

## Uncovering Research Potential of Administrative Data on Charitable Foundations in Canada

Iryna Khovrenkov  
University of Regina

Lynn Gidluck

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.22230/cjnser.2017v8n2a248>


Keywords: Administrative data, Research capacity, Community-based approach, Charitable foundations /  
Données administratives, Capacité de recherche, Approche communautaire, Fondations caritatives

### ABSTRACT

This is the first study of its kind to assess the untapped research capacity of administrative data on Canadian foundations. More than twenty years of records collected by the Canada Revenue Agency (CRA) for the entire population of foundations is publicly accessible to researchers. Canadian data offers greater opportunity for nuanced analysis of the charitable foundation sector than information from the comparatively small sample available for U.S. foundations. Despite the richness of Canadian data and the potential it has to inform grantmaking and administrative practices of foundations, the academic community has paid little attention to this wealth of statistical information. This article explores some of the questions that this data can potentially answer. Consultations with foundation representatives help illuminate the directions that the foundation sector would like researchers to pursue with this data.

Ceci est la première étude de son genre à évaluer comment certaines données administratives pourraient contribuer à la recherche sur les fondations caritatives canadiennes. En effet, plus de vingt ans de données accumulées par l'Agence du revenu du Canada pour la population entière des fondations sont maintenant accessibles aux chercheurs. Ces données canadiennes représentent une occasion exceptionnelle pour effectuer une analyse nuancée du secteur des fondations caritatives, occasion qui est meilleure qu'aux États-Unis, où l'échantillon est relativement petit. Malgré la richesse des données canadiennes et leur potentiel d'améliorer l'octroi de bourses et l'administration des fondations canadiennes, la communauté académique a porté peu d'attention jusqu'à présent à cette manne de statistiques. Cet article-ci en revanche explore quelques-unes des questions auxquelles ces données pourraient porter des réponses. En outre, des consultations faites auprès des représentants de certaines fondations aident à signaler les directions que le secteur pourrait prendre grâce à ces données.

### DOWNLOADS



**PLUMX**

**Citations**  
Citation Indexes: **2**

---

**Captures**  
Readers: **11**

---

**Mentions**  
References: **1**

[see details](#)

## REFERENCES

- Ayer, Steven, Michael Hall and Lindsey Vodarek. (2009). Perspectives on fundraising: What charities report to the Canada Revenue Agency. Imagine Canada.
- Boothe, Paul. (2013). Making good regulation. *Canadian Public Policy* 39 (3): 359-370.
- Card, David, Raj Chetty, Martin Feldstein and Emmanuel Saez. (2011). Expanding access to administrative data for research in the United States. American Economic Association Meetings in Denver, session on "Grand Challenges for Social Science Research: The Perspectives of Economists."
- Caroll-Scott, Amy, Peggy Toy, Roberta Wyn, Jazmin I. Zane, Steven Wallace. (2012). Results from the data and democracy initiative to enhance community-based organization data and research capacity. *American Journal of Public Health*, 102(7): 1384-1391.
- Clotfelter, Charles. (1985). Foundations. In C. Clotfelter (Ed.), *Federal tax policy and charitable giving* (pp. 253-272). Chicago: The University of Chicago Press.
- Ebrahim, Alnoor and Kasturi Rangan. (2014). What impact? The framework for measuring the scale and scope of social performance. *University of California Berkley*, 56(3).
- Elson, Peter. (2016). *Funding policies and the non-profit sector in Western Canada*. Edited by Peter Elson, Toronto: Toronto University Press, 2016.
- Hall, Michael, Margaret de Wit, David Lasby, David McIver, Terry Evers, Chris Johnston, and Julie McAuley. (2005). *Cornerstones of community: Highlights of the national survey of nonprofit and voluntary organization*. Ottawa, ON: Statistics Canada.

Hotz, Joseph, Robert Goerge, Julie Balzekas and Francis Margolin. (1997). Administrative data for policy-relevant research: assessment of current utility and recommendations for development. Northwestern University and University of Chicago, Joint Center for Poverty Research.

Huetel, Garth. (2014). Crowding out and crowding in of private donations and government grants. *Public Finance Review*, 42(2): 143-175.

Imagine Canada and Philanthropic Foundations Canada. (2014). "Assets and giving trends of Canada's grantmaking foundations."

