









References

Read this article

66 Citations

Share

Metrics

ABSTRACT

Full Article

Reprints & Permissions

Figures & data

This article contributes to the embryonic literature on the relations between Bitcoin and conventional investments by studying return and volatility spillovers between this largest cryptocurrency and four asset classes (equities, stocks, commodities, currencies and bonds) in bear and bull market conditions. We conducted empirical analyses based on a smooth transition VAR GARCH-in-mean model covering daily data from 19 July 2010 to 31 October 2017. We found significant evidence that Bitcoin returns are related quite closely to those of most of the other assets studies, particularly commodities, and therefore, the Bitcoin market is not isolated completely. The significance and sign of the spillovers exhibited some differences in the two market conditions and in the direction of the spillovers, with greater evidence that Bitcoin receives more volatility than it transmits. Our findings have implications for investors and fund managers who are considering Bitcoin as part of their investment strategies and for policymakers

concerned about the vulnerability that Bitcoin represents to the stability of the global financial system.

KEYWORDS:

Bitcoin asset classes return and volatility spillovers asymmetry and smooth transition bivariate GARCH-M

JEL CLASSIFICATION:

C11 G15

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

Notes

- ¹ In a recent press release, Morgan Stanley indicated that the mining of Bitcoin and other cryptocurrencies could require approximately 140 terra watt-hours of electricity in 2018.
- ² For example, Luther and Salter (2017) indicated that the interest in Bitcoin increased considerably following the bailout deal between Cyprus and international lenders.
- ³ Before discussing the different hypotheses of interest pertaining to the STVAR-BTGARCH-M model, we tested for linearity versus non-linearity in the data generating process using a bivariate framework consistent with Camacho (2004); see Tables A3 and A4 in the Appendix. The test enabled us to determine which of the two standard transition functions logistic and exponential was appropriate for the underlying model.

Information for

Authors

R&D professionals

Editors

Librarians

Societies

Opportunities

Reprints and e-prints

Advertising solutions

Accelerated publication

Corporate access solutions

Open access

Overview

Open journals

Open Select

Dove Medical Press

F1000Research

Help and information

Help and contact

Newsroom

All journals

Books

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email



Sign me up











Accessibility



Copyright © 2025 Informa UK Limited Privacy policy Cookies Terms & conditions



Registered in England & Wales No. 01072954 5 Howick Place | London | SW1P 1WG