

Brain Injury >

Volume 34, 2020 - [Issue 3](#)

574 | 7 | 8
Views | CrossRef citations to date | Altmetric

Articles

The ability of CNS vital signs to detect coached sandbagging performance during concussion baseline testing: a randomized control trial

M. N. Anderson , L. B. Lempke, D. H. Bell, R. C. Lynall & J. D. Schmidt

Pages 369-374 | Received 15 Apr 2019, Accepted 28 Jan 2020, Published online: 06 Feb 2020

 Cite this article  <https://doi.org/10.1080/02699052.2020.1724332>



Sample our
Medicine, Dentistry, Nursing
& Allied Health Journals
>> [Sign in here](#) to start your access
to the latest two volumes for 14 days

 Full Article

 Figures & data

 References

 Citations

 Metrics

 Reprints & Permissions

Read this article

 Share

ABSTRACT

Objective: Despite widespread use of baseline neurocognitive testing in concussion management, suboptimal performance due to sandbagging still readily occurs without detection. The purpose of this study is to determine CNS Vital Signs validity indicator accuracy in detecting coached sandbagging compared to controls.

Method: We compared rates of invalidity and domain composite scores for neurocognitive test performance between two groups of twenty-five college-aged students (age = 20.8 ± 1.1 years, range 18-25, 48% female) completing CNS Vital Signs instructed to either 1) give their best effort (control) or, 2) give suboptimal

performance (sandbag). The sandbagging group was given standardized instructions on how to sandbag without detection. All participants rated their effort after completing on a Visual Analog Scale (0–100 mm).

Results: Built in invalidity indicators successfully identified 68.0% of sandbaggers, while only 12% in the control group presented with invalid scores. Participants in the sandbagging group on average reported significantly lower effort (sandbag: 51.0 ± 21.0 , control: 86.0 ± 12.0 , $p < .001$)

Conclusions: Built-in CNS Vital Signs validity indicators have an overall high accuracy in identifying those attempting to purposefully sandbag and are comparable to other computerized neurocognitive tests. Given that 32% of intentional sandbaggers went undetected, clinicians should consider additional safeguards to detect these individuals at baseline.

KEYWORDS:

Psychometric

mild-traumatic brain injury

neurocognitive testing

Declaration of interest

The authors report no declarations of interest.

Related research

People also read

Recommended articles

Cited by
7

Information for

[Authors](#)

[R&D professionals](#)

[Editors](#)

[Librarians](#)

[Societies](#)

Opportunities

[Reprints and e-prints](#)

[Advertising solutions](#)

[Accelerated publication](#)

[Corporate access solutions](#)

Open access

[Overview](#)

[Open journals](#)

[Open Select](#)

[Dove Medical Press](#)

[F1000Research](#)

Help and information

[Help and contact](#)

[Newsroom](#)

[All journals](#)

[Books](#)

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email



Sign me up



Copyright © 2026 Informa UK Limited [Privacy policy](#)

[Cookies](#) [Terms & conditions](#) [Accessibility](#)

Registered in England & Wales No. 01072954
5 Howick Place | London | SW1P 1WG

 Taylor and Francis
Group