

Reproductive Health Matters >

An international journal on sexual and reproductive health and rights
Volume 10, 2002 - Issue 20: Health sector reforms

Free access

1,878 Views | 86 CrossRef citations to date | 18 Altmetric

Listen

Original Articles

Gender Dimensions of User Fees: Implications for Women's Utilization of Health Care

Priya Nanda

Pages 127-134 | Published online: 09 Nov 2002

Cite this article [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0968-8080\(02\)00083-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0968-8080(02)00083-6)

Full Article | Figures & data | References | Citations | Metrics

Reprints & Permissions | View PDF

Abstract

This paper examines the impact of user fees on health care services, highlighting the role of inequitable costs and their impact on reaching the poor. It discusses the economic incentives that may be created by user fees and how they can be successful in reducing health care costs and reminding users of the trade-offs they make in order to pay for health care.

We Care About Your Privacy

We and our 848 partners store and/or access information on a device, such as unique IDs in cookies to process personal data. You may accept or manage your choices by clicking below, including your right to object where legitimate interest is used, or at any time in the privacy policy page. These choices will be signaled to our partners and will not affect browsing data. [Privacy Policy](#)

We and our partners process data to provide:
Use precise geolocation data. Actively scan device characteristics for identification. Store and/or access information on a device. Personalised advertising and content, advertising and content measurement, audience research and services development.

List of Partners (vendors)

I Accept
Essential Only
Show Purpose

health care
and
out-of-pocket
come out of
settle
restrictive
t costs are
w they can
the impact of
ization
e costs and
Such studies need to collect

gender-disaggregated data in relation to women's health service utilization and in relation to the range of reproductive health services, taking into account not only out-of-pocket fees charged by public health providers but also by private and traditional providers.

Résumé

Cet article examine l'influence de la rétribution des services de santé sur l'utilisation de ces services par les femmes, avec des études choisies en Afrique. Le manque d'accès aux ressources et le pouvoir de décision inéquitable font que si les femmes pauvres doivent payer les soins de leur poche, le coût de la santé risque de devenir inabordable. Beaucoup de femmes pauvres peuvent être exonérées, mais les prestataires de services hésitent à appliquer ces avantages, car ils sont également limités par des conditions économiques et de service restrictives. Pour maintenir la rétribution des services par les usagers dans des environnements pauvres en ressources, il faut montrer comment ces mesures peuvent être appliquées équitablement et avec succès. Le manque d'information sur l'impact de la rétribution sur la santé des femmes et l'utilisation des services de santé génésique rappelle qu'il faut étudier comment les femmes supportent le coût de la santé et à quelles dépenses elles renoncent pour y parvenir. Ces études recueilleront des données ventilées par sexe sur l'utilisation des services par les femmes et sur la gamme de services de santé génésique, en tenant compte des coûts versés par les usagers aux prestataires publics, mais aussi privés et traditionnels.

Resumen

Este trabajo examina la influencia de la remuneración de los servicios de salud en el uso de estos servicios por parte de las mujeres, con estudios seleccionados en África. La falta de acceso a los recursos y el poder de decisión inequitativo hacen que si las mujeres pobres tienen que pagar los cuidados de su bolsillo, el costo de la salud puede volverse inabordable. Muchas mujeres pobres pueden ser exoneradas, pero los proveedores de servicios dudan de aplicar estos beneficios, ya que también están limitados por condiciones económicas y de servicio restrictivas. Para mantener la remuneración de los servicios por parte de los usuarios en entornos pobres en recursos, se debe demostrar cómo se pueden aplicar estas medidas de manera equitativa y exitosa. La falta de información sobre el impacto de la remuneración en la salud de las mujeres y el uso de los servicios de salud reproductiva recuerda que se debe estudiar cómo soportan las mujeres el costo de la salud y a qué gastos renuncian para lograrlo. Estas investigaciones recopilarán datos desglosados por sexo sobre el uso de los servicios por parte de las mujeres y sobre el abanico de servicios de salud reproductiva, teniendo en cuenta los costos pagados por los usuarios a los proveedores públicos, pero también privados y tradicionales.



es del costo
es para las
d en el
das del
bién están
los usuarios
r cómo se
cerca del
e los
en las
pagar. Se

precisan estudios que recogen datos separados por género en relación a la utilización de los servicios de salud por las mujeres y en relación a la oferta de servicios de salud reproductiva, tomando en cuenta los honorarios cobrados por los proveedores de salud pública y también por los proveedores privados y tradicionales.

