



Can Special Interests Buy Congressional Votes? Evidence from Financial Services Legislation*

Thomas Stratmann

George Mason University



Abstract

Full Text



 More

Abstract

The challenge in the campaign contribution literature has been to overcome the simultaneous-equation bias that is inherent in the vote-contribution relationship. This paper proposes a new method to overcome this bias. It examines behavior at different points of time and relates it to contributions at different points of time. This method is applied to legislators' voting decisions on financial services regulation. Analyzing this type of legislation is of particular interest because it allows an analysis of the net influence of competing interest groups. Consistent with the proposed model's predictions, I find evidence that changes in contribution levels determine changes in roll call voting behavior, that contributions from competing groups are partially offsetting, and that junior legislators are more responsive to changes in contribution levels than are senior legislators.

[View full text](#) | [Download PDF](#)



[The University of Chicago](#)

[Accessibility](#)

[Open access at Chicago](#)

[Permissions](#)

[Statement of Publication Ethics](#)

[Diversity and Inclusion at the University of Chicago](#)

[Contact us](#)

[Terms and Conditions](#)

[Privacy Notice](#)

[Media and advertising requests](#)



© 2025 The University of Chicago and other publishing partners. All rights reserved, including rights for text and data mining and training of artificial intelligence technologies or similar technologies.