



Accounting and Business Research >

Volume 32, 2002 - [Issue 3](#)

508 | 39
Views | CrossRef citations to date | Altmetric

Articles

Auditor economic incentives and going-concern opinions in a limited litigious Continental European business environment: empirical evidence from Belgium

Dr Ann Vanstraelen

Pages 171-186 | Published online: 27 Feb 2012

Cite this article <https://doi.org/10.1080/00014788.2002.9728966>

Sample our Economics, Finance, Business & Industry Journals
»> [Sign in here](#) to start your access to the latest two volumes for 14 days

References

Citations

Metrics

Reprints & Permissions

Read this article

Share

Abstract

Theory predicts that auditor reporting behaviour may be influenced by the perceived consequences of disclosing going-concern uncertainty in the audit report (DeAngelo 1981, Watts and Zimmerman 1986). Krishnan and Krishnan (1996) and Louwers (1998) have addressed this issue empirically in a US context. The results of Krishnan and Krishnan (1996) suggested that one of the important factors in the auditor's opinion decision is the risk of litigation. The purpose of this study is to examine the relationship between auditor economic incentives and the propensity to issue going-concern opinions in a limited litigious business environment, Belgium. In spite of the low risk of litigation and the fact that most Belgian companies are privately held, various regulations have been put into effect to safeguard audit quality in Belgium. However,

the results suggest that the auditor's going-concern opinion decision in Belgium is associated with factors relating to the perceived consequences of disclosing a going-concern opinion. Specifically, the results suggest that auditors in Belgium are significantly less likely to issue going-concern opinions to clients that pay higher audit fees, and when the audit firm has lost a relatively high proportion of its clients in the preceding year. The auditor's going-concern opinion does not appear to be significantly influenced by the length of the auditor-client relationship, year of the auditor engagement period, and auditor type. The results of this study are to some extent different from the study by Louwers (1998), in which none of the incentive variables related to the auditor's loss function was significant.

Related Research Data

[A Multivariate Analysis of the Auditor's Going-Concern Opinion Decision](#)

Source: Journal of Accounting Research

[A Reexamination of Auditor versus Model Accuracy within the Context of the Going-Concern Opinion Decision*](#)

Source: Contemporary Accounting Research

[A Note on the Association between Audit Firm Size and Audit Quality*](#)

Source: Contemporary Accounting Research

[Model Predictions and Auditor Assessments of Going Concern Status](#)

Source: Accounting and Business Research

[Are large auditors more accurate than small auditors?](#)

Source: Accounting and Business Research

[The Audit Report under Going Concern Uncertainties: An Empirical Analysis](#)

Source: Accounting and Business Research

[THE AUDITOR'S GOING CONCERN DECISION: SOME UK EVIDENCE CONCERNING INDEPENDENCE AND COMPETENCE](#)

Related research

People also read

Recommended articles

Cited by
39

Information for

Authors

R&D professionals

Editors

Librarians

Societies

Opportunities

Reprints and e-prints

Advertising solutions

Accelerated publication

Corporate access solutions

Open access

Overview

Open journals

Open Select

Dove Medical Press

F1000Research

Help and information

Help and contact

Newsroom

All journals

Books

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources
by email

 Sign me up

  

  

Copyright © 2026 Informa UK Limited Privacy policy Cookies Terms & conditions

Accessibility



Registered in England & Wales No. 01072954
5 Howick Place | London | SW1P 1WG