Key Words: [user fees](#) [poverty](#) [reproductive health services](#) [health-seeking behaviour](#) [Africa](#)

In the current environment of shrinking global and domestic resources for health care, there is an overwhelming pressure to achieve financial sustainability in the health sectors of developing countries [1]. Within this context, there seems to be increasing acceptance of the view that individuals need to contribute to some of the costs of public health care through charges such as user fees and other cost-recovery mechanisms. The large body of empirical evidence on the impact of user fees on utilization of health care services, however, suggests that user fees are regressive and inequitable, in that poor people pay a greater proportion of their incomes out of pocket for health care than those who are better off, unless there are effective exemptions in place to protect them and the quality of health care is simultaneously improved [1][2][3]. Hence, contemporary debates around user fees have shifted beyond arguing for the mere introduction of user fees, to a focus on how to target exemptions so that they can act as effective safety nets for the poor and on alternative mechanisms of cost recovery that are not regressive [4].

Neglected
which us
disaggre
purpose
Africa, is
assur
user
fees on
affect w
on the fi
utilizati

the ways in
gender-
The
er fees in
and the
l analysis of
impact of user
w user fees
ity of data
or women's



Women's poverty is critically linked to women's health needs and ability to use health services. Of the 1.3 billion poorest people in the world, 70% are women [6]. Within households, women bear an inequitable burden of providing food, health and shelter for themselves and their families. Women also face social and physical barriers to accessing health care services, especially due to their disproportionate need for reproductive health care.

For women living in poverty, user fees have direct and obvious links with the ability to pay out of pocket for health care. Where women are struggling to make ends meet, they have little to save for contingencies, which include health and sickness. Women may make trade-offs in not seeking health care in order to purchase food or fuel, or they may seek traditional health care that does not address their health needs adequately [7]. Further, women in subsistence economies may not have access to cash income or men may have control over women's earnings [6].

This paper reviews some of the literature on user fees and their impact on health care utilization in Africa from the mid-1990s, the same time period when issues of gender equity started to influence health policies and debates, following the International Conference on Population and Development in 1994. Seminal articles from the late 1980s and early 1990s that formed the initial work on user fees have been included for a historical perspective and to shed light on contemporary debates.

In addition to review articles, most of these papers examine the impact of user fees on outcomes such as drug supply, quality of care and utilization of health services in one or more countries. More recent studies use community-based surveys and other

qualitative methods to explore the impact of user fees on health care utilization and how it varies across different social and economic groups. Some studies have looked at women's health care utilization, including reproductive health care and family planning services.

Controlled trials have been conducted to evaluate the impact of user fees on health care utilization. Unlike other forms of health financing, the timing of payments is often linked to the recovery of health care services. Fees are applied to immediate health care services. Unlike other forms of health financing, the timing of payments is often linked to the recovery of health care services. Fees are applied to immediate health care services. Unlike other forms of health financing, the timing of payments is often linked to the recovery of health care services. Fees are applied to immediate health care services.



considered complementary to tax-based financing for government health services, including in countries that previously provided free public health care [4][7].

User fees have been implemented in many countries since the 1980s. Their introduction can partly be traced to the structural adjustment policies that were initiated when many developing countries underwent severe economic recession due to the first “oil crisis”. The International Monetary Fund and World Bank loaned money to those with distressed economies with stringent conditions attached. These typically involved a cut in government expenditure for social sectors, e.g. in the health sector the privatization of government-provided health services, and cost recovery strategies such as user fees, health insurance and pre-payment schemes [4][8]. It was also intended that they would contribute to increasing the resources available to governments to expand and upgrade their network of health care services, as well as reduce inefficiencies in health care delivery by decreasing frivolous use of services and improve the quality of services, e.g. through a more rational referral system and better availability of drugs and medical supplies. Another intended benefit was enhanced accountability to individuals and communities [3].

