The Journal of Law and Economics > Volume 56, Number 1


```
PREVIOUS ARTICLE
```

```
•
```

Information Production by Investment Banks: Evidence from Fairness Opinions

Matthew D. Cain and David J. Denis

University of Notre DameUniversity of Pittsburgh



Abstract

We analyze a direct product of the investment banking process: target firm valuations disclosed in the fairness opinions of negotiated mergers. On average, acquirer advisers exhibit positive valuation errors that are significantly greater than those of target advisers. Top-tier advisers produce more accurate valuations than lower tier advisers, but we find no relation between valuation accuracy and the contingency structure of advisory fees. The stock price reactions to merger announcements and to the public disclosure of target-sought fairness opinions are positively related to the difference between target firm valuations contained in the fairness opinion and the merger offer price. We conclude that investment banks produce information not previously available to market participants through the rendering of target-side fairness opinions.

🖗 | THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO PRESS JOURNALS

The University of Chicago Press Books

Chicago Distribution Center

= More

The University of Chicago

Accessibility

© 2025 The University of Chicago and other publishing partners. All rights reserved, including rights for text and data mining and training of artificial intelligence technologies or similar technologies.

Open access at Chicago

Permissions

Statement of Publication Ethics

Diversity and Inclusion at the University of Chicago

Contact us

Terms and Conditions

Privacy Notice

Media and advertising requests

