



Accounting and Business Research >

Volume 38, 2008 - [Issue 4](#)

1,215 | 78
Views | CrossRef citations to date | Altmetric

Original Articles

Analysts' perceptions of 'earnings quality'

Richard Barker & Shahed Imam

Pages 313-329 | Accepted 01 Mar 2008, Published online: 04 Jan 2011

Cite this article <https://doi.org/10.1080/00014788.2008.9663344>



References

Citations

Metrics

Reprints & Permissions

[Read this article](#)

Share

Abstract

This paper examines sell-side analysts' perceptions of 'earnings quality'. Prior research suggests that analysts' stock recommendations, price targets, earnings forecasts and written reports are relevant to share price formation. One of the main inputs in analysts' forecasting and valuation models is earnings, and analysts' perceptions of earnings quality are therefore important. There is, however, little direct evidence in the literature on what these perceptions are and on what role they have in decision-making. This paper seeks first to understand earnings quality as interpreted by analysts, and it then tests this interpretation against its actual usage in analysts' research reports. An inductive approach is used that combines interview data with content analysis, and the findings are interpreted in the light of findings from market-based and other research. We find that the concept of earnings quality is both accounting-based (relating to notions of core or sustainable earnings, cash and accrual components of earnings, and accounting policies) and non-accounting-based (relating to information drawn from outside the financial statements). We find more non-

accounting than accounting references to earnings quality, and that (relatively subjective) non-accounting references are especially widely used where analysts express positive or negative opinions about earnings quality. It is relatively unusual for an analyst's opinion to be both negative and accounting-based. If, however, an analyst does express negative, accounting-based views on earnings quality, then he or she is highly unlikely to be positive in other respects. We interpret this evidence to be consistent with analysts' economic incentives to generate trading volume yet to be favourably biased towards companies, while seeking to use value-relevant information relating to earnings. We also conclude that the importance of accounting-based information relating to earnings quality is more important than it might seem, and that it exerts a significant influence on the analysis and recommendations in analysts' reports.

Keywords::

earnings quality

analysts' reports

analysts' opinions

Notes

Richard Barker is Senior Lecturer at Judge Business School, University of Cambridge and Shahed Imam is Assistant Professor at Warwick Business School, University of Warwick. The authors are grateful for helpful input from the Editor and the anonymous reviewers; also Rhoda Brown, Colin Clubb, John Holland, Geoff Meeks, Geoff Whittington and Wenjuan Zhang; seminar participants at Cambridge, Cardiff, and Warwick; and conference participants at the BAA. Correspondence should be addressed to: Dr Richard Barker, Judge Business School, University of Cambridge, Trumpington Street, Cambridge CB2 1AG, UK. Tel: +44 (0) 1223 339646. Fax: +44 (0) 1223 339701. E-mail: r.barker@jbs.cam.ac.uk.

Related Research Data

[Earnings, Book Values, and Dividends in Equity Valuation*](#)

Source: Contemporary Accounting Research

Investors' Use of Analysts' Recommendations

Source: Behavioral Research in Accounting

GAAP versus The Street: An Empirical Assessment of Two Alternative Definitions of Earnings

Source: Journal of Accounting Research

Reporting Financial Performance

Source: Accounting Horizons

The Relative Informativeness of Analysts' Stock Recommendations and Earnings Forecast Revisions

Source: Journal of Accounting Research

The role of dividends in valuation models used by analysts and fund managers

Source: European Accounting Review

Analyst Impartiality and Investment Banking Relationships

Related research

People also read

Recommended articles

Cited by
78

Information for

Authors

R&D professionals

Editors

Librarians

Societies

Opportunities

Reprints and e-prints

Advertising solutions

Accelerated publication

Corporate access solutions

Open access

Overview

Open journals

Open Select

Dove Medical Press

F1000Research

Help and information

Help and contact

Newsroom

All journals

Books

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources
by email

 Sign me up

  

  

Copyright © 2026 Informa UK Limited Privacy policy Cookies Terms & conditions

Accessibility



Registered in England & Wales No. 01072954
5 Howick Place | London | SW1P 1WG