







Q

Home ▶ All Journals ▶ Medicine ▶ The Journal of Sex Research ▶ List of Issues ▶ Volume 48, Issue 5 ▶ Positioning the Booty-Call Relationship ....

The Journal of Sex Research >

Volume 48, 2011 - <u>Issue 5</u>

1,657 50 Torons Torons

# Positioning the Booty-Call Relationship on the Spectrum of Relationships: Sexual but More Emotional Than One-Night Stands

Peter K. Jonason ➡, Norman P. Li & Jessica Richardson

Pages 486-495 | Published online: 28 Jul 2010

Figures & data



**℮** Reprints & Permissions

Read this article

Share

### **Abstract**

Full Article

Most research on human sexuality has focused on long-term pairbonds and one-night stands. However, growing evidence suggests there are relationships that do not fit cleanly into either of those categories. One of these relationships is a "booty-call relationship." The purpose of this study was to describe the sexual and emotional nature of booty-call relationships by (a) examining the types of emotional and sexual acts involved in booty-call relationships and (b) comparing the frequency of those acts in booty-call relationships to one-night stands and serious long-term relationships. In addition, the manner in which sociosexuality is associated with the commission of these acts was also examined. Demonstrative of booty-call relationships' sexual nature was individuals' tendency to leave after sex and infrequent handholding. In contrast, the

romantic nature of booty-call relationships was demonstrated through the frequency of acts like kissing. The results suggest the booty-call relationship is a distinct type of relationship situated between one-night stands and serious romantic relationships.

## Acknowledgments

We thank Laura Madson and Pamela Izzo for reviewing an earlier version of this manuscript.

## Notes

<sup>1</sup>A booty-call itself "is a communication initiated towards a non-long-term relationship partner with the urgent intent either stated or implied, of having sexual activity and/or intercourse" (Jonason, Li, & Cason, <u>2009</u>, p. 3).

<sup>2</sup>For an alternative perspective on booty-calls—one that does not involve evolutionary psychology—see Caruthers (2006) for an examination of how sociocultural factors may impact the development of such relationships.

<sup>3</sup>Unfortunately, we did not keep track of this and, therefore, cannot provide another estimate of the frequency of these relationships.

<sup>4</sup>In most research, women report fewer sexual and romantic relationships than men do (e.g., Jonason & Fisher, <u>2009</u>). This discrepancy, we suspect, is the result of the small sample size and not veridical differences.

Note. Comparisons among superscripts are significant at p < .05. The scale ranges from 1 (not at all), 2 (a little), 3 (moderately), 4 (much), to 5 (very much).

\*p < .05. \*\*p < .01.

Note. Means and standard deviations were omitted to save space, and can be obtained by contacting Peter K. Jonason. Negative values mean women scored higher than men.

\*p < .05. \*\*p < .01.

Note. Comparisons among superscripts are significant at p < .05.

<sup>5</sup>This difference is on the small side and, thus, we urge caution in its overinterpretation.

# Related research 1



People also read

Recommended articles

Cited by 50

Information for

**Authors** 

**R&D** professionals

**Editors** 

Librarians

**Societies** 

Opportunities

Reprints and e-prints

Advertising solutions

Accelerated publication

Corporate access solutions

Open access

Overview

Open journals

**Open Select** 

**Dove Medical Press** 

F1000Research

Help and information

Help and contact

Newsroom

All journals

**Books** 

#### Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email



Sign me up











Accessibility



Copyright © 2025 Informa UK Limited Privacy policy Cookies Terms & conditions



Registered in England & Wales No. 01072954 5 Howick Place | London | SW1P 1WG