





Abstract

This paper attempts to explicate and locate the concept of 'cultural capital' in terms of Pierre Bourdieu's more general theory of the forms of capital and their transubstantiations. It examines the manner in which the relationship between the economic field, and its relations of inequality and power, and the cultural field involves a process of systematic misrecognition on the basis of which the positions and relations of the cultural field come to be recognized as 'arbitrary'. In these terms, pedagogic action is defined as 'symbolic violence'. It is suggested that the relationship between 'objective probability structures' and cultural fields can be usefully approached through the 'dual aspect' theory of the philosopher, Benedict Spinoza. Finally, a tension is noted between the manner in which educational differences between classes are explained and the manner in which differences within classes are explained.

Notes

Homerton College, Hills Road, Cambridge CB2 2PH, UK. Email: rm233@cam.ac.uk

Bourdieu and Passeron (<u>1977</u>), Reproduction in Education, Society and Culture, will be taken as the major point of reference in this paper because of its influence in the sociology of education. For the general theory of exchange, the unusually accessible Bourdieu (<u>1997</u>) is the major source.

See, for instance, Bourdieu and Passeron (<u>1977</u>, Book I) and the systematic manner in which the terms 'arbitrary' and 'objective' and their cognates are used in consistently different ways associated with contrasting logics of description and explanation.

An excellent short introduction to Spinoza is to be found in Scruton (<u>1999</u>; see in particular pp. 15–20). A major historical study concerning his place and influence in early Enlightenment thinking is provided by Israel (<u>2001</u>, see chapter 13). Spinoza's principle work is Ethics (Spinoza, <u>1996</u>).

See, here, Bourdieu and Passeron (<u>1977</u>, p. 119): 'The opposition between these two types of relation to language stems from the opposition between the two modes of acquiring verbal mastery, the exclusively scholastic acquisition which condemns the acquirer to a "scholastic" relation to scholastic language and the mode of acquisition through insensible familiarisation ...' It is the latter of these 'modes of acquisition' that is the work of habitus and cultural capital and Bourdieu consistently downgrades the former relative to it. The key question is, what provides the grounds for the mode of 'scholastic' acquisition?

Related Research Data

Radical Enlightenment: Philosophy and the Making of Modernity, 1650–1750. By Jonathan I. Israel (New York, Oxford University Press, 2001) 810 pp. \$45.00 cloth \$24.95 paper Source: The Journal of Interdisciplinary History Radical Enlightenment Source: Unknown Repository Outline of a Theory of Practice. Source: Contemporary Sociology A Journal of Reviews Outline of a Theory of Practice Source: Unknown Repository

Linking provided by Schole Splorer

Related research 1

People also read Recommended articles	Cited by 59
---------------------------------------	----------------

Information for	Open access
Authors	Overview
R&D professionals	Open journals
Editors	Open Select
Librarians	Dove Medical Press
Societies	F1000Research
Opportunities	Help and information
Reprints and e-prints	Help and contact
Advertising solutions	Newsroom
Accelerated publication	All journals
Corporate access solutions	Books

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email





Copyright © 2025	Informa UK Limited	Privacy policy	Cookies	Terms & conditions	Francis Group
Accessibility					

Registered in England & Wales No. 01072954 5 Howick Place | London | SW1P 1WG