









>> Sign in here to start your access to the latest two volumes for 14 days

**66** Citations

Share

Metrics

References

Read this article



Abstract

Full Article

**➡** Reprints & Permissions

Figures & data

The lack of available prices in the Dutch life insurance industry makes competition an elusive concept that defies direct observation. Therefore, this article investigates competition by analysing several factors which may affect the competitive nature of a market and various indirect measurement approaches. After discussing various supply and demand factors which may constitute a so-called tight oligopoly, we establish the existence of scale economies and the importance of cost X-inefficiency, since severe competition would force firms to exploit available scale economies and to reduce X-inefficiencies. Both scale economies and X-inefficiencies turn out to be substantial, although more or less comparable to those found for insurers in other countries and to other financial institutions. Further, we apply the Boone indicator, a novel approach to measuring the effects of competition. This indicator points to limited competition in comparison to other sectors in the Netherlands. Further investigations of submarkets

should reveal where policy measures in order to promote competition might be appropriate.

<sup>†</sup>The views expressed in this article are personal and do not necessarily reflect those of CPB or DNB

## Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Jan Boone, Marcel Canoy, Wil Dullemond, Aerdt Houben, Marc Pomp, unknown referees and participants of the CPB Conference on 'Competition in markets for life insurance' (The Hague, April 27, 2005), the DNB Research Seminar (Amsterdam, 2005), the 'Dynamics of Insurance Markets: Structure, Conduct, and Performance in the 21st Century', Conference of the Wharton School/Journal of Banking and Finance (Philadelphia, USA, May 4–5, 2006) and Eurobanking 2006 (Dubrovnik, Croatia, May 21–24, 2006) for useful comments, Miriam Holman-Rijken and Leo Kranenburg for excellent research assistance and Marcel Eggenkamp and Usseb Karakhalil for providing the data.

# Notes

<sup>†</sup>The views expressed in this article are personal and do not necessarily reflect those of CPB or DNB

<sup>1</sup>In terms of premiums as a percentage of GDP, the Dutch market is around 40% above the European weighted average.

 $^{2}$ For an overview, see Bikker (2004) or Bikker and Bos (2005).

<sup>3</sup>For life insurances, a second motive is the accumulation of assets. Some countries see many buyers of annuities eventually cashing out their contracts rather than annuitizing.

<sup>4</sup>In the Netherlands, health insurance is part of nonlife insurance, whereas in Anglo-Saxon countries, health insurance is seen as part of life insurance.

- <sup>5</sup>A typical endowment insurance policy pays a given amount at a given date if a given person is still alive, or earlier when he or she passes away. Of course, there are many variants to these archetypes.
- <sup>6</sup>The fiscal regime change might cause a structural break. However, re-estimation of our model for two sub-periods, before and after the change, did not give different results.
- <sup>7</sup>For a fuller discussion we refer to CPB (2005). See also Kamerschen (2004).
- <sup>8</sup>For a detailed analysis of the various effects we refer to CPB (2003).
- $^9$ Concentration ratios are discussed in Bikker and Haaf ( $\underline{2002}$ ). where  $s_i$  represents the market share of firm i.
- <sup>10</sup>In 1996, Japanese entrance increased sharply due to a structural change.
- <sup>11</sup>Acquisition costs are marketing costs and sales costs, which include commissions to insurance agents.
- <sup>12</sup>Incidentally, a new Dutch Financial Services Act (Wet Financiële Dienstverlening) has come into force at the begin of 2006, pressing for more transparency in this market, which may also work to improve competition in this submarket.
- <sup>13</sup>See Consumentenbond, 2004, Consumentengeldgids (Personal finance guide), September, 34–37.
- <sup>14</sup>This interpretation would be different in a market with only few firms, so that further consolidation would be impossible. Further, this interpretation would also change when new entrees incur unfavourable scale effects during the initial phase of their growth path.
- $^{15}$ Note that sometimes scale economies are defined by the reciprocal of Equation  $\underline{3}$ , see, for instance, Baumol et al. ( $\underline{1982}$ , p. 21) and Resti ( $\underline{1997}$ ).
- $^{16}$ The first stochastic frontier function for production was independently proposed by Aigner et al. (1977) and Meeusen and van den Broeck (1977). Schmidt and Lovell (1979) presented its dual as a stochastic cost frontier function.
- $^{17}\text{This}$  expression relies upon the predicted value of the unobservable,  $u_{it}$ , which can be calculated from expectations of  $u_{it}$ , conditional upon the observed values of  $v_{it}$  and

