

Our systems are now restored following recent technical disruption, and we're working hard to catch up on publishing. We apologise for the inconvenience caused. Find out more: <https://www.cambridge.org/universitypress/about-us/news-and-blogs/cambridge-university-press-publishing-update-following-technical-disruption>



(<https://www.cambridge.org/academic>)

(0)(/core/shopping-cart)

| [Browse](#) [Services](#) [Open research](#) [Institution Login](#) [Search](#)
[\(/core/\)](#)

Home > Journals > German Law Journal > Volume 15 Issue 2: Special issue - The OMT Decisio...
> Don't Act Beyond Your Powers: The Perils and Pitfalls...

Access

[English](#) | [Français](#)

16

[Cited by](#)

Don't Act Beyond Your Powers: The Perils and Pitfalls of the German Constitutional Court's *Ultra Vires* Review

Published online by Cambridge University Press: **06 March 2019**

Jürgen Bast

[Article contents](#)

Extract

Core share and HTML view are not available for this content. However, as you have access to this content, a full PDF is available via the 'Save PDF' action button.

On 26 July 2012, the European Central Bank (ECB) issued a new currency, the "Draghi." A country where the Draghi has the status of legal tender must be fabulously wealthy—a single coin gives unlimited purchasing power to its owner. This is one way to characterize ECB President Mario Draghi's pledge to do "whatever it takes" to save the Euro. It is widely believed that the move prevented the common currency from breaking apart. Yet, the ECB's resolve caused severe conflict within the European System of Central Banks (ESCB). A few weeks later, when the Governing Council of the ECB formally adopted the Outright Monetary Transactions (OMT) program to pave the way for the

implementation of Draghi's rescue policy, the representative of the German *Bundesbank* was outvoted. Subsequently—in a maneuver quite unusual among central bankers—he appealed to the public to stir up support for his opposition to the policy.

Type

Research Article

Information

German Law Journal, Volume 15, Issue 2: Special issue - The OMT Decision of the German Federal Constitutional Court, 01 March 2014, pp. 167 - 181

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1017/S2071832200002893>

Copyright

Copyright © 2014 by German Law Journal GbR

References

- 1 Mario Draghi, President, European Cent. Bank, Global Inv. Conference in London (July 26, 2012), *available at* <http://www.ecb.europa.eu/press/key/date/2012/html/sp120726.en.html>; *see* Black, Jeff & Ransom, Jana, *Draghi Says ECB Will Do What's Needed To Preserve Euro*, Bloomberg-Online (July 26, 2012), <http://www.bloomberg.com/news/2012-07-26/draghi-says/ecb-to-do-whatever-needed-as-yields-threaten-europe.html>. [Google Scholar](#)
- 2 Kennedy, Simon & Black, Jeff, *Draghi's 'Whatever It Takes' Still Works As Euro Revives*, Bloomberg-Online (Jan. 9, 2014), <http://www.bloomberg.com/news/2014-01-10/draghi-s-whatever-it-takes-still-works-as-euro-revives.html>. [Google Scholar](#)
- 3 Press Release, European Cent. Bank, Technical Features of Outright Monetary Transactions (Sept. 6, 2012), *available at* http://www.ecb.int/press/pr/date/2012/html/pr120906_1.en.html; *Unlimited Bond Purchases: ECB Head Draghi Backs Up Pledge To Save Euro*, Spiegel-Online (Sept. 6, 2012), <http://www.spiegel.de/international/europe/ecb-president-draghi-announces-unlimited-bond-buying-program-a-854374.html>. [Google Scholar](#)
- 4 Braunberger, Gerald & Ruhkamp, Stefan, *Bundesbank kritisiert Beschluss offen*, Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung (Sept. 6, 2012). [Google Scholar](#)
- 5 Bundesverfassungsgericht [BVerfG – Federal Constitutional Court], Case No. 2 BvR 2728/13 (Jan. 14, 2014), <http://www.bundesverfassungsgericht.de/en/index.html> [hereinafter *OMT Case*]. [Google Scholar](#)
- 6 Bundesverfassungsgericht [BVerfG – Federal Constitutional Court], Case No. 2 BvR 2661/06, 126 Entscheidungen des Bundesverfassungsgerichts [BVerfGE] 286, 303 (July 6, 2010) [hereinafter *Honeywell*]. [Google Scholar](#)

