





< Working Papers

The Economics of Social **Security Reform**

Peter Diamond















WORKING PAPER 6719

DOI 10.3386/w6719

ISSUE DATE September 1998

Economic analysis centers on three questions whether to have a mixed defined contribution (DC)/defined benefit (DB) plan and how to invest the funding. The paper compares a DB funded plan with a funded DC plan without any individual choice. The paper then considers individu choice about benefits, with particular attention to widows. Portfolio choice is considered for a central fund and in individual accounts, particularly the costs of implementation, as are the implications of greater funding. The implications for the labor market are examined. The major economic issues are not controversial. More funding involves higher taxes (or lower benefits) in the near-term in order to have lower taxes (or higher benefits) in the long run. More funding can reduce the frequency of needed adjustments to Social Security and can increase national savings. These economic effects are similar with or without individual accounts, although the politics will differ. The financial advantage of a diversified portfolio applies to a central fund, whether for a DC or a DB. Indeed, a DB that adjusts well handles risk better than a DC. Economically, the case for diversification is clear, but political questions arise about investing well and avoiding improper interference in corporate governance. Individual accounts respond to political concerns and allow diversity in individual portfolios but add to administrative costs and raise questions about the quality of individual investment decisions. They also raise the political question of maintaining redistribution. It is unclear whether individual accounts

would make the labor market more or less efficient. My bottom line is that a well-run DB

system is economically more efficient than a mixed DC/DB system. The real issue then becomes how well the US government could run either system.

Download a PDF

Information on access

Acknowledgements and Disclosures	~
Download Citation	~

Published Versions

Arnold, R. D., M. J. Graetz and A. H. Munnell (eds.) Framing the Social Security Debate: Values, Politics, and Economics, National Academy of Social Insurance. Brookings Institution Press, 1998.

Related

TOPICS Public Economics

National Fiscal Issues

PROGRAMS Public Economics

Economics of Aging

More from NBER

In addition to working papers, the NBER disseminates affiliates' latest findings through a range of free periodicals — the NBER Reporter, the NBER Digest, the Bulletin on Retirement and Disability, the Bulletin on Health, and the Bulletin on Entrepreneurship — as well as online conference reports, video lectures, and interviews.



16th Annual Martin Feldstein Lecture:

Lessons for Economists from the Pandemic

Cecilia E. Rouse, The Brookings Institution and Princeton University July 22, 2024

<u>2024, 16th Annual Feldstein Lecture, Cecilia E. Rouse," Lessons for Economists from the Pandemic"</u>

FELDSTEIN LECTURE

PRESENTER: CECILIA E. ROUSE

Cecilia Rouse, president of the Brookings Institution and a professor at Princeton University, who chaired the Council...



Methods Lectures, Summer Institute 2024:

Analysis and Design of Multi-Armed Bandit Experiments and Policy Learning

Susan Athey, Stanford University and NBER July 25, 2024

<u>2024 Methods Lecture, Susan Athey, "Analysis and Design of Multi-Armed Bandit Experiments and Policy Learning"</u>

METHODS LECTURES

PRESENTER: SUSAN ATHEY

Background Materials:backgroundAthey, Susan, Undral Byambadalai, Vitor Hadad, Sanath Kumar Krishnamurthy, Weiwen Leung...



PRESENTERS: KAREN DYNAN, KAREN GLENN, STEPHEN GOSS, FATIH GUVENEN & JAMES PEARCE

National Bureau of Economic Research

Contact Us 1050 Massachusetts Avenue Cambridge, MA 02138 617-868-3900 info@nber.org webaccessibility@nber.org

HOMEPAGE

Accessibility Policy **Diversity Policy** Privacy Policy

FOLLOW













© 2025 NATIONAL BUREAU OF ECONOMIC RESEARCH. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.