The World Bank explicitly recommended user fees in its strategy “Agenda for Reform” in 1987 [4]. User fees implemented through health sector reforms and specifically health financing reforms aimed to improve efficiency and equity in health systems [8]. User fees have also been implemented as part of the Bamako Initiative in 1988, following the commitment to “Health for All by 2000” undertaken at Alma Ata. In contrast to the top-down user-fee model initiated through structural adjustment, the goals of the

Bamako
through
capacities

Recent r
introduc
utiliz
occu
suggests
income,
serious e
dropped
hospitals [11]. In Kenya, as well, the introduction of user fees (amounting to half a day


level
management

ice
ter and
ziland
er low
ment is not
for STDs
sion



of pay for a poor person) in government outpatient health facilities led to a dramatic reduction in utilization of STD services by both men and women, but at significantly greater rates for women. Before the introduction of user fees, there were fewer women than men attending. Nine months after their introduction, the fees were revoked, and women's utilization rose to a greater level than the pre-fees level [12].

The studies that look at specific women's health care services validate that they too are price sensitive and that utilization has tended to drop when fees have been charged. Evidence from several African countries suggests that use of maternal health care services is affected when fees are introduced or revoked. Decline in prenatal use was noted in Zimbabwe in the early 1990s when user fees were strongly enforced [8]. A study of the impact of user fees for antenatal care in government hospitals in three districts of Tanzania showed a 53.4% decline in utilization after fees were introduced [13]. A study from Nigeria reports that when user fees were introduced, maternal deaths in the Zaria region rose by 56% along with a decline of 46% in the number of deliveries in the main hospital [14]. In contrast, in South Africa antenatal care attendance improved after charges were revoked [15].



NUM PHOTOS

✕

Display full

The ratio

- fees a
- where place to
- there are tangible benefits to users as revenue from user fees can help enhance

the quality of health services.

A review of the literature suggests that the reality of user fees may be different. A review of cost recovery policies in sub-Saharan Africa shows a narrow emphasis on raising revenue without explicit equity objectives [3]. Several studies show user fees are often not accompanied by improvements in quality or availability of drugs [9][16][17]. Even in terms of raising revenue, studies have found that the recovery rates are often not more than 5-10% of recurrent costs (although they could cover a higher proportion of non-recurrent costs) [7]. The net revenue raised is insufficient to address the existing quality and weaknesses in coverage of the health system as a whole [3]. The low revenue base in itself is an argument against implementing user fees because the potential revenue gained by collecting fees for essential services in resource poor settings is often miniscule given the high administrative costs involved in collecting and disbursing them [7].

However, recent studies suggest that covering even a small proportion of overall revenue is a contribution to an under-funded health sector, even though it may not be enough to bring improvements in quality or compensate health workers for loss of revenue due to exemptions [18]. If fees are retained at the local level, the income can be used to enhance staff salaries through bonuses [19]. This becomes especially relevant in the face of increased demoralization and out-migration of health staff due to the low level and even non-payment of salaries, a reality faced by many of the African countries undergoing health sector reforms [1][7][16]. The issue of revenue retention and how it can be used to improve quality of services is a critical one, and relevant also within the



Re-defining ability to pay

Proponents of user fees argue that the fees are often small, amounting on average to half a day's wages in many countries, and therefore accommodate poor households' ability to pay [7]. The fact that poor people do pay for health care when they go to traditional and private facilities is said to signify their willingness and ability to pay for health care. Russell [21] critiques this traditional view and counters that the entire consumption profile of a household needs to be examined. People are unable to pay when utilization is restrained by financial necessities or when consumption of other essential commodities, such as food, water or education, fall below minimum needs. He calls for a longer-term perspective and defines health care as affordable only when consumption of or investment in essential commodities does not fall below levels that may affect either future health, earning capacity or future expenditures.

At the individual level, the ability of the poor to pay user fees is not only affected by their income but also by the prices of other goods in their basket of commodities and services. For example, an increase in food prices impinges on the household income available to pay for health services [8]. Therefore, if the poor are required to pay for health care, they may be forced to make trade-offs with consumption of other essentials such as food or education [16]. Or families may take out loans to pay for health needs in more acute situations [8][22].