- 7 For the development of *ultra vires* review, see 58 BVerfGE 1, 30 (*Eurocontrol I*); 75 BVerfGE 223, 235, 242 (*Kloppenburg*); 89 BVerfGE 155, 188 (*Maastricht*); 123 BVerfGE 267, 354 (*Lissabon*). On BSR, see *inter alia* 75 BVerfGE 223, 235, 242 (*Kloppenburg*); 89 BVerfGE 155, 188 (*Maastricht*); 113 BVerfGE 273, 296 (*Europäischer Haftbefehl*); 123 BVerfGE 267, 353–54 (*Lissabon*); *Honeywell* at 306; BVerfG, Case No. 1 BvR 1215/07 (Apr. 24, 2013), <http://www.bundesverfassungsgericht.de/en/index.html>, at para. 91 (*Antiterrordatei*). [Google Scholar](#)
- 8 See, e.g., Oeter, Stefan, *Rechtsprechungskonkurrenz zwischen nationalen Verfassungsgerichten, EuGH und EGMR*, in 66 Veröffentlichungen der Vereinigung Deutscher Staatsrechtslehrer, 361, 362–91 (Stefan Kadelbach et al. eds., 2007); on the historical context, see Schönberger, Christoph, *Anmerkungen zu Karlsruhe*, in Das entgrenzte Gericht, 9, 62–63 (Matthias Jestaedt et al. eds., 2011). [Google Scholar](#)
- 9 For an insider's perspective, see Andreas Voßkuhle, *The Cooperation Between European Courts: The Verbund of European Courts and its Legal Toolbox*, in The Court of Justice and the Construction of Europe, 81 (Allan Rosas, Egils Levits, & Yves Bot eds., 2013). [Google Scholar](#)
- 10 On the increasingly fragile legitimacy of the Constitutional Court, see Möllers, Christoph, *Legitimität, Legitimation und Legitimierung des Bundesverfassungsgericht*, in Das entgrenzte Gericht, 281 (Matthias Jestaedt et al. eds., 2011). [Google Scholar](#)
- 11 Grundgesetz für die Bundesrepublik Deutschland [Grundgesetz] [Basic Law], May 23, 1949, BGBl. I, art. 93(1) cl. 1; Gesetz über das Bundesverfassungsgericht [Law on the Federal Constitutional Court], Bundesgesetz Blatt I [BGBl. 1] [Federal Law Gazette] §§ 13(1) cl. 5, 63–67, available at <http://www.iuscomp.org/gla/statutes/BVerfGG.htm>. [Google Scholar](#)
- 12 Basic Law art. 93 (1) cl. 4a; Law on the Federal Constitutional Court §§ 13 (1) cl. 8a, 90–95. [Google Scholar](#)
- 13 The Constitutional Court leverages the right to vote in federal elections, laid down in Article 38 of the Basic Law. This conception has attracted forceful scholarly critique. See, e.g., Christoph Schönberger, *Die Europäische Union zwischen "Demokratiedefizit" und Bundesstaatsverbot*, 48 Der Staat, 535, 539–42 (2009). The Order in the *OMT Case* has lowered the hurdle even further. For convincing arguments against the admissibility of the actions, see the *OMT Case* (Lübbe-Wolf, J., dissenting), (Gerhard, J., dissenting). [Google Scholar](#)
- 14 89 BVerfGE 155, 188 (*Maastricht*). [Google Scholar](#)
- 15 123 BVerfGE 267, 353–54 (*Lissabon*). [Google Scholar](#)
- 16 *Honeywell* at 303–04. [Google Scholar](#)
- 17 *Id.* [Google Scholar](#)
- 18 For a summary of the condition of UVR, see Sauer, Heiko, *Europas Richter Hand in Hand?*

