



Taylor & Francis Online

8 🐂 🗉

Q

Home ► All Journals ► Engineering & Technology ► Aerosol Science and Technology ► List of Issues ► Volume 39, Issue 12 ► Design, Modeling, Optimization, and Expe

Aerosol Science and Technology > Volume 39, 2005 - <u>Issue 12</u>

Free access

1,9011630ViewsCrossRef citations to dateAltmetric

Listen

Miscellany Design, Modeling, Optimization, and Experimental Tests of a Particle Beam Width Probe for the Aerodyne Aerosol Mass Spectrometer

J. Alex I Dougla: Pages 114

66 Cite th





introduc analysis is of inte particle particles pressure

We Care About Your Privacy

We and our 907 partners store and access personal data, like browsing data or unique identifiers, on your device. Selecting "I Accept" enables tracking technologies to support the purposes shown under "we and our partners process data to provide," whereas selecting "Reject All" or withdrawing your consent will disable them. If trackers are disabled, some content and ads you see may not be as relevant to you. You can resurface this menu to change your choices or withdraw consent at any time by clicking the ["privacy preferences"] link on the bottom of the webpage [or the floating icon on the bottom-left of the webpage, if applicable]. Your choices will have effect within our Website. For more details, refer to our Privacy Policy. <u>Here</u>

We and our partners process data to provide:

allowing the sequent /namic lens easured spherical stant lens or these

asch.

I Accept

Reject All

Show Purpose

. .

Aerodyne Aerosol Mass Spectrometer (AMS), although this approach is also applicable to other instruments that use aerodynamic lens inlets. The probe implemented here consists of a thin vertical wire that can be precisely positioned to partially block the particle beam at fixed horizontal locations in order to map out the width of the particle beam. A computer model was developed to optimize the BWP and interpret its experimental data. Model assumptions were found to be reasonably accurate for all laboratory-generated particle types to which the model was compared. Comparisons of particle beam width data from a number of publications are also shown here. Particle losses due to beam broadening are found to be minor for the AMS for both laboratory and ambient particles. The model was then used to optimize the choice of the BWP dimensions, and to guide its use during continuous operation. A wire diameter approximately 1.55 times larger than the beam width to be measured provides near optimal sensitivity toward both collection efficiency and surrogate non-sphericity information. Wire diameters of 0.62 mm and 0.44 mm (for the AMS "long" and "short" chambers, respectively) provide reasonable sensitivity over the expected range of particle beam widths, for both spherical and non-spherical particles. Three other alternative BWP geometries were also modeled and discussed.



As particles exit the aerodynamic lens into a vacuum chamber, the beam is tightly focused (~ 100 µ m diameter for Liu-type lenses, (Heberlein et al. 2001)), but small radial velocity components due to imperfect aerodynamic focusing and Brownian motion act to slightly broaden the beam as it travels under vacuum. "Lift" forces on irregular particles result in additional radial velocity components and divergence of the particle trajectories (Liu et al. 1995a). For this reason, beams comprised of spherical particles have the tightest focusing for a given particle size, and broader beams are observed when sampling irregular particles (Jayne et al. 2000). Kane and Johnston 2000; Liu et al. 1995b; Schreiner et al. 1998; Tobias et al. 2000). This beam broadening has two practical implications: (1) the beam solid angle may become so large that a measurable fraction of particles may miss the detection system in some instruments, resulting in a sampling bias correlated with particle shape (and also with composition if shape and composition are related); and (2) the degree of beam broadening with respect to spherical particles of the same size can be used as a real-time surrogate measurement of particle non-sphericity (under constant lens pressure conditions).

Currently, many particle mass spectrometers utilize aerodynamic lenses in order to introduce particles into a vacuum system for real-time analysis (<u>Cziczo et al. 2003;</u> Jayne et al. 2000; <u>Mahadevan et al. 2002</u>; <u>Oktem et al. 2004</u>; <u>Schreiner et al. 2002</u>; <u>Su et al. 2004</u>; <u>Svane et al. 2004</u>; <u>Sykes et al. 2002</u>; <u>Tobias et al. 2000</u>; <u>Zelenyuk et al.</u>

| <u>1999</u>). T | ~ | |
|------------------|---|--------------------|
| commer | ~ | le mass |
| spectror | | ations of |
| chemica | | ed size |
| distribut | | entering the |
| AMS are | | <u>2002,</u> |
| <u>2004c</u>), | | odynamic |
| diame | | es particle |
| size 😳 | | <u>ayne et al.</u> |
| <u>2000; Jir</u> | | n-refractory |
| particles | | flash- |
| vaporize | | of every |
| million o | | (EI) at 70 eV. |
| In the st | | pole mass |
| analyzer | | ion time-of- |
| | | 2 |