When faced with health expenses they cannot immediately meet, households use resources other than cash, such as the sale of land and other assets, the exchange of labour or food for cash, loans, claims on kin, or use of common property [21]. Sale of livestock expenses in a study in a household's ability to pay [21][22]

In talking about user fees, it is often not isolated, but rather a part of a larger health care system. For example, the costs of using mobile phones for health care are often not considered as a separate layer of costs.



Exploring women's ability to pay for health care

Literature on women's health-seeking behaviour suggests that women's ability to pay needs to be redefined from a gender perspective, taking into account their access to and control over resources and decision-making about health. Further, their willingness to pay is determined by the social costs of health care, including factors such as perceived morbidity and severity of illness and perceived quality of care [23]. A qualitative study from Ghana reports that within poor households, women generally find it more difficult to pay for health care, but especially widows and unmarried women with children [16].

Furthermore, because illness necessitates reallocation of time and resources within a household, a person's position in the household will determine the reallocations made towards that person's health care [22][23]. In a qualitative household study in Uganda on coping strategies to pay for health care, women were found to have the primary responsibility towards their own and their children's health, while it is frequently men who have cash available, particularly that derived from the sale of cash crops [24]. The burden of taking care of the sick falls on women, which not only increases their workload but also makes less time available for earning an income, creating a vicious cycle.

To those dependent on agricultural income, access to cash is seasonal and restricts ability to pay for costs like health care because of the lack of predictability of expenditures and lack of safety nets or savings [23]. A 1999 study in Uganda by Lucas and Nuwagaba suggests that availability of cash at the community level is high only for

four months of the year, from cash crops even though they are sold any time of the year. This means that women are or cannot pay for health care or treatment fees at a time when they need to pay for health care.

Women's ability to pay for health care is also affected by the high out-of-pocket or hidden costs in health care, such as transport costs for health care, maternity care fees (from health centres), and the cost of health care materials such as gloves, masks, and disinfectants.



injections, paraffin and polythene bags for an attended delivery. If the expectant mother cannot cover these costs, she is likely to get poor attention even if she has paid the user fee [26].

The effective decision for the very poor may be not to seek care at all, or to go to traditional healers or resort to partial treatment [9][16][21][23][26][27]. However, there are likely to be further costs if care is sought only after an illness is severe, or if ineffectual treatment has been used or treatment is not completed.

Examining the practice of exemptions

In several countries, such as Zambia, Ghana and Tanzania, antenatal care and family planning services are exempt from user fees and often there are no fees for pregnant women, children under five or the very poor [7][19]. In high fertility settings, exemptions for these categories of women would constitute the majority of women who seek health care to begin with. Patients with severe health conditions (e.g. AIDS, tuberculosis or other communicable diseases) are also treated free of charge irrespective of the ability to pay, due to public health considerations [19]. Taken together, these criteria constitute a large proportion of health care seekers in a poor African community, especially women, defeating the primary motivation for applying user fees [7].

The inconsistency in the objectives of protecting the poor on one hand, and generating revenue from user fees to pay for health services on the other, constitutes a dilemma for health managers entrusted with the responsibility of executing exemptions [19]. The

fact that exemption policies often do not recognize women's literacy or formal or informal education, other areas of women's treatment for infectious



some specific methods of family planning e.g. Norplant and voluntary sterilization, the only available services are offered at NGO-run clinics where women have to pay fees for these services [28]. Exemptions may not have a significant impact on utilization if the public facilities do not offer those health services and women still need to pay for them elsewhere. Or women may need to pay for services for which the social costs are higher (such as treatment seeking for STDs) than those services for which they are exempt, such as maternal care. The consequences can be serious both for a woman's health and from a public health standpoint.

Several studies from Ghana, Uganda, Kenya and Zimbabwe report the misuse of exemptions in clinic settings [16][19][29]. Studies from Ghana, Uganda and Kenya report that records and monitoring of the exemptions systems were nearly non-existent [16][19][29][30]. The leakages of exemptions to the non-poor and lack of incentives to exercise exemptions, given the low salary base of health workers, constitute some of the concerns with the practice [19]. A comprehensive study of six countries, including Kenya, Ghana and Zimbabwe, suggests discretionary waiving of fees based on client characteristics and relations, or discretionary application of fees where exemptions should apply, based on the motivations and circumstances of providers [19]. In Zambia providers reported discretionary application of fees to STD patients who are actually exempt because "they have called it upon themselves" [31]. In the same study, providers also reported that STD patients could be denied drugs that had other competing uses because of acute drug shortages in the country. Overall, the evidence suggests that exemptions are vulnerable to subjectivity and distortion.

