





Working Papers

Comparing the Economic and **Conventional Approaches to Financial Planning**

Jagadeesh Gokhale, Laurence J. Kotlikoff & Mark J. Warshawsky









WORKING PAPER 7321

DOI 10.3386/w7321

ISSUE DATE August 1999

The conventional approach to retirement and life insurance planning, which is used throughout the financial planning industry, differs markedly from the economic approach. The conventional approach asks households to specify how much they want to spend before retirement, after retirement, and in the event of an untimely death of the head or spouse. It then determines the amounts of saving and life insurance needed to achieve these targets. The economic approach is based on the life-cycle model of saving. Its goal is to smooth households' living standards over their life cycles and to ensure comparable living standards for potential survivors. In the economic approach, spending targets are endogenous. They are derived by calculating the most the household can afford to consume in the present given that it wants to preserve that living standard in the future.

Although spending targets under the conventional approach can be adjusted in an iterative process to approximate those derived under the economic approach, there are practical limits to doing so. This is particularly the case for households experiencing changing demographics or facing borrowing constraints. This paper illustrates the different saving and insurance recommendations provided by economic financial planning software and the practical application of traditional financial planning software. The two

software programs are Economic Security Planner (ESPlanner), developed by Economic Security Planning, Inc., and Quicken Financial Planner (QFP), developed by Intuit. Each program is run on 24 cases, 20 of which are stylized and 4 of which are actual households. The two software programs recommend dramatically different levels of saving or life insurance in each of the 24 cases. The different saving recommendations primarily reflect ESPlanner's adjustment for household demographics and borrowing constraints. The different life insurance recommendations reflect these same factors as well as ESPlanner's accounting for contingent household plans and for Social Security's survivor benefits. The less detailed tax and Social Security retirement benefit calculations used in our implementation of QFP also explain some of the differences between the two programs.

Download a PDF

Information on access

Acknowledgements and Disclosures	~
Download Citation	~

Published Versions

Kotlikoff, Laurence J. (ed.) Essays on Saving, Bequests, Altruism, and Life-Cycle Planning. MIT Press, 2001.

Related

TOPICS

More from NBER

In addition to working papers, the NBER disseminates affiliates' latest findings through a range of free periodicals — the NBER Reporter, the NBER Digest, the Bulletin on Retirement and Disability, the Bulletin on Health, and the Bulletin on Entrepreneurship — as well as online conference reports, video lectures, and interviews.



<u>2023, 15th Annual Feldstein Lecture, Mario Draghi, "The Next Flight of the Bumblebee: The Path to Common Fiscal Policy in the Eurozone"</u>

LECTURE

Dr. Mario Draghi, who served as President of the European Central Bank and Prime Minister of Italy, presented the 2023...




Methods Lecture: Linear Panel Event Studies Jesse M. Shapiro, Harvard University and NBER Liyang Sun, CEMFI

2023 Methods Lectures, Jesse Shapiro and Liyang (Sophie) Sun, "Linear Panel Event Studies"

July 28, 2023

LECTURE

Overview: Linear panel event studies are increasingly used to estimate and plot causal effects of changes in policies...



Panel Discussion:

Long-Term Dynamics of the Employment-to-Population Ratio

Karen Glenn, Social Security Administration Stephen Goss, Social Security Administration Nicole Maestas, Harvard University and NBER Julie Topoleski, Congressional Budget Office July 26, 2023

2023, SI Economics of Social Security, Panel Discussion, "Long-Term Dynamics of the Employment-to-Population Ratio" Supported by the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation, the National Science Foundation, and the Lynde and Harry Bradley...

National Bureau of Economic Research

Contact Us
1050 Massachusetts Avenue
Cambridge, MA 02138
617-868-3900
info@nber.org
webaccessibility@nber.org

HOMEPAGE

Accessibility Policy
Diversity Policy
Privacy Policy
Standards of Conduct

FOLLOW









© 2024 NATIONAL BUREAU OF ECONOMIC RESEARCH. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.