Related researcl

Article contents

mass analyzer has been replaced by a time-of-flight mass analyzer (<u>Drewnick et al.</u> 2004). Sufficiently low pressures $(10^{-7} \text{ to } 10^{-8} \text{ Torr in the ionization region})$ are achieved via four layers of differential pumping (<u>Jayne et al. 2000</u>). Two different chamber lengths ("long" and "short") are currently in use. Table 1 shows the physical dimensions of the two AMS chamber designs, and Figure 1 shows a depiction of the chamber geometries and distances. Jayne et al. (2000) and Jimenez et al. (2003b) describe the instrument in more detail.

FIG. 1 Schematic diagram of relative location of vaporizer, and BWP for the two current AMS configurations. Beam widths, σ , are shown in the plane which they reference. See Table 3.





There is evidence from laboratory studies, however, that certain particle types (such as flame soot) can produce beams broad enough so that slightly less than 100% of the particles impact the vaporizer for the long-chamber AMS design (<u>Slowik et al. 2004</u>). A lack of detection for such non-spherical particles would result in a bias in their reported mass and number concentrations.

While the width of the beams produced by most particle types appears to be narrow enough for all particles to impact the AMS vaporizer, it is important to be able to verify this in real-time in the AMS and in other instruments that use aerodynamic lens inlets. Previous measurements of particle beam width were carried out by using a moveable knife-edge (Liu et al. 1995b; Schreiner et al. 1999; Schreiner et al. 1998) or wire (Jayne et al. 2000) to partially block the beam, while measuring the reduction in particle signal; by changing the lens aim, and measuring the resultant change in signal (Jayne et al. Aerodyne Research, unpublished results); qualitatively by measuring loss in total signal with respect to spherical particles (Tobias et al. 2000); and by measuring the hit rate by an ablation laser as it is translated across the particle beam (Kane and Johnston 2000; Su et al. 2004). Of those methods, the intermittent blockage of the particle beam is best suited to the rapid real-time measurement of particle beam width. Thus, in order to enable real-time quantification of surrogate particle non-sphericity and of the shaperelated collection efficiency (E_s) of the AMS, a particle-beam width probe (BWP) has



physically hitting the vaporizer, relative to spheres of the same vacuum aerodynamic diameter (d $_{va}$). Accounting for the effect of size is necessary for a precise definition, since aerodynamic lenses are known to focus different particle sizes differently (Zhang et al. 2002, 2004c). The current understanding of particle detection in the AMS indicates that a fraction of low-volatility solid particles such as $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ can also go undetected due to bounce off the vaporizer surface for the current vaporizer design. This gives rise to another collection efficiency term due to particle bounce, for a given particle composition and phase, E $_{\rm b}$ (d $_{\rm va}$). The overall mass-based total collection efficiency CE for the AMS was defined previously as the fraction of the particle mass that is detected compared to what would be detected if the particles were spherical and no particles were lost due to bouncing off the vaporizer (Alfarra et al. 2004). It is very important to note that some particle mass may go undetected because of the limited transmission of the AMS inlet and aerodynamic lens for spherical particles at the upper and lower limits of its transmission window. We define here the transmission efficiency of the inlet and lens for spheres as E_{L} (d _{va}). The upper limit of transmission depends on the specific aerodynamic lens being used, but is typically around 1.5 μ m, and the particle mass measurement of the AMS is reported as approximately PM_1 . E _s (d v_a) is defined relative to E _L (d v_a), so for spheres E _s (d v_a) = 1, even for particles for which E $_{L}$ (d $_{va}$) < 1. Note that in the absence of other physical effects that lead to particle loss $CE(d_{y_0}) = E_{1}(d_{y_0}) * E_{2}(d_{y_0}) * E_{2}(d_{y_0})$ for a given particle size and of the type. We X due to both mass-ba shape a is, a different gy and composi however, are more Previo d to derive empl k et al. (2003), r studies , NY, which perform is now b article bounce s due to focusing able to et, so that a compare

(Zhang et al. 2004a). Alfarra et al. (2004) present the equations used to calculate mass concentrations from the AMS while taking CE into account. CE needs to be specified for each AMS measurement, in the AMS data analysis software, and is currently assumed to depend on the species but not on particle size, although the user can implement the later dependence if this information is available.