Discuss

The emp

sparse. I

sex. Rec

relevant

vuln

are on

their spe

recognit

The fact

women c




comes is
legation by
that are
true for all
that women
well as by
e
health care.
s that
amounts

beyond their means. The trade-offs that women may make in order to pay for health care can lead to debt, use of ineffectual treatments or neglect of their health and other needs.

There is little incentive for providers to apply exemptions, as they too are constrained by restrictive economic and health service conditions. Exemptions for those who cannot afford to pay as currently conceived often seem not to be applied, or are abused or applied inequitably. If user fees and other out-of-pocket costs are retained in resource-poor settings, then there is a need for research to demonstrate whether and how user fees can be successfully and equitably implemented.

To date, even in recent studies, there is little evidence that user fees work in terms of their ability to recover a sufficient proportion of recurrent costs to justify their continuation. The onus for future research is to demonstrate whether and how user fees can improve the resources available in primary health care settings without reducing utilization or hurting those who are poor and vulnerable. Only when there is evidence that user fees can be effective should they be implemented on a broader scale. Such studies need to collect gender-disaggregated data in relation to health services generally and also in relation to reproductive health services. This means not only antenatal care but also deliveries, family planning, abortions, treatment of STDs and tertiary-level gynaecological care, taking into account not only user fees and other out-of-pocket fees charged by public health providers but those of private and traditional providers as well. Issues around women's ability to pay are pertinent to thinking about other methods of cost recovery, including pre-payment schemes and health insurance mechanisms.







Lastly, health care utilization by poor women is often low, and this is often due to the need to pay out-of-pocket fees. The evidence on the impact of user fees on the health of poor women is mixed. It tells us of the urgent need to address the issue of the household level, and what the consequences are for those who are unable to afford to pay for health care. The impact of user fees on the health of poor women.



Acknowledgements

I would like to thank my colleagues Anna Benton, Avni Amin and Jodi Jacobson from CHANGE for their support and comments on this paper.

References

1. B. McPake. User charges for health services in developing countries: a review of the economic literature. *Social Science and Medicine*. 36(11): 1993; 1397-1405.
 | [PubMed](#) | [Web of Science ®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)
2. B. Stanton, J. Clemens. User fees for health care in developing countries: a case study of Bangladesh. *Social Science and Medicine*. 29(10): 1989; 1199-1205.
 | [Web of Science ®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)
3. S. Russell, L. Gilson. User fee policies to promote health service access for the poor: a wolf in sheep's clothing?. *International Journal of Health Services*. 27(2): 1997; 359-379.
 | [PubMed](#) | [Web of Science ®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)
4. Arhin-Pratt, D. Working with the Poor: A Review of Health Services in Ghana. *Health Affairs*. 2001.
 | [PubMed](#) | [Web of Science ®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)
5. H. St. Louis. Policy Review. *Health Affairs*. 2001.
 | [PubMed](#) | [Web of Science ®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)
6. W. H. Haas. International Development. *Health Affairs*. 2001.
 | [PubMed](#) | [Web of Science ®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)



7. L. Gilson. The lessons of user fee experience in Africa. *Health Policy and Planning*. 12(4): 1997; 273-285.

[PubMed](#) | [Web of Science ®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

8. Breman A, Shelton C. Structural adjustment and health: a literature review of the debate, its role players and presented empirical evidence. CMH Working Papers Series WG6: No. 6. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2001

[Google Scholar](#)

9. S. Haddad, P. Fournier. Quality, cost and utilisation of health services in developing countries: a longitudinal study in Zaire. *Social Science and Medicine*. 40(6): 1995; 743-753.

[PubMed](#) | [Web of Science ®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

10. S. Bennett, L. Gilson. *Health Financing: Designing and Implementing Pro-Poor Policies*. 2001; DFID Health Systems Resource Centre: London.

