

Housing Studies >

Volume 31, 2016 - [Issue 5](#)

938 | 22 | 0
Views | CrossRef citations to date | Altmetric

Original Articles

Assessing exclusionary displacement through rent gap analysis in the high-rise redevelopment of Santiago, Chile

Ernesto López-Morales 

Pages 540-559 | Received 18 Mar 2014, Accepted 11 Sep 2015, Published online: 20 Nov 2015

 Cite this article  <https://doi.org/10.1080/02673037.2015.1100281>



Sample our
Urban Studies
Journals

>> [Sign in here](#) to start your access
to the latest two volumes for 14 days



 Full Article

 Figures & data

 References

 Citations

 Metrics

 Reprints & Permissions

Read this article

Share

Abstract

Rent gap theory is used here as a way to analyse exclusionary displacement in six high-rise urban renewal areas in Santiago, Chile. Drawing on a survey of 746 original households, this article finds 40 per cent of low-income owner-residents do not have the chance to purchase new replacement accommodation using the portion of rent gap they capture after selling their land to high-rise developers. Whilst the sale price of new apartments rises, a particular type of blockbusting limits the choices of the low-income residents to selling at a good price or staying put. The ratio between the different ground rent levels captured either by developers and original owner-residents confirms the extensive power deployed by the large-scale real estate firms at the moment of gentrifying central areas and the extent to which they generate residential

displacement. The ground rent capture is a political economic process, not a function of the market.

Keywords:

Rent gap

exclusionary displacement

blockbusting

gentrification

urban renewal

Acknowledgements

Special thanks to colleague assistants Camilo Arriagada for his work at the survey, Daniel Meza for the CGR-1 and 2 analysis, and Ivo Gasic for the analysis on relocation rates. An earlier version was presented in the RC21 Conference 2013 “Resourceful cities” at the Humboldt-University Berlin. Further thanks to Tom Slater, Paul Watt and especially Alex Schafran who commented on earlier versions. Also thanks to the anonymous reviewers for their useful and generous criticism.

Disclosure statement

I do not have any financial interest or benefit arising from the direct applications of this research.

Funding

This work was supported by the Chilean Fund for Scientific Research and Innovation – Fondecyt [grant number 11100337]; Contested Cities Research Network Scheme [grant number FP7-PEOPLE-PIRSES-GA-2012-318944]; CONICYT/FONDAP COES - Centre for Social Conflict and Cohesion Studies [grant number 15130009].

Notes

1 See for instance, Chile (López-Morales, [2010](#), [2011](#), [2013](#)) or South Korea and China (Shin, [2009a](#), [2009b](#)). Rent gap has been recently used by anti-gentrification activists in

London, UK, as an argument for nurturing their challenge of the dominant systems of urban segregation (London Tenants Federation, Lees, L., Just Space, and Southwark Notes Archive Group, [2014](#)).

2 This is not the case in Manhattan, epitome of a market dominated by high rises, where the “volume” of redevelopment is brought only to the point where marginal profits equal marginal construction costs (see Glaeser et al., [2005](#)). Thanks to reviewer 2 for raising this issue.

3 This is excluding the more affluent eastern districts of Santiago.

4 Official data obtained from the specialized Ministry and Housing and Planning website <http://www.observatoriohabitacional.cl>

5 Thanks to reviewer 3 for this clarification. In Chile it is a criminal offence to inform incorrect data to the Property Registry Data Base (PRDB).

6 Thanks to reviewer 2 for suggesting to add this point to the analysis.

7 Probably the biggest problem of rent gap analysis is that it focuses specifically on ground rent therefore it is hard to calculate the distinction between ground rent and wholesale rent (including the value of the building). Thanks to reviewer 3 for this clarification.

8 The UF is an account unit used in Chile which is daily adjusted due to inflation. One UF corresponds to US\$ 43, and 3000 UF is equivalent to US\$ 129 000 (March 2014 exchange rate).

9 These markups might seem astonishingly high but not that much for the normal profits achieved by real estate developers in Chile.

10 Conservador de Bienes Raíces de Santiago.

Related Research Data

[Urbanismo pro-empresarial en Chile: políticas y planificación de la producción residencial en altura en el pericentro del Gran Santiago](#)

Source: Revista INVI

Real Estate market, state-entrepreneurialism and urban policy in the 'gentrification by ground rent dispossession' of Santiago de Chile

Source: Journal of Latin American Geography

Gentrificación en Chile: aportes conceptuales y evidencias para una discusión necesaria

Source: Revista de Geografía, Norte Grande

Gentrification in a Global Context

Source: Unknown Repository

The Rent Gap Re-examined

Source: Urban Studies

The geography of gentrification

Related research

People also read

Recommended articles

Cited by
22

[Toward a Theory of Gentrification A Back to the City Movement by Capital, not People >](#)

Neil Smith

Journal of the American Planning Association

Published online: 26 Nov 2007

[Gentrification in Santiago, Chile: a property-led process of dispossession and exclusion >](#)

Ernesto López-Morales

Urban Geography

Published online: 16 Mar 2016

[Gentrification and the Rent Gap >](#)

Neil Smith

Annals of the Association of American Geographers

Published online: 15 Mar 2010

Information for

[Authors](#)

[R&D professionals](#)

[Editors](#)

[Librarians](#)

[Societies](#)

Opportunities

[Reprints and e-prints](#)

[Advertising solutions](#)

[Accelerated publication](#)

[Corporate access solutions](#)

Open access

[Overview](#)

[Open journals](#)

[Open Select](#)

[Dove Medical Press](#)

[F1000Research](#)

Help and information

[Help and contact](#)

[Newsroom](#)

[All journals](#)

[Books](#)

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email



Sign me up



Copyright © 2026 Informa UK Limited [Privacy policy](#)

[Cookies](#) [Terms & conditions](#) [Accessibility](#)

Registered in England & Wales No. 01072954
5 Howick Place | London | SW1P 1WG



Taylor & Francis
by informa