2.2. Definition of Non-Sphericity Parameter: Lift Shape Factor

Since spherical particles produce the smallest beam widths after an aerodynamic lens and non-spherical particles result in broader beams, we can define a surrogate nonsphericity parameter, ψ , that we will call the lift shape factor, as:

where $\sigma^{d_{va}}_{sph}$ is the beam width (given as standard deviation of the 2D Gaussian distribution) for a sphere of a given vacuum aerodynamic diameter, $\sigma^{d} v_{P}$ and is the beam width for the particle of interest. It is necessary to compare particles of the same d va since focusing is also known to depend on size (Zhang et al. 2004c). Very little is known at present about the relationship between ψ and the physical particle shape (as determined by microscopy techniques), or between ψ and other parameters capturing the effect of non-sphericity such as the dynamic shape factor χ , or the Jayne shape factor S (DeCarlo et al. 2004). The dynamic shape factor captures the effect of nonrole in the sphericit X interpre Only irre las motion ("lift" fo ero. Given the relat nertia), the dth probe ease wit nic shape describe facto rapid approx irrogate. The dyn the particle Reynold end on the orientati operating same eff pressure

In this section we define a model of the particle density versus position in the beam. In a following section we combine this model with the BWP design to model the attenuation caused by the probe. The particle beam is close to a point source at the exit of the lens (~100 μ m diameter, (<u>Heberlein et al. 2001</u>)). Under the high vacuum conditions inside the AMS there are no significant forces, other than a small effect of gravity (<u>DeCarlo et al. 2004</u>) acting on the particles. Thus, we assume that as the particles travel in the vacuum chamber the lateral spread of the particle beam in the direction perpendicular to its travel ("beam width") increases linearly with the distance traveled. Alternatively, the size of a particle beam can be described by the solid angle it fills, arbitrarily defined as the solid angle encompassing a certain fraction of the beam density, such as 90% of the particle concentration (<u>Kane and Johnston 2000</u>), or the beam standard deviation. We will use the latter definition here. The cone defined by the center point of the nozzle at the exit of the lens and the beam dimensions at the vaporizer, having base radius σ , base area A, and height L (the particle flight length) in the limit of small angles will define a solid angle (<u>Serway 1996</u>):

which can be rearranged to express the dependence of the beam width on the flight distance as:

| Note tha | · · · · · · · · · · · · · | d angle. It |
|------------------|---------------------------|--------------|
| assumes | × | gorous |
| definitio | |) on to |
| which th | | and the |
| error is r | | |
| We will u | | ath at which |
| a given | | er location. |
| Jayne | | ms, the |
| shap | | elative to |
| the cent | | n |
| distribut | | |
| We revis | | |
| For our r | | m can be |
| Article contents | Related research | |

With this assumption, the particle density (i.e., the probability of finding a particle per unit cross sectional area of the beam) is always largest at the center of the beam and decreases monotonically with distance from it, but it does not depend on the azimuthal angle. The equation that describes a 2-D (circular) Gaussian distribution is:

where x and y are the positions along the plane of the distribution, x₀ and y₀ are the coordinates of the center of the distribution in that plane, and σ is the standard deviation. Table 2 shows the fraction of the probability encompassed by 1-D and 2-D Gaussian distributions for different multiples of σ around the center point. Here we will assume that the particle distributions are centered on the axis defined by the lens and the center of the vaporizer (i.e., x₀ = y₀ = 0), and thus the only parameter needed to characterize a circular 2-D Gaussian distribution is σ , which we refer to as the particle beam width, and which will depend on the distance from the exit of the lens. We always use the symbol σ with a subscript that identifies the AMS chamber length, and a second subscript for the distance between the lens exit and the position of the beam width measurements.

