



Applied Economics >

Volume 46, 2014 - [Issue 35](#)

468 | 3 | 0  
Views | CrossRef citations to date | Altmetric

Original Articles

# Modelling the causes and manifestation of bank stress: an example from the financial crisis

John Kandrak

Pages 4290-4301 | Published online: 10 Sep 2014

Cite this article <https://doi.org/10.1080/00036846.2014.955257>



Sample our  
Economics, Finance,  
Business & Industry Journals  
>> **Sign in here** to start your access  
to the latest two volumes for 14 days

Full Article

Figures & data

References

Supplemental

Citations

Metrics

Reprints & Permissions

Read this article

Share

## Abstract

In this study, I model the predictors and manifestation of bank stress during the financial crisis using a Multiple Indicator Multiple Cause model. Unlike most early warning models that predict failure probabilities, this article describes a framework for predicting a broader notion of bank stress that need not rely on regulatory decisions. As such, this method can be easily applied to large institutions, and avoids the complications associated with modelling a regulatory decision such as failure or a CAMELS downgrade. Using bank reliance on Term Auction Facility funds and the out-of-sample incidence of failures and acquisitions, I demonstrate that the measure of bank stress generated here accords with other notions of bank-level distress. Finally, this method catalogues predictors of distress during the financial crisis. Thus, this article can help assess the validity of several recent regulatory proposals. I find that those

banks entering the crisis with more Tier 1 capital, more liquid balance sheets, and relatively stable liabilities subsequently came under less stress. These findings support the Basel III recommended increases in banks' capital adequacy, liquidity and stable funding.

Keywords:

bank stress   early warning model   financial crisis   financial regulation   bank failure

JEL Classification:

G17   G21   G28

---

## Acknowledgements

I am grateful for helpful comments from two anonymous referees, Julian Atanassov, Shankha Chakraborty, Jennifer Dlugosz, Jeremy Piger, Mark Thoma, and participants at the Southern Economic Association Conference. I thank Win Monroe for research assistance. Any errors are my own.

---

## Notes

<sup>1</sup> I should note that although regulatory forbearance during the early and middle stages of the S&L crisis presents an issue, the regulatory reform during the S&L crisis may mean that the bank failures during 2007–2011 can be used to form a more reasonable baseline for comparison with expected future regulatory procedures and attitudes.

<sup>2</sup> Although I apply the method described in this section to larger financial institutions, the method is very flexible and can easily be applied to small banks as well.

<sup>3</sup> All of the analysis presented in this article is done on a 'highest holder' basis. Specifically, I aggregate to bank holding company level using Call Report information on equity stakes in each bank.

<sup>4</sup> Out-of-sample performance of these institutions is also evaluated below.

<sup>5</sup> Bank mergers that occurred during this time period are handled by aggregating the balance sheet and income statements of the merged banks.

<sup>6</sup> Alternate definitions of the capital ratio were used with little changes to the results reported in the next section. For example, percentage-point changes and percentage changes in the Tier 1 plus Tier 2 capital ratio were used as well as a measure that normalizes by the bank-level excess capital above the regulatory minimum. The main results hold.

<sup>7</sup> Using loan charge-offs in place of securities losses produces very similar results. As mentioned previously, many different indicators of bank stress can be used, but I limit the number presented here for parsimony. Furthermore, loan charge-offs can be subject to evergreening practices and may not be fully realized during a high-stress period.

<sup>8</sup> As will be demonstrated, the conclusions are not sensitive to excluding TARP injections from the measures of bank stress indicators.

<sup>9</sup> Indeed, the stigma associated with accessing the discount window was a motivating factor behind the creation of the Term Auction Facility, which allowed banks to borrow term funds anonymously from the Federal Reserve.

<sup>10</sup> In the accompanying Appendix, I produce a time series of system-wide bank holding company stress by plotting an aggregated version of the latent stress factors over time.

<sup>11</sup> However, in [Section V](#), I present evidence that the latent stress factor derived in the MIMIC model is consistent with other notions of bank stress.

<sup>12</sup> I direct readers to the literature described in [Section II](#) and, in particular, the following studies, which have used most of the variables that appear in [Table 4](#): Cole et al. ([1995](#)), Cole and Gunther ([1995](#)) and Kolari et al. ([2002](#)). The data set used for this study may be accessed at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00036846.2014.955257>

<sup>13</sup> This result extends to alternate definitions of capital adequacy, such as Tier 1 plus Tier 2 capital.

<sup>14</sup> These results are robust to using either savings or demand deposits separately. Additionally, replacing core deposits with nondeposit liabilities shows a significant positive relationship with levels of financial distress.

<sup>15</sup> Interestingly, however, replacing overhead costs with a normalized measure of salaries exhibits an insignificant relationship.

<sup>16</sup> Although left undiscussed due to the lack of statistical significance conditional on other controls, the effect of banks' off-balance sheet activity could represent an area for future study. One might have expected off-balance sheet activity to be correlated with higher levels financial distress during the crisis, but the differential composition of the off-balance sheet activity could be driving the results. For example, some off-balance sheet items could represent beneficial derivative-based hedging activity, while others could be concentrated in toxic collateralized debt obligations and subprime MBSs.

<sup>17</sup> Three of the acquirers in the high stress quintile were among the nine healthiest banks of the quintile.

<sup>18</sup> Because of the unavailability of certain regulatory capital data and definition changes, the stress factor 1 construct here – plotted in [Fig. A1](#) – is generated using the Call Report series RCFD3210 to measure bank capital.

---

## Related research

People also read

Recommended articles

Cited by  
3

## Information for

[Authors](#)

[R&D professionals](#)

[Editors](#)

[Librarians](#)

[Societies](#)

## Opportunities

[Reprints and e-prints](#)

[Advertising solutions](#)

[Accelerated publication](#)

[Corporate access solutions](#)

## Open access

[Overview](#)

[Open journals](#)

[Open Select](#)

[Dove Medical Press](#)

[F1000Research](#)

## Help and information

[Help and contact](#)

[Newsroom](#)

[All journals](#)

[Books](#)

## Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email



Sign me up



Copyright © 2026 Informa UK Limited [Privacy policy](#) [Cookies](#) [Terms & conditions](#)

[Accessibility](#)

 Taylor and Francis Group

Registered in England & Wales No. 01072954  
5 Howick Place | London | SW1P 1WG