

Bad Debts: Assessing China's Financial Influence in Great Power Politics

Daniel W. Drezner

› Author and Article Information

International Security (2009) 34 (2): 7–45.

<https://doi.org/10.1162/isec.2009.34.2.7>

Cite Permissions Share ▾

Abstract

Commentators and policymakers have articulated growing concerns about U.S. dependence on China and other authoritarian capitalist states as a source of credit to fund the United States' trade and budget deficits. What are the security implications of China's creditor status? If Beijing or another sovereign creditor were to flex its financial muscles, would Washington buckle? The answer can be drawn from the existing literature on economic statecraft. An appraisal of the ability of creditor states to convert their financial power into political power suggests that the power of credit has been moderately exaggerated in policy circles. To use the argot of security studies, China's financial power increases its deterrent capabilities, but it has little effect on its compellence capabilities. China can use its financial power to resist U.S. entreaties, but it cannot coerce the United States into changing its policies. Financial power works best when a concert of creditors (or debtors) can be maintained. Two case studies – the contestation over regulating sovereign wealth funds and the protection of Chinese financial investments in the United States – demonstrate the constraints on China's financial power.

Issue Section: Chinese Challenges: Myth and Reality

This content is only available as a PDF.

© 2009 by the President and Fellows of Harvard College and the Massachusetts Institute of Technology.

You do not currently have access to this content.

Sign in

Don't already have an account? [Register](#)

Client Account

Email address / Username

Sk

Password

[Sign In](#)

[Reset password](#)

[Register](#)

Sign in via your Institution

[Sign in via your Institution](#)

[!\[\]\(339a16584d5da0f0a3ca4e9ec17bf6a1_img.jpg\) Buy This Article](#)

Email Alerts

[Article Activity Alert](#)

[Latest Issue Alert](#)



[View Metrics](#)

Latest

Most Read

Most Cited

Summaries

[U.S. Space Power and Alliance Dynamics in the Cold War](#)

[Between a Rock and a Hard Place: How Clients Evade
Patrons' Costly Strategic Demands](#)


[The Rules-Based International Order: A Historical Analysis](#)

[Skip to Main Content](#)

Cited By

International Security

Online ISSN: 1531-4804 Print ISSN: 0162-2889



MIT Press Direct

A product of The MIT Press

[Newsletter sign up](#)



MIT Press Direct

[About MIT Press Direct](#)

[Books](#)

[Journals](#)

[CogNet](#)

Information

[Accessibility at MIT](#)

[MIT Press Direct VPAT](#)

[For Authors](#)

[For Customers](#)

[For Librarians](#)

[Direct to Open](#)

[Open Access](#)

[Media Inquiries](#)

[Skip to Main Content](#) [Rights and Permissions](#)

[For Advertisers](#)

[About the MIT Press](#)
[The MIT Press Reader](#)
[MIT Press Blog](#)
[Seasonal Catalogs](#)
[MIT Press Home](#)
[Give to the MIT Press](#)

Contact Us

[FAQ](#)
[Direct Service Desk](#)

© 2026 The MIT Press

[Terms of Use](#) [Privacy Statement](#) [Crossref Member](#) [COUNTER Member](#)

The MIT Press colophon is registered in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office