

Journal of Economic Issues >

Volume 49, 2015 - [Issue 1](#)

675 | 32 | 0  
Views | CrossRef citations to date | Altmetric

Original Articles

# The Business Enterprise in the Age of Money Manager Capitalism

Tae-Hee Jo & John F. Henry

Pages 23-46 | Published online: 21 Apr 2015

🗨️ Cite this article   🔗 <https://doi.org/10.1080/00213624.2015.1013877>



Sample our  
Economics, Finance,  
Business & Industry Journals  
>> **Sign in here** to start your access  
to the latest two volumes for 14 days

📄 Full Article   📊 Figures & data   📖 References   🗨️ Citations   📊 Metrics

📄 Reprints & Permissions

Read this article

Share

## Abstract:

Thorstein Veblen's going concern theory of the business enterprise has been widely received by heterodox economists. Since Veblen's era, the capitalist social provisioning process has evolved toward money manager capitalism in a dialectical fashion. At the heart of the transformation are changes in the behavior of the business enterprise. In this paper, we make a threefold argument. First, while the going concern theory of the business enterprise is still important in the account of the economy as a continuing process of social provisioning, since a viable economy requires continuing business over historical time, more and more of the economy is being directed toward financial concerns. Second, as a consequence, the social provisioning process becomes more unstable and people's welfare becomes more vulnerable. Third, the concept of a going concern is, therefore, to be modified in order to put the business enterprise in the context of money manager capitalism.

Keywords::

going concern

Hyman P. Minsky

mergers and acquisitions

money manager capitalism

social provisioning process

Thorstein B. Veblen

JEL Classification Codes::

B52

D21

G34

---

## Notes

<sup>1</sup> The model for the “new order” of business organization was the railroad corporation, in particular, that of the Pennsylvania Railroad. Founded in 1846, the PRR was, in its early history, the largest railroad in the US and the largest publicly traded corporation in the world. Over its lifespan, the PRR merged with, or had a financial interest in, eight hundred other rail companies. It might be noted that the “Pennsy” no longer exists (see [Alexander 1967](#) for details; for the organization of U.S. railroads in general, see [Chandler 1965](#)).

<sup>2</sup> It is estimated that intangible assets in the United States now constitute 43 percent of the S&P 500 book value, while in France they are 77 percent ([Serfati 2008](#), 47–48).

<sup>3</sup> We are greatly indebted to Mitchell Green for his interesting comments on the social provisioning process from the social network perspective.

<sup>4</sup> It should also be noted that individual optimization or methodological individualism in general makes no sense from the network or the social provisioning process perspective.

<sup>5</sup> In a recent article, [F. Gregory Hayden, Alyx Dodds Garner, and Jerry Hoffman \(2013\)](#), a network analysis of the Koch Industries and TD Ameritrade Holding Corporation, demonstrates the extensive connections of these two nodes, showing not only relationships with various economic units, but also political bodies. Essentially, through such an analysis, the economic, social, and political influence of individuals – in this case the Koch brothers – can be underscored.

<sup>6</sup> Indeed, it is quite probable that organizations, such as the Trilateral Commission and Business Roundtable, are created to manipulate and control such a network at both the national and international level (see, for example, [Carroll and Sapinski 2010](#), for a network analysis of these organizations and the major individuals who figure prominently in the development and operation of these structures).

<sup>7</sup> In closing his book, [Chandler \(1990\)](#) remarks that “[t]he rapidity with which a number of capitalintensive industries in the United States – those that have driven industrial growth – have lost market share at home and abroad since the merger and acquisition wave of the 1960s suggest that, in those American industries at least, long-term investment may have been sacrificed for short-term gain” (1990, 627) in the interest of “portfolio managers – the new ‘owners’ of American industry” (1990, 625). In a similar vein, in her magnum opus [Edith Penrose \(1995\)](#), xi) admits that her own theory of the firm needs modification by incorporating “much careful analysis” of “the role of financial institutions as shareholders.”

---

## Additional information

### Notes on contributors

Tae-Hee Jo

Tae-Hee Jo is an associate professor in the Economics and Finance Department at SUNY Buffalo State.

## John F. Henry

John F. Henry is a professor emeritus in the Department of Economics at California State University, Sacramento, and a visiting professor in the Department of Economics at the University of Missouri-Kansas City. The authors are grateful to Frederic S. Lee, James I. Sturgeon, John P. Watkins, Mitchell Green, Sergio Canavati, Nicola Matthews, Hans Despain, Christopher Brown, and two anonymous referees for their helpful comments, suggestions, and criticisms. Responsibility for remaining errors rests with the authors.

---

## Related research

People also read

Recommended articles

Cited by  
32

[The Going Enterprise Paradox: Stability and Instability Under Money Manager Capitalism >](#)

Erik Dean

Journal of Economic Issues

Published online: 27 Nov 2018

## Information for

[Authors](#)

[R&D professionals](#)

[Editors](#)

[Librarians](#)

[Societies](#)

## Opportunities

[Reprints and e-prints](#)

[Advertising solutions](#)

[Accelerated publication](#)

[Corporate access solutions](#)

## Open access

[Overview](#)

[Open journals](#)

[Open Select](#)

[Dove Medical Press](#)

[F1000Research](#)

## Help and information

[Help and contact](#)

[Newsroom](#)

[All journals](#)

[Books](#)

## Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email



Sign me up



Copyright © 2026 Informa UK Limited [Privacy policy](#)

[Cookies](#) [Terms & conditions](#) [Accessibility](#)

Registered in England & Wales No. 01072954  
5 Howick Place | London | SW1P 1WG



**Taylor & Francis**  
by informa