancy+Knowlton&option1=author&noRedirect=true&sortField=prism_publicationDate&sortDescending=true), Nancy N. Rabalais (/search? value1=Jeffrey+Polovina&option1=author&noRedirect=true&sortField=prism_publicationDate&sortDescending=true), William J. Sydeman (/search? value1=William+J.+Sydeman&option1=author&noRedirect=true&sortField=prism_publicationDate&sortDescending=true), and Lynne D. Talley (/search? value1=Lynne+D.+Talley&option1=author&noRedirect=true&sortField=prism_publicationDate&sortDescending=true). Vol. 4 (2012), pp. 11–37

Larval Dispersal and Marine Population Connectivity (/content/journals/10.1146/annurev.marine.010908.163757)

<u>Robert K. Cowen (/search?value1=Robert+K.+Cowen&option1=author&noRedirect=true&sortField=prism_publicationDate&sortDescending=true)</u> and <u>Su</u> <u>Sponaugle (/search?value1=Su+Sponaugle&option1=author&noRedirect=true&sortField=prism_publicationDate&sortDescending=true)</u> Vol. 1 (2009), pp. 443–466

Ocean Deoxygenation in a Warming World (/content/journals/10.1146/annurev.marine.010908.163855)

Ralph F. Keeling (/search?value1=Ralph+F.+Keeling&option1=author&noRedirect=true&sortField=prism_publicationDate&sortDescending=true), Arne Körtzinger (/search?value1=Arne+K%C3%B6rtzinger&option1=author&noRedirect=true&sortField=prism_publicationDate&sortDescending=true) and Nicolas Gruber (/search?value1=Nicolas+Gruber&option1=author&noRedirect=true&sortField=prism_publicationDate&sortDescending=true). Vol. 2 (2010), pp. 199–229

Carbon Cycling and Storage in Mangrove Forests (/content/journals/10.1146/annurev-marine-010213-135020)

Daniel M. Alongi (/search?value1=Daniel+M.+Alongi&option1=author&noRedirect=true&sortField=prism_publicationDate&sortDescending=true). Vol. 6 (2014), pp. 195–219

What We DoJournals A-ZSubscribe to Open (S2O).(/S2O).Article Preparation andWhat We Do(/content/publications).Librarian Resource Center (/page/librarians/librarian-resource-page).Submission(/about/impact-factors).Institutional Account Administration(/page/authors/general-(/about/impact-factors).(/thtps://www.annualreviews.org/registration/signin-or-Editorial Principles and(/about/press-center)Publication Datesregister.action?signInTarget=%2Fadmin).Editorial Principles andCareers(/journal/pubdates).Institutional Pricing.(/page/subscriptions/instchoice).(/page/authors/editoria(/page/about/careers-Online Events.(/page/events).Usage Statistics (/action/showInstitutionUsageReport).Policies.Contact Us(/page/collectionarchive).Charleston Hub (https://www.charleston-hub.com/).Contact Us(/page/about/faq)Katina AgazineCharleston Advisor (Archive)(/page/authors/contact-(/page/about/faq)Katina Magazine.org/).Charleston Advisor (Archive)Copyright andHelp.(/help/main)Katina Magazine.org/).Against the Grain (https://www.charleston-hub.com/about/about- against-the-grain/).Copyright andHelp.(/help/main)Nation Katina Magazine.org/).Against the GrainArticle Proposals(/page/about/faq)Katina Magazine.org/).Against the Grain (https://www.charleston-hub.com/about/about- against-the-grain/).Article Proposals(/page/about/faq)Katina Magazine.org/).Against the-grain/).Article Proposals(/page/about/faq)hub
authors)

© <u>Copyright 2025 (/page/about/trademark)</u> | <u>Contact Us (/page/about/contact-us)</u> | <u>Email Preferences (/userpreferencecenter)</u> | <u>Annual Reviews Directory (/db/directory)</u> | <u>Multimedia (/topic/multimedia?target=do-topic)</u> | <u>Supplemental Materials (/db/suppl)</u> | <u>FAQs (/page/about/faq)</u> | <u>Privacy Policy (/page/about/privacy)</u> | <u>Cookie Settings</u>

in

X @ (https://www.liaded.com/company/annual-

(http://tupe://informations/information/http://tupe://www.information/web.and/information/web