- 19 Honeywell at 303–04. [Google Scholar](#)
- 20 123 BVerfGE 267, 353 (*Lissabon*). [Google Scholar](#)
- 21 *Id.* at 392–96. [Google Scholar](#)
- 22 Franz C. Mayer, *Multilevel Constitutional Jurisdiction*, in Principles of European Constitutional Law, 399, 412 (Armin von Bogdandy & Jürgen Bast eds., 2nd ed. 2010) (“doubling of the relevant standards”); Daniel Thym, *Europäische Integration im Schatten souveräner Staatlichkeit*, 48 Der Staat, 559, 573 (2009). [Google Scholar](#)
- 23 See *Algera v. Common Assembly of the European Coal & Steel Community*, CJEU Cases 7/56, 3/57–7/57, 1957 E.C.R. English special ed. 39, 61. [Google Scholar](#)
- 24 Foto-Frost v. Hauptzollamt Lübeck, CJEU Case 314/85, 1987 E.C.R 4199, paras. 14 et seq. [Google Scholar](#)
- 25 *Les Verts v. Parliament*, CJEU Case 294/83, 1986 E.C.R. 1339, para. 23. [Google Scholar](#)
- 26 See Armin von Bogdandy & Jürgen Bast, *The Federal Order of Competences*, in Principles of European Constitutional Law, 275, 280 (Armin von Bogdandy & Jürgen Bast eds., 2nd ed. 2010). [Google Scholar](#)
- 27 Mayer, Franz C., *Die drei Dimensionen der Europäischen Kompetenzdebatte*, 61 Zeitschrift für ausländisches öffentliches Recht und Völkerrecht, 577, 602 (2001); Danwitz, Thomas von, *Vertikale Kompetenzkontrolle in föderalen Systemen*, 131 Archiv des öffentlichen Rechts, 510 (2006). [Google Scholar](#)
- 28 Consorzio Cooperative d'Abruzzo v Comm'n, CJEU Case 15/85, 1987 E.C.R. 1005, para 10; confirmed in Comm'n v. BASF, CJEU Case C-137/92 P, 1994 E.C.R. I-2555, paras. 48–50. For a more recent case concerning a directive, see Comm'n v. Greece, CJEU Case C-475/01, 2004 E.C.R. I-8923, paras. 18–20. For a scholarly account, see Claudia Annacker, *Der Fehlerhafte Rechtsakt im Gemeinschafts- und Unionsrecht*, 81 et. seq. (1998). [Google Scholar](#)
- 29 *Consorzio Cooperative d'Abruzzo*, CJEU Case 15/85 at para. 10. [Google Scholar](#)
- 30 *Comm'n*, CJEU Case C-137/92 P at para. 48; *Comm'n*, CJEU Case C-475/01 at para. 19. [Google Scholar](#)
- 31 *Comm'n*, CJEU Case C-137/92 P at para. 49; *Comm'n*, CJEU Case C-475/01 at para. 20. [Google Scholar](#)
- 32 For a rare example, see dm-drogerie markt v. OHIM, CJEU Case T-36/09, 2011 E.C.R. II-6079, para. 92. [Google Scholar](#)
- 33 Cf. Matthias Vogt, *Die Entscheidung als Handlungsform des Europäischen Gemeinschaftsrechts* 214 (2005). [Google Scholar](#)
- 34 *OMT Case* at paras. 34–35, 101. [Google Scholar](#)
- 35 Cf., e.g., *Queen v. Sec'y of State*, CJEU Case C-491/01, 2002 E.C.R. 2002 I-11453, para.

37. [Google Scholar](#)

