



To read this content please select one of the options below:

Add to cart



32.00€ (excl. tax)  
30 days to view and  
download



Access through your institution



Access and purchase options ▾

## Modeling calendar spread options

[Juheon Seok, B. Wade Brorsen, Bart Niyibizi](#) ▾

[Agricultural Finance Review](#)

DOWNLOADS

ISSN: 0002-1466

(International  
Article publication date: 2 July 2018



Standard  
Serial

[Permissions](#)

Number  
Issue publication date: 17 September  
2018

### Abstract

#### Purpose

The purpose of this paper is to derive a new option pricing model for options on futures calendar spreads. Calendar spread option volume has been low and a more precise model to price them could lead to lower bid-ask spreads as well as more accurate marking to market of open positions.

#### Design/methodology/approach

The new option pricing model is a two-factor model with the futures price and the convenience yield as the two factors. The key assumption is that convenience follows arithmetic Brownian motion. The new model and alternative models are tested using corn futures prices. The testing considers both the accuracy of distributional assumptions and the accuracy of the models' predictions of historical payoffs.

#### Findings

Panel unit root tests fail to reject the unit root null hypothesis for historical calendar spreads and thus they support the assumption of convenience yield following arithmetic Brownian motion. Option payoffs are estimated with five different models and the relative performance of the models is determined using bias and root mean squared error. The new model outperforms the four other models; most of the other models overestimate actual payoffs.

#### Research limitations/implications

The model is parameterized using historical data due to data limitations although future research could consider

We are using cookies to give you the best experience on our website, but you are free to manage these at any time. To continue with our standard settings click "Accept". To find out more and manage your cookies, click "Manage cookies".

Accept



[Manage cookies](#)



The over 30-year search for a calendar spread pricing model has not produced a satisfactory model. Current models that do not assume cointegration will overprice calendar spread options. The model used by the Chicago Mercantile Exchange for marking to market of open positions is shown to work poorly. The model proposed here could be used as a basis for automated trading on calendar spread options as well as marking to market of open positions.

## Originality/value

The model is new. The empirical work supports both the model's assumptions and its predictions as being more accurate than competing models.

## Keywords

Futures Options Corn Panel unit root tests  
Calendar spreads C58 G12 Q13

## Citation

Seok, J., Brorsen, B.W. and Niyibizi, B. (2018), "Modeling calendar spread options", *Agricultural Finance Review*, Vol. 78 No. 5, pp. 551-570. <https://doi.org/10.1108/AFR-09-2017-0088>

 [Download as .RIS](#)

Publisher: Emerald Publishing Limited  
Copyright © 2018, Emerald Publishing Limited