[Google Scholar](#)

11. R. Yoder. Are people willing and able to pay for health services?. *Social Science and Medicine*. 29(1): 1989; 35-42.

[Web of Science ®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

12. S. Moses, F. Manji. Impact of user fees on attendance at a referral centre for sexually transmitted infections in Tanzania. *Health Affairs*. 1999; 18(12): 1973-1978.

13. A.K. H. Health financing and health facilities in Tanzania. *Health Affairs*. 2001; 20(12): 2973-2978.



14. C.C. E. Health financing and health facilities in Tanzania. *Health Affairs*. 2001; 20(12): 2973-2978.

5. H. Schneider, L. Gilson. The impact of free maternal health care in South Africa. M. Berer, T.K.S. Ravindran. Safe Motherhood Initiatives: Critical Issues. 2000; Reproductive Health Matters: London.

[Google Scholar](#)

6. C. Waddington, K.A. Enyimayew. A price to pay. Part 2: The impact of user charges in the Volta Region of Ghana. International Journal of Health Planning and Management. 5: 1990; 287-312.

[Google Scholar](#)

7. B. Abel-Smith, P. Rawall. Can the poor afford "free" health services? A case study of Tanzania. Health Policy and Planning. 7(4): 1992; 329-341.

[Web of Science ®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

8. Wouters A, Adeyi O, Marrow R. Quality of health care and its role in cost recovery with a focus on empirical findings about willingness to pay for quality improvements. HFS Major Applied Research Paper No. 8. Bethesda MD: Abt Associates, 1993

[Google Scholar](#)

9. R. Bitran, U. Giedion. User fees for health care: Waivers, exemptions and implementation issues. 2002; World Bank: Washington DC. (draft).

[Google Scholar](#)

20. Vogel [in West Africa](#) 1982

[Goog](#)

21. S. Rus [policy and Plan](#)



22. R. Sau [economic costs](#)

23. S. Muela, A. Mushi, J. Ribera. The paradox of the cost and affordability of traditional and government health services in Tanzania. *Health Policy and Planning*. 15(3): 2000; 296-302.

 | [Web of Science ®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

24. Lucas H, Nuwagaba A. Household coping strategies in response to the introduction of user charges for social service: a case study on health in Uganda. *IDS Working Paper* 86. Brighton: Institute for Development Studies, 1999

[Google Scholar](#)

25. S. Nahar, A. Costello. The hidden cost of 'free' maternity care in Dhaka, Bangladesh. *Health Policy and Planning*. 13(4): 1998; 417-422.

 | [PubMed](#) | [Web of Science ®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

26. Konde-Lule J, Okello D. User Fees in Government Health Units in Uganda: Implementation, Impact, and Scope. *PHR/SAR Paper No. 2*. Bethesda MD: Abt Associates, 1998

[Google Scholar](#)

27. J. Litvack, C. Bodart. User fees plus quality equals improved access to health care: results of a field experiment in Cameroon. *Social Science and Medicine*. 37(3): 1993; 369-383.

 | [Web of Science ®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

28. Richey... e Health
Service... rsity of
North

[Goog](#)

29. B. ... rkers in
Ugand ... and
Medic



30. Newbrander W, Collins D. Equity in the provision of health care: ensuring access to the poor to services under user fee systems. Paper presented at East African Senior Policy Seminar on Sustainable Health Care Financing, Nairobi, 1997

[Google Scholar](#)

31. Nanda P. Health Sector Reforms in Zambia: Implications for Reproductive Health and Rights. CHANGE Working Paper. Takoma Park MD: Center for Health and Gender Equity, 2000

[Google Scholar](#)

[Download PDF](#)

Related research

People also read

Recommended articles

Cited by
86



Information for

- Authors
- R&D professionals
- Editors
- Librarians
- Societies

Opportunities

- Reprints and e-prints
- Advertising solutions
- Accelerated publication
- Corporate access solutions

Open access

- Overview
- Open journals
- Open Select
- Dove Medical Press
- F1000Research

Help and information

- Help and contact
- Newsroom
- All journals
- Books

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email

 Sign me up



✕