- u<sub>it</sub> , (Battese and Coelli, <u>1992</u>, 1993, 1995).
- $^{18}\mbox{Note that the E(c}_{\mbox{\scriptsize it}}$  , X) differs from actual costs,  $c_{\mbox{\scriptsize it}}$  , due to  $v_{\mbox{\scriptsize it}}$  .
- <sup>19</sup>An alternative definition would be the inverse of EFF  $_{it}$ , INEFF  $_{it}$  = exp(u $_{it}$ ), which is bounded between 1 and ∞.
- <sup>20</sup>See Boone and Weigand in CPB (<u>2000</u>) and Boone (<u>2001</u>, 2004).
- <sup>21</sup>More competition can force firms to consolidate (see our scale economies discussion). Claessens and Laeven (2004) found in a world wide study on banking that concentration was positively instead of negatively related to competition.
- <sup>22</sup>Suppose that the negative profit firms are price fighters. In a well-functioning market the price fighters will-influence profitability of the other firms.
- <sup>23</sup>Some insurance firms can approximate their value added by comparing their embedded value over time. These data are not publicly available.
- <sup>24</sup>The definition of production of life insurance firms is discussed further in subsection 'cost X-inefficiency'.
- <sup>25</sup>The price of management, or wages, has been excluded by applying the two standard properties of cost functions, namely linear homogeneity in the input prices and cost exhaustion (Jorgenson, <u>1986</u>).
- <sup>26</sup>Of course, the accuracy of this optimal size is limited, as its calculated location lies far out of our sample range.
- <sup>27</sup>This figure is based on the OLS estimates, which provides the starting values of the numerical optimization procedure. As OLS minimizes the errors terms and maximizes the degree of fit, the latter will be lower in the SCF model.
- $^{28}$ This measure can be defined as where  $p_i$  denotes the firm's equilibrium output price and  $mc_i$  its marginal cost.
- <sup>29</sup>ISIS data concern both domestic and foreign activities. Pure domestic figures would be more precise but are not available.
- $^{30}$ For instance, firms in the Netherlands use more agents as selling channel than those in other countries (CEDA, 2004, p. 144).

- <sup>31</sup>A similar picture emerges from figures of CEDA (2004), p. 198.
- <sup>32</sup>This lagging adjustment of profitability does not disturb the international comparison, as this limitation holds also for the foreign data.
- <sup>33</sup>Note that the variable cost may change over the size classes due to scale efficiency (just as the marginal cost may do), so that the average variable cost may differ from the marginal cost. Apart from this theoretical dissimilarity, these variables are also measured differently in practice.
- <sup>34</sup>We have also estimated random effect models for profits (Table 8) and markets shares (Table 9). Their coefficients were quite similar to those of the fixed effect models, with even slightly higher values and higher levels of significance. This suggests that the estimates presented in Tables 8 and 9 are quite robust. We tested for random effect using the Hausman test, but this test appeared to be undefined, suffering from the 'small sample problem'. All models include year dummies, also not shown in the tables.
- $^{35}$ The value of the Boone-indicator in these estimations is around -0.85. Results can be obtained from the authors.
- <sup>36</sup>The elasticity of this variable is the coefficient (0.45) times the average of the unitlinked fund ratio (0.33; see Table 3), so 0.15.
- $^{37}$ In the basic model, the  $\beta_1$  values for mc are lower than for average variable costs (namely around -1) and for one year even not significant, see Table A2 in Appendix 2.
- $^{38}$ The elasticity, the first derivative of the auxiliary equation in logs, is  $-0.37 + 0.01 \times 2 \times \text{average}$  production in logarithms. For the auxiliary model in natural values it is equal to mc production  $\times (\text{average production/average mc}) = (-0.134e 7 + (0.249e 14 \times 247707.4 \times 2) \times 247707/0.18.$

#### Related Research Data

Analyzing Firm Performance in the Insurance Industry Using Frontier Efficiency and Productivity Methods

Source: Unknown Repository

A model for technical inefficiency effects in a stochastic frontier production function for panel data

Source: Empirical Economics

ESTIMATION OF A PRODUCTION FRONTIER MODEL: WITH APPLICATION TO THE

PASTORAL ZONE OF EASTERN AUSTRALIA

Source: Australian Journal of Agricultural Economics

Evaluating the cost-efficiency of the Italian banking system: What can be learned from

the joint application of parametric and non-parametric techniques

Source: Journal of Banking & Finance

Large Bank Efficiency in Europe and the United States: Are There Economic

Motivations for Geographic Expansion in Financial Services?

## Related research 1

People also read

Recommended articles

Cited by 85

Competition in the insurance sector - An application of Boone indicator >

Sanderson Abel et al.

Cogent Economics & Finance Published online: 8 Sep 2021

2

Information for

**Authors** 

**R&D** professionals

**Editors** 

Librarians

**Societies** 

Opportunities

Reprints and e-prints

Advertising solutions

Accelerated publication

Corporate access solutions

Open access

Overview

Open journals

**Open Select** 

**Dove Medical Press** 

F1000Research

Help and information

Help and contact

Newsroom

All journals

**Books** 

### Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email



Sign me up











Accessibility



Copyright © 2025 Informa UK Limited Privacy policy Cookies Terms & conditions



Registered in England & Wales No. 01072954 5 Howick Place | London | SW1P 1WG