

[The Engineering Economist](#) >

A Journal Devoted to the Problems of Capital Investment

Volume 46, 2001 - Issue 1

3,509 | 48

Views | CrossRef citations to date | Altmetric | 0

Original Articles

FREE CASH FLOW (FCF), ECONOMIC VALUE ADDED (EVATM), AND NET PRESENT VALUE (NPV):. A RECONCILIATION OF VARIATIONS OF DISCOUNTED-CASH-FLOW (DCF) VALUATION

RONALD E. SHRIEVES & JOHN M. WACHOWICZ JR.

Pages 33-52 | Published online: 30 May 2007

[Download citation](#) <https://doi.org/10.1080/00137910108967561>

Sample our
Economics, Finance,
Business & Industry Journals
>> [Sign in here](#) to start your access
to the latest two volumes for 14 days

[References](#)[Supplemental](#)[Citations](#)[Metrics](#)[Reprints & Permissions](#)[Get access](#)

ABSTRACT

The paper assists the user of DCF methods by clearly setting forth the relationship of free-cash-flow (FCF) and economic value added (EVATM) concepts to each other and to the more traditional applications of DCF thinking. We follow others in demonstrating the equivalence between EVA and NPV, but our approach is more general in that it links the problems of security valuation, enterprise valuation, and investment project selection. Additionally, our approach relates more directly to use of standard financial accounting information. Beginning with cash budget identity, we show that the

discounting of appropriately defined cash flows under the free-cash-flow valuation approach (FCF) is mathematically equivalent to the discounting of appropriately

defined economic profits under the EVA™ approach. The concept of net operating profit after-tax (NOPAT), found by adding after-tax interest payments to net profit after taxes, is central to both approaches, but there the computational similarities end. The FCF approach focuses on the periodic total cash flows obtained by deducting total net investment and adding net debt issuance to net operating cash flow, whereas the EVA™ approach requires defining the periodic total investment in the firm. In a project valuation context, both FCF and EVA™ are conceptually equivalent to NPV. Each approach necessitates a myriad of adjustments to the accounting information available for most corporations.



Related research

People also read

Recommended articles

Cited by
48

[ESTIMATING THE COST OF EQUITY FOR CORPORATIONS THAT REPURCHASE: THEORY AND APPLICATION >](#)

DOUGLAS J. LAMDIN
The Engineering Economist
Published online: 30 May 2007

[THE IRR, NPV AND PAYBACK PERIOD AND THEIR RELATIVE PERFORMANCE IN COMMON CAPITAL BUDGETING DECISION PROCEDURES FOR DEALING WITH RISK >](#)

JACK R. LOHMANN et al.
The Engineering Economist
Published online: 29 Oct 2007

[From dividend yield to discounted cash flow: a history of UK and US equity valuation techniques >](#)

Janette Rutterford Professor
Accounting, Business & Financial History
Published online: 1 Feb 2007

[View more](#)

Information for

[Authors](#)

[R&D professionals](#)

[Editors](#)

[Librarians](#)

[Societies](#)

Opportunities

[Reprints and e-prints](#)

[Advertising solutions](#)

[Accelerated publication](#)

[Corporate access solutions](#)

Open access

[Overview](#)

[Open journals](#)

[Open Select](#)

[Dove Medical Press](#)

[F1000Research](#)

Help and information

[Help and contact](#)

[Newsroom](#)

[All journals](#)

[Books](#)

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email



Sign me up



[Copyright © 2023 Informa UK Limited](#) [Privacy policy](#) [Cookies](#) [Terms & conditions](#)

[Accessibility](#)



Taylor & Francis Group
an **informa** business

Registered in England & Wales No. 3099067
5 Howick Place | London | SW1P 1WG