- 36 Opinion 1/91, 1991 E.C.R. I-06079, paras. 61–64, 71 (*European Economic Area I*). [Google Scholar](#)
- 37 *OMT Case* at para. 103. [Google Scholar](#)
- 38 Opinion 1/09, *European and Community Patents Court*, 2011 E.C.R. I-1137, paras. 83–84. [Google Scholar](#)
- 39 Cf. *OMT Case* at para. 11. [Google Scholar](#)
- 40 For explanations, see Bast, Jürgen, *Legal Instruments and Judicial Protection*, in *Principles of European Constitutional Law*, 345, 378–79 (Armin von Bogdandy & Jürgen Bast eds., 2nd ed, 2010). [Google Scholar](#)
- 41 Protocol on the Statute of the European System of Central Banks and of the European Central Bank, art. 18.1, 2012 O.J. (C 326) 230. [Google Scholar](#)
- 42 *OMT Case* at para. 39. [Google Scholar](#)
- 43 *OMT Case* at paras. 63–78. [Google Scholar](#)
- 44 It is only necessary that these measures do not conflict with the primary objective to maintain price stability. See Consolidated Version of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union art. 127(1), May 9, 2008, 2008 O.J. (C 115) 47 [hereinafter TFEU]. [Google Scholar](#)
- 45 *OMT Case* at para. 39. [Google Scholar](#)
- 46 TFEU art. 114(1). [Google Scholar](#)
- 47 Cf. Germany v. Parliament, CJEU Case C-376/98, 2000 E.C.R. I-08419, at para. 88. [Google Scholar](#)
- 48 See Nettlesheim, Martin, *Kompetenzen*, in *Europäisches Verfassungsrecht*, 389, 434–39 (Armin von Bogdandy & Jürgen Bast eds., 2nd ed. 2009). [Google Scholar](#)
- 49 Pringle v. Ireland, CJEU Case C-370/12 (Nov. 27 2012), <http://curia.europa.eu/juris/recherche.jsf?language=en>. [Google Scholar](#)
- 50 TFEU art. 2(1). [Google Scholar](#)
- 51 von Bogdandy & Bast, *supra* note 26, at 286 (no bipolar order of competences). It is more than a minor terminological error when the Constitutional Court fails to recognize that the EU Treaties do not confer any “powers” on the ESCB but only assigns to it certain “tasks,” while “powers” are actually conferred on the ECB, i.e., a Union institution (cf. *OMT Case* at paras. 57–59). [Google Scholar](#)
- 52 *OMT Case* at paras. 13–15, 71. [Google Scholar](#)
- 53 *OMT Case* at para. 7. [Google Scholar](#)
- 54 *OMT Case* at paras. 95–98. [Google Scholar](#)
- 55 See, in particular, *OMT Case* at paras. 71, 98, on the existence and meaning of unjustified interest rate hikes. [Google Scholar](#)

- 56 *OMT Case* at para. 98. [Google Scholar](#)
- 57 *OMT Case* at para. 72. [Google Scholar](#)
- 58 See TFEU art. 140(3). [Google Scholar](#)
- 59 See TFEU art. 127(1). [Google Scholar](#)
- 60 *OMT Case* at paras. 74–78. [Google Scholar](#)
- 61 On conditionalities as a means of exercising public authority, see Ioannidis, Michael, *EU Financial Assistance Conditionality after “Two Pack,”* 74 Zeitschrift für ausländisches öffentliches Recht und Völkerrecht 61 (2014), available at <http://ssrn.com/abstract=2398914>. [Google Scholar](#)
- 62 *Honeywell* at 301–03. [Google Scholar](#)
- 63 *OMT Case* at paras. 39, 43. [Google Scholar](#)
- 64 *Honeywell* at 307. [Google Scholar](#)
- 65 See *OMT Case* (Gerhard, J., dissenting) at paras. 16–17. [Google Scholar](#)
- 66 *OMT Case* at para. 100. [Google Scholar](#)
- 67 See Pernice, Ingolf, *Karlsruhe wagt den Schritt nach Luxemburg*, Verfassungsblog (Feb. 10, 2014), <http://www.verfassungsblog.de/karlsruhe-wagt-den-schritt-nach-luxemburg/#.UweyvIUr3Vk>. [Google Scholar](#)
- 68 *OMT Case* at paras. 40, 43. [Google Scholar](#)
- 69 *Honeywell* at 304. [Google Scholar](#)
- 70 For a critical account, see Mayer, *supra* note 22, at 412–13. [Google Scholar](#)
- 71 See Schütze, Robert, From Dual to Cooperative Federalism 349 (2009). [Google Scholar](#)
- 72 See, in particular, Grundgesetz für die Bundesrepublik Deutschland [Grundgesetz] [GG] [Basic Law], May 23, 1949, BGBl. I, Preamble and arts. 23–26. [Google Scholar](#)
- 73 Famously called “*Kompetenz-Kompetenz*.” See *OMT Case* at para. 48. [Google Scholar](#)
-