

Home ▶ All Journals ▶ The Social Science Journal ▶ List of Issues ▶ Volume 55, Issue 2 The United States Agency for Internation ....

The Social Science Journal > Volume 55, 2018 - Issue 2

229 19 Views CrossRef citations to date Altmetric

Original Article

# The United States Agency for International Development and forest loss: A crossnational analysis of environmental aid

Michael Restivo 

✓, John M. Shandra & Jamie M. Sommer

Pages 170-181 | Received 12 Feb 2017, Accepted 02 Sep 2017, Published online: 09 Dec 2019

**66** Cite this article ⚠ https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soscij.2017.09.001





Full Article

Figures & data

References

**66** Citations

**Metrics** 

➡ Reprints & Permissions

Read this article

### **Abstract**

Among scholars of international development, there is a debate regarding the effectiveness of bilateral aid to improve the natural environment. Here we focus on evaluating whether United States Agency for International Development's (USAID) aid in the environmental sector reduces forest loss. Little empirical evidence exists on this

question problem affect fo donor regre income USAID's

also find

### About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click "Settings". For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our Privacy Policy

iven the Accept All cesses that ernational **Essential Onl** ariable middle Settings her levels of est loss. We exports,

agricultural land area, and tropical climate are related to increased forest loss.

Cross-national Development Cross-national Environmental protection

## Notes

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors. The authors are listed alphabetically.

1 We analyze forest loss in low and middle income nations as classified by World Bank (2016) because high income nations are not eligible for aid from USAID. They include: Albania, Argentina, Armenia, Azerbaijan, Bangladesh, Belarus, Benin, Bolivia, Botswana, Brazil, Bulgaria, Burkina Faso, Burundi, Cambodia, Cameroon, Central African Republic, China, Colombia, Costa Rica, Cuba, Ecuador, El Salvador, Ethiopia, Gabon, Georgia, Ghana, Guatemala, Guinea, Guyana, Honduras, Hungary, India, Indonesia, Jamaica, Kazakhstan, Kenya, Kyrgyz Republic, Lesotho, Macedonia, Madagascar, Malawi, Malaysia, Mali, Mauritania, Mexico, Moldova, Mongolia, Mozambique, Namibia, Nepal, Nicaragua, Nigeria, Panama, Papua New Guinea, Paraguay, Peru, Philippines, Romania, Senegal, South Africa, Sri Lanka, Sudan, Suriname, Tajikistan, Tanzania, Thailand, Togo, Turkmenistan, Uganda, Ukraine, Venezuela, Vietnam, Zambia, and Zimbabwe.

2 We tried the circular distance from Washington D.C. to each nation's capital as an instrument. It violated the valid instrument assumption. The diagnostic statistics also indicated it was a weak instrument.

3 We replicate the models using a limited information maximum likelihood estimator, which often performs better with small samples (<u>Baum, 2006</u>). The results are similar to the findings presented

4 We tes


region

5 Follow interacti

corruption. The

#### About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click "Settings". For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our <a href="Privacy Policy">Privacy Policy</a>



6 We included percentage of protected forest area in the models. The coefficients do not reach a level of statistical significance.


7 We include dummy variables for the region of the world in the forest loss models. The results are similar.


8 We included the total amount of forest area within a nation as a control in the models. The coefficients do not reach a level of statistical significance.

9 We included the non-dependent population growth rate with the total population growth rate. The coefficients for both variables fail to reach a level of statistical significance. This is most likely the case because of the high bivariate correlation between the variables. We repeat this for the rural and urban population growth models. The results are similar to the findings reported.

10 We included the percentage of forest area owned by the government. It may well be that higher amounts of public forest area may correspond with increased forest loss because it can be obtained cheaply via lease or theft for extractive activities. The data come from the United Nations Global Forest Resources Assessment (2010). The coefficients do not reach a level of statistical significance. It important to note that including this variable reduces our sample size to 58 nations.


11 We replicated the models including a square of gross domestic product per capita to test for the presence of an inverted, u-shaped relationship with forest loss. The coefficients for the squared term do not reach a level of statistical significance.





About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click "Settings". For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our Privacy Policy



Information for

**Authors** 

**R&D** professionals

**Editors** 

Librarians

Societies

Opportunities

Reprints and e-prints

Advertising solutions

Accelerated publication

Corporate access solutions

Open access

Overview

Open journals

**Open Select** 

**Dove Medical Press** 

F1000Research

Help and information

Help and contact

Newsroom

All journals

Books

### Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email



Sign me up











Copyright © 2024 Informa UK Limited Privacy policy Cookies Terms & conditions

Taylor & Francis Group an informa business

Accessibility

Registered in England & Wales No. 3099067 5 Howick Place | London | SW1P 1WG

### About Cookies On This Site



We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click "Settings". For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our <a href="Privacy Policy">Privacy Policy</a>



Essential Onl

Settings