

| | | |
|-------|----------------------------|-----------|
| 1,383 | 22 | 3 |
| Views | CrossRef citations to date | Altmetric |

Singapore's National Day Rally speech: A site of ideological negotiation

“Cite this article” <https://doi.org/10.1080/00472330701408635>

Sample our
Area Studies
Journals

>> **Sign in here** to start your access
to the latest two volumes for 14 days

 Reprints & Permissions  Read this article

This article analyses the inaugural National Day Rally speeches of three Singapore prime ministers. It locates these speeches in the continuous ideological work that the People's Action Party has done to forge new consensus and globalisation between the state and society, and the tendency towards authoritarianism by the government relative to the opposition. To forge a national identity, the rally speeches

We Care About Your Privacy

We and our 842 partners store and/or access information on a device, such as unique IDs in cookies to process personal data. You may accept or manage your choices by clicking below, including your right to object where legitimate interest is used, or at any time in the privacy policy page. These choices will be signaled to our partners and will not affect browsing data. [Privacy Policy](#)

We and our partners process data to provide:

Use precise geolocation data. Actively scan device characteristics for identification. Store and/or access information on a device. Personalised advertising and content, advertising and content measurement, audience

I Accept

Essential Only

Show Purpose

List of Partners (vendors)

Show Purposeutile for the

ization



Notes

¹ Chong cited my paper (Tan, [2001](#)) as an example of the “patriarchal state” approach. While this is not the place to engage in extended debate, I contend that he misunderstood the central thesis of the paper. Rather than arguing, as Chong claimed, that the state has become feminised, that is to say, “softer” in its approach to governance, my paper actually suggested that the “patriarchal state” has shifted from the more brutal and coercive emasculation of civil society in the 1960s to 1980s, towards a partnership with civic society actors, where the state continues to assume the “masculine” or “husbandly” voice of reason and control, while the latter are restricted to “feminine” preoccupations like welfare (care), the arts (expressiveness) and dialogue (communication). True to “patriarchal” form, any hysterical outbursts in civil society or attempts by its actors to meddle in politics will be met by condescension, ridicule, reprimand or even punishment.

² This and the next two paragraphs are based on parts of a public lecture that I delivered on 16 August 2004 for the Political Science Association (Singapore) at the National University of Singapore.

Related research 

Information for

Authors

R&D professionals

Editors

Librarians

Societies

Opportunities

Reprints and e-prints

Advertising solutions

Accelerated publication

Corporate access solutions

Open access

Overview

Open journals

Open Select

Dove Medical Press

F1000Research

Help and information

Help and contact

Newsroom

All journals

Books

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email



Sign me up



Copyright © 2024 Informa UK Limited [Privacy policy](#) [Cookies](#) [Terms & conditions](#)



Taylor & Francis Group
an informa business

Accessibility



Registered
5 Howick Place

