

[Working Papers](#)

Comparing the Economic and Conventional Approaches to Financial Planning

Jagadeesh Gokhale, Laurence J. Kotlikoff & Mark J. Warshawsky

SHARE      

WORKING PAPER 7321

DOI 10.3386/w7321

ISSUE DATE August 1999


The conventional approach to retirement and life insurance planning, which is used throughout the financial planning industry, differs markedly from the economic approach. The conventional approach asks households to specify how much they want to spend before retirement, after retirement, and in the event of an untimely death of the head or spouse. It then determines the amounts of saving and life insurance needed to achieve these targets. The economic approach is based on the life-cycle model of saving. Its goal is to smooth households' living standards over their life cycles and to ensure comparable living standards for potential survivors. In the economic approach, spending targets are endogenous. They are derived by calculating the most the household can afford to consume in the present given that it wants to preserve that living standard in the future. Although spending targets under the conventional approach can be adjusted in an iterative process to approximate those derived under the economic approach, there are practical limits to doing so. This is particularly the case for households experiencing


changing demographics or facing borrowing constraints. This paper illustrates the different saving and insurance recommendations provided by economic financial planning software and the practical application of traditional financial planning software. The two software programs are Economic Security Planner (ESPlanner), developed by Economic Security Planning, Inc., and Quicken Financial Planner (QFP), developed by Intuit. Each

program is run on 24 cases, 20 of which are stylized and 4 of which are actual households. The two software programs recommend dramatically different levels of saving or life insurance in each of the 24 cases. The different saving recommendations primarily reflect ESPlanner's adjustment for household demographics and borrowing constraints. The different life insurance recommendations reflect these same factors as well as ESPlanner's accounting for contingent household plans and for Social Security's survivor benefits. The less detailed tax and Social Security retirement benefit calculations used in our implementation of QFP also explain some of the differences between the two programs.

Download a PDF

[Information on access](#)

Acknowledgements and Disclosures 

Citation and Citation Data 

Published Versions

Kotlikoff, Laurence J. (ed.) Essays on Saving, Bequests, Altruism, and Life-Cycle Planning. MIT Press, 2001.

Related

- TOPICS

Microeconomics

Market Structure and Distribution
- PROGRAMS

Economics of Aging

More from the NBER

In addition to [working papers](#), the NBER disseminates affiliates' latest findings through a range of free periodicals — the [NBER Reporter](#), the [NBER Digest](#), the [Bulletin on Health](#), and the [Bulletin on Entrepreneurship](#) — as well as online [conference reports](#), [video lectures](#), and [interviews](#).



Martin Feldstein Lecture, Summer Institute 2025 **The Fiscal Future**

N. Gregory Mankiw, Harvard University and NBER
July 10, 2025

2025, 17th Annual Feldstein Lecture, N. Gregory Mankiw, "The Fiscal Future"

FELDSTEIN LECTURE

PRESENTER: [N. GREGORY MANKIW](#)

N. Gregory Mankiw, Robert M. Beren Professor of Economics at Harvard University, presented the 2025 Martin Feldstein...



Methods Lecture, Summer Institute 2025
**Uncovering Causal Mechanisms:
Mediation Analysis and
Surrogate Indices**


Raj Chetty, Harvard University and NBER
Kosuke Imai, Harvard University
July 24, 2025

**2025, Methods Lecture, Raj Chetty and Kosuke Imai, "Uncovering Causal Mechanisms:
Mediation Analysis and Surrogate Indices"**

METHODS LECTURES

PRESENTERS: [RAJ CHETTY](#) & [KOSUKE IMAI](#)

SlidesBackground materials on mediationImai, Kosuke, Dustin Tingley, and Teppei Yamamoto. (2013). "Experimental Designs..."



International Trade and Macroeconomics, Summer Institute 2025

Panel on The Future of the Global Economy

Oleg Itskhoki, Harvard University and NBER
Paul Krugman, City University of New York and NBER
Linda Tesar, University of Michigan and NBER
July 8, 2025

2025, International Trade and Macroeconomics, "Panel on The Future of the Global Economy"

PRESENTERS: [OLEG ITSKHOKI](#), [PAUL R. KRUGMAN](#) & [LINDA TESAR](#)

Supported by the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation grant #G-2023-19633, the Lynde and Harry Bradley Foundation grant #20251294...

National Bureau of Economic Research

Contact Us

1050 Massachusetts Avenue
Cambridge, MA 02138
617-868-3900
info@nber.org
webaccessibility@nber.org

HOME PAGE

Accessibility Policy

Diversity Policy

Privacy Policy

FOLLOW

