

Editorials

Is the private finance initiative dead?

BMJ 2005; 331 doi: <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.331.7520.792> (Published 06 October 2005) Cite this as: BMJ 2005;331:792

- [Article](#)
- [Related content](#)
- [Metrics](#)
- [Responses](#)
- [Peer review](#)
- 

Rifat A Atun, director (r.atun@imperial.ac.uk), Martin McKee, professor of European public health

[Author affiliations](#)

It may have failed in the United Kingdom, but that won't stop it being exported

For health professionals working in hospitals that had been starved of investment for decades, often in buildings that were crumbling around them, the United Kingdom's private finance initiative (PFI) must have seemed like a dream come true. Rather than finding the money up front to rebuild hospitals, managers could enter into a contract with a private company or a consortium to finance the building and guarantee that the facilities would be maintained long into the future. In turn the hospital trust would pay an annual fee to cover the costs of financing the project (adjusted for the risk assumed by the private company) and of maintenance. Suddenly it became possible to escape the constraints imposed by buildings that, in many cases, had been designed to meet the needs of the population a century earlier.

The initial enthusiasm by many hospital boards was easy to understand, as the Department of Health made it clear that this was the only way to fund big capital developments. Yet from the inception of this initiative in the NHS there have been ...

[View Full Text](#)

Log in

Log in using your username and password

[BMA Member Log In](#)

If you have a subscription to The BMJ, log in:

Username *

Password *

[Forgot your log in details?](#)

Login

- [Need to activate](#)
- [Log in via institution](#)
- [Log in via OpenAthens](#)

Log in through your institution

Subscribe from £173 *


[Subscribe](#)

* For online subscription

Access this article for 1 day for:
£38 / \$45 / €42 ([excludes VAT](#))



You can download a PDF version for your personal record.

[Buy this article](#)

-  Post
-

Article tools

 [PDF](#)  0 responses

-  [Respond to this article](#)
-  [Print](#)
-  [Alerts & updates](#)

Article alerts



Please note: your email address is provided to the journal, which may use this information for marketing purposes.

Log in or register:

Username *

Password *

[Register for alerts](#)

-  If you have registered for alerts, you should use your registered email address as your username
-  [Citation tools](#)

Download this article to citation manager


Atun R A, McKee M. Is the private finance initiative dead? BMJ 2005; 331 :792 doi:10.1136/bmj.331.7520.792

- | | |
|--|---|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none">• BibTeX (win & mac)• EndNote (tagged)• EndNote 8 (xml)• RefWorks Tagged (win & mac)• RIS (win only)• Medlars | <ul style="list-style-type: none">DownloadDownloadDownloadDownloadDownloadDownload |
|--|---|

Help

If you are unable to import citations, please contact technical support for your product directly (links go to external sites):

- [EndNote](#)
- [ProCite](#)
- [Reference Manager](#)

- [RefWorks](#)
- [Zotero](#)
- [Request permissions](#)
-  [Author citation](#)
- [Articles by Rifat A Atun](#)
- [Add article to BMJ Portfolio](#)

[Email to a friend](#)

Forward this page

Thank you for your interest in spreading the word about The BMJ.

NOTE: We only request your email address so that the person you are recommending the page to knows that you wanted them to see it, and that it is not junk mail. We do not capture any email address.

Username *

Your Email *


Send To *

You are going to email the following [Is the private finance initiative dead?](#)

Your Personal Message

CAPTCHA

This question is for testing whether or not you are a human visitor and to prevent automated spam submissions.






Topics

- [General practice / family medicine](#)
- [Health policy](#)



Who is talking about this article?



-  Referenced in **2** policy sources
-  Posted by **2** X users
-  **17** readers on Mendeley

[See more details](#)



This week's poll

What would be the most appropriate replacement for the term junior doctors in the UK?

- ☐ Postgraduate doctors
- ☐ Doctors
- ☐ Doctors in training
- ☐ The specific phase/year F1, CT1, ST3...
- ☐ Non-consultant doctor
- ☐ Attending/Resident
- ☐ None -- junior doctors is the correct term
- ☐ Other:

[View Results](#)

[Read](#) related article

[See](#) previous polls

[Back to top](#)