

[< Home](#)

Comparing the Economic and Conventional Approaches to Financial Planning

Jagadeesh Gokhale, Laurence J. Kotlikoff & Mark J. Warshawsky

SHARE   

WORKING PAPER 7321

DOI 10.3386/w7321

ISSUE DATE August 1999

The conventional approach to retirement and life insurance planning, which is used throughout the financial planning industry, differs markedly from the economic approach. The conventional approach asks households to specify how much they want to spend before retirement, after retirement, and in the event of an untimely death of the head or spouse. It then determines the amounts of saving and life insurance needed to achieve these targets. The economic approach is based on the life-cycle model of saving. Its goal is to smooth households' living standards over their life cycles and to ensure comparable living standards for potential survivors. In the economic approach, spending targets are endogenous. They are derived by calculating the most the household can afford to consume in the present given that it wants to preserve that living standard in the future.

Although spending targets under the conventional approach can be adjusted in an iterative process to approximate those derived under the economic approach, there are practical limits to doing so. This is particularly the case for households experiencing changing demographics or facing borrowing constraints. This paper illustrates the different saving and insurance recommendations provided by economic financial planning software and the practical application of traditional financial planning software. The two

software programs are Economic Security Planner (ESPlanner), developed by Economic Security Planning, Inc., and Quicken Financial Planner (QFP), developed by Intuit. Each program is run on 24 cases, 20 of which are stylized and 4 of which are actual households. The two software programs recommend dramatically different levels of saving or life insurance in each of the 24 cases. The different saving recommendations primarily reflect ESPlanner's adjustment for household demographics and borrowing constraints. The different life insurance recommendations reflect these same factors as well as ESPlanner's accounting for contingent household plans and for Social Security's survivor benefits. The less detailed tax and Social Security retirement benefit calculations used in our implementation of QFP also explain some of the differences between the two programs.

Download a PDF

[Information on access](#)

Acknowledgements and Disclosures 

Download Citation 

Published Versions

Kotlikoff, Laurence J. (ed.) Essays on Saving, Bequests, Altruism, and Life-Cycle Planning. MIT Press, 2001.

Related

TOPICS

Microeconomics

Market Structure and Distribution

More from NBER

In addition to [working papers](#), the NBER disseminates affiliates' latest findings through a range of free periodicals — the [NBER Reporter](#), the [NBER Digest](#), the [Bulletin on Retirement and Disability](#), the [Bulletin on Health](#), and the [Bulletin on Entrepreneurship](#) — as well as online conference reports, [video lectures](#), and [interviews](#).



16th Annual Martin Feldstein Lecture:

Lessons for Economists from the Pandemic

Cecilia E. Rouse, The Brookings Institution
and Princeton University
July 22, 2024

2024, 16th Annual Feldstein Lecture, Cecilia E. Rouse, "Lessons for Economists from the Pandemic"

FELDSTEIN LECTURE

PRESENTER: [CECILIA E. ROUSE](#)

Cecilia Rouse, president of the Brookings Institution and a professor at Princeton University, who chaired the Council...



Methods Lectures, Summer Institute 2024:

Analysis and Design of Multi-Armed Bandit Experiments and Policy Learning

Susan Athey, Stanford University and NBER
July 25, 2024

2024 Methods Lecture, Susan Athey, "Analysis and Design of Multi-Armed Bandit Experiments and Policy Learning"

METHODS LECTURES

PRESENTER: [SUSAN ATHEY](#)

Background Materials:backgroundAthey, Susan, Undral Byambadalai, Vitor Hadad, Sanath Kumar Krishnamurthy, Weiwen Leung...



Economics of Social Security Panel Discussion

Earnings Inequality and Payroll Tax Revenues

Karen Dynan, Harvard University
Karen Glenn, Social Security Administration
Stephen Goss, Social Security Administration
Fatih Guvenen, University of Minnesota and NBER
James Pearce, US Congressional Budget Office
NBER Summer Institute, July 24, 2024

2024, Economics of Social Security Panel, "Earnings Inequality and Payroll Tax Revenues"

National Bureau of Economic Research

[Contact Us](#)

1050 Massachusetts Avenue
Cambridge, MA 02138
[617-868-3900](tel:617-868-3900)
info@nber.org
webaccessibility@nber.org

[HOMEPAGE](#)

[Accessibility Policy](#)

[Diversity Policy](#)

[Privacy Policy](#)

FOLLOW