Innes, William and Patrick Boyle. (2006). Charities, non-profits and philanthropy under the Income Tax Act. Toronto: CCH Canadian.

Khovrenkov, Iryna. (2016). Size-based analysis of charitable foundations: new evidence from Canadian data. *Canadian Public Policy* 42(3): 337-349.

Kryvoruchko, Iryna. (2013). Does foundation giving stimulate or suppress private giving? Evidence from a panel of Canadian charities. PhD dissertation, Chapter 3, McMaster University.

Lasby, David. (2013). *Earned Income Generating Activities Among Canadian Charities*. Toronto, ON: Imagine Canada.

Lenczner, Michael and Susan Phillips. (2012). From stories to evidence: How mining data can promote innovation in the non-profit sector. *Technology Innovation Management Review*, July: 10-15.

Magalhaes, L., Carrasco, C., & Gastaldo, D. (2010). Undocumented migrants in Canada: A scope literature review on health, access to services, and working conditions. *Journal of Immigrant and Minority Health / Center for Minority Public Health*, 12(1), 132-151.

McMurren, Juliet, Stefaan Verhulst and Andrew Young. (2016). Opening Canada's T3010 charity information return data". Govlab.

Nixon, Trish. (2013). Mission possible? Assessing the appetite, activity and barriers for impact investing among Canadian foundations. Toronto, ON: MaRS: Center for Impact Investing.

Northcott, Allan and Shelley Uytterhagan. 2002. Practices and policies of private foundations. Max Bell Foundations and Carthy Foundation.

Noveck, Beth Simone and Daniel Goroff. (2013). Information for impact: Liberating nonprofit sector data". The Apsen Institute.

Plant, Brenda. (2003). Mission-based investing: Current practices and perceived barriers among foundations and endowments. Master Thesis, University of Montreal.

Payne, Abigail, and Huizi Zhao. (2007). Uncharitable treatment: Why donations to private and public foundations deserve equal tax status. C.D. Howe Institute e-brief, January 9, 2007.

Payne, Abigail. (2012). Changing landscapes for charities in Canada: Where should we go? *School of Public Policy Research Papers* 5(34), University of Calgary.

Sharpe, David. (1994). A portrait of Canada's charities: The size, scope and financing of registered charities. Toronto: Canadian Centre for Philanthropy.

Sharpe, David. (2001). A portrait of Canada's charities: The size, scope and financing of registered charities. (Update of 1994 study). Toronto: Canadian Centre for Philanthropy.

Statistics Canada. (2009). Satellite account of nonprofit institutions and volunteering: 2007. Ottawa, ON: Statistics Canada. Catalogue no. 13-015-X ISSN: 1710-9264.

Strandberg, Coro. (2008). Primer: Responsible Investment Pilot Project. Community Foundations of Canada.

Strandberg, Coro. (2010). The State of community/mission investment of Canadian foundations. Community Foundations of Canada and Philanthropic Foundations of Canada.

Young, Bill. (2015). Mission-related investing: Bealight foundation's approach. Social Economy Center.

Whitman, John. (2006). Evaluating philanthropic foundations: A comparative social values approach. PhD Dissertation, University of Toronto.



 PDF

PUBLISHED

2018-01-31

ISSUE

Submission of an original manuscript to the *Canadian Journal of Nonprofit and Social Economy Research / Revue canadienne de recherche sur les OSBL et l'économie sociale* [thereafter CJNSER] will be taken to mean that it represents original work not previously published, and that it is not being considered elsewhere for publication.

The journal takes the stance that the publication of scholarly research is meant to disseminate knowledge and, in a not-for-profit regime, benefits neither publisher nor author financially. It sees itself as having an obligation to its authors and to society to make content available online now that the technology allows for such a possibility. In keeping with this principle, the journal will publish all of its issues online.

Authors who publish in CJNSER agree to release their articles under the Creative Commons 4.0 International Licence (CC BY). This licence allows anyone to copy and distribute the article provided that appropriate attribution is given. For details of the rights an author grants users of their work, please see the [licence summary](#) and the [full licence](#).

[Content published between 2010 and 2019-10 was licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 2.5 Canada (CC BY-NC-ND 2.5 CA) License. Content published between 2019-10 to 2023-12 was licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) License. Content published after 2023-12 is licensed under the Creative Commons 4.0 International (CC BY) License.]

## **LANGUAGE**

---

[English](#)

[Français \(Canada\)](#)

