



Applied Economics >

Volume 49, 2017 - [Issue 52](#)

1,253 32

Views | CrossRef citations to date | Altmetric

0

Original Articles

Finance and income inequality in Kazakhstan: evidence since transition with policy suggestions

Muhammad Shahbaz, Mita Bhattacharya & Mantu Kumar Mahalik

Pages 5337-5351 | Published online: 20 Mar 2017

Cite this article <https://doi.org/10.1080/00036846.2017.1305095>



Sample our
Economics, Finance,
Business & Industry Journals
>> **Sign in here** to start your access
to the latest two volumes for 14 days

Full Article

Figures & data

References

Citations

Metrics

Reprints & Permissions

Read this article

Share

ABSTRACT

Kazakhstan gained independence in 1990 and has undergone significant changes in economic, social and trade conditions since then. We analyse the effects of financial development on income inequality in Kazakhstan, incorporating economic growth, foreign investment, education and the role of democracy as the drivers. We establish that income inequality in Kazakhstan is impaired by financial development. In summary, we send three messages for policy purposes. First, strengthening financial sector is necessary to close the gap between 'haves and have-nots'. Second, attracting FDI beyond the hydrocarbon sector is necessary to alleviate inequality. Finally, adaptation of education system to the new social and economic environment would help in improving income distribution.

KEYWORDS:

Kazakhstan

finance

inequality

Central Asia

JEL CLASSIFICATION:

E44

F40

Acknowledgement

We thank Professor Richard Pomfret for helpful comments.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

Notes

¹ The well-known Kuznets ([1955](#)) hypothesis describes an inverted-U relationship between per capita income and inequality. In the early phase of economic development, income inequality increases, then stabilizes, and eventually declines after a threshold point of economic development.

² Educational focus from 1990 onwards has fostered a ‘de-Sovietized-re-Kazakhified’ national identity within the education system. This will have a significant effect on development, income inequality and the overall social welfare of Kazak citizens.

³ We chose domestic credit to the private sector as our measure of financial development following Levine ([2005](#)). This measure is one of the most widely used in the existing economics literature as an indicator of financial development. Various researchers have also used other measures of financial development such as M2 as share of GDP, liquid liabilities as share of GDP (Masih, Al-Alg, and Madani [2009](#); Liang and Jian-Zhou [2010](#); Gantman and Dabós [2012](#)). These measures are unable to measure financial development. The reason is that M2 as share of GDP contains large

portion of currency and reflects monetization (Jalil and Feridun [2011](#)). Liquid liabilities indicate the volume or size of financial sector rather than financial development (Creane et al. [2007](#)). Contrarily, domestic credit to the private sector refers to financial resources disburses to the private sector via loans, purchases of non-equity securities, trade credit and other accounts receivable that establish a claim for repayment (Boutabba [2014](#)). It also shows the actual level of domestic savings disburses to investors for productive investment ventures, which reflects financial development (Martin et al. [2013](#)).

⁴ Countries are assigned scores from 1 to 7, where small values represent greater liberties. For further details, see <https://freedomhouse.org/report-types/freedom-world>.

⁵ We present here the graphs for three key variables only to conserve the space.

⁶ The unit roots results are not reported to conserve the space.

⁷ We use estimated values of the critical bounds from Narayan ([2005](#)). Further detail of the test is avoided here for the sake of conciseness.

⁸ The short-run results are not reported due to insignificant influence of FDI on IE.

⁹ The findings are available upon request.

Related research

People also read

Recommended articles

Cited by
32

Information for

[Authors](#)

[R&D professionals](#)

[Editors](#)

[Librarians](#)

[Societies](#)

Opportunities

[Reprints and e-prints](#)

[Advertising solutions](#)

[Accelerated publication](#)

[Corporate access solutions](#)

Open access

[Overview](#)

[Open journals](#)

[Open Select](#)

[Dove Medical Press](#)

[F1000Research](#)

Help and information

[Help and contact](#)

[Newsroom](#)

[All journals](#)

[Books](#)

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email



Sign me up



Copyright © 2025 Informa UK Limited [Privacy policy](#) [Cookies](#) [Terms & conditions](#)

[Accessibility](#)



Taylor & Francis Group
an informa business

Registered in England & Wales No. 01072954
5 Howick Place | London | SW1P 1WG