FIG. 2 Physical depiction of a 2-Dimensional Gaussian (2DG) distribution plot. (a) Particle intensity (particles/cm²) and probability density function (PDF) at any one distance from the lens exit is assumed to follow this 2-D Gaussian, and drop off radially 1-D PDF outward X obtained x along the y-axis, fo ach curve integrated are norn e for a 2-D probabil Gaussia d) ibutions Cumulat impact the show/ vapol liameter. Note that izer for graph gives larger be directly



TABLE 2 Percentage of the area or volume under 1-D and 2-D Gaussian distributions, respectively, for all independent variable values closer to the mean than different multiples of the standard deviation, σ . 2.35 σ (2 · 1.17 σ) corresponds to the fullwidth-half-maximum value (FWHM) for both 1-D and 2-D Gaussian distributions



Figure 2c shows a different 1D PDF (b(r)) which is only a function of the radial coordinate, and that we will refer to as the radial density. b(r) is obtained by integrating the volume under the 2D Gaussian curve for all azimuthal angles, θ .

An additional integral of b(r) over the radial coordinate directly yields probabilities, and thus the total area under each curve in the graph is the same, as in the previous case. The area between two radii is the fraction of particles that hit the vaporizer between those two radii for all azimuthal angles θ . Note that the peak in particle radial density is located one σ_v away from the center. Figure 2c also shows that for σ_v values less than 0.5 mm, the radial density drops to very small values before reaching the edge of the AMS vaporizer. This indicates that for particle beams which can be characterized by σ_v < 0.5 mm (well-focused particles), E _s will be ~ 100%. Figure 2d is an integration of Figure 2c (B(R)), representing the total percentage of particles impacting the vaporizer to the inside of circles with a given radius R.

Again, for $\sigma_v < 0.5$ mm, all particles will impact the vaporizer, but as σ_v increases, the integrated particle density in the area of the vaporizer can be less than 100%, indicating that the shape-related collection efficiency is below 100%.

| While Ω is cons | erved for a given particle b | eam under all in | strument configu | urations and |
|------------------------|------------------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------------|
| particle | | | × | particle |
| flight dis | | | | e vaporizer, |
| or at the | | | | ically |
| meaning | | | | - collection |
| by a vap | | | | ripts that |
| unequiv | | | | en Ω and σ . |
| Table 3 s | | | | lengths. |
| Figur | | | | ts the |
| relev | | | | all |
| discussio | | | | the 255- |
| series "l | | | | ameters to |
| characte | | | | ne beam |
| width at | | | | " chamber, |
| and v re | | | | n the plane |
| of the B | | | | nitions for |
| | Article contents | | Related research | |

TABLE 3 Notation for beam width, σ , must be referenced to a particular particle flight length (AMS chamber configuration) and plane of reference in order to be meaningful. The table defines the four combinations used in this paper

Download CSV

Display Table

Note that the 2-D Gaussian assumption would fail if the lens is not well-aligned, and particles are lost via impaction with one of the skimmers inside the instrument. Under these conditions (arising from user error) the shape of the beam could be very different from that predicted by the model. Also note that the total flight length in the AMS is different from the flight length used in the AMS calibration of particle velocity vs. particle size (Jayne et al. 2000). The latter is the distance from the particle chopper to the vaporizer (395 mm), since that is the length that corresponds to the measured particle time-of-flight, rather than from the lens exit to the vaporizer.

2.4. Beam Width Probe Design



are intercepted by the probe will not reach the vaporizer. Thus, the BWP shades a certain fraction of the vaporizer and reduces the AMS signal by the fraction of particles in this shaded area. The BWP has also become very useful for determining alignment of the lens. Instead of moving the lens slowly back and forth, and watching for a resultant drop in signal when the beam was pushed beyond the sides of vaporizer, the BWP can be quickly moved back and forth to determine the horizontal placement of the particle beam focus. With the current BWP design, however, this works only in the horizontal direction. For vertical alignment the BWP needs to be rotated 90 degrees, which requires breaking the vacuum, or the "classical" alignment procedure by lens aiming is still necessary.

FIG. 3 Schematic diagram of the beam-width probe from two perpendicular views. The particle beam is projected from the lens exit towards the vaporizer, and it broadens as it moves down the vacuum chamber. Particles will ideally follow a narrow trajectory (spherical particles) and impact the vaporizer. Some particles may follow trajectories that will miss the vaporizer surface (irregular shapes). The beam width probe is positioned selectively in positions that either partially block particles moving towards the vaporizer, or in the 'out' position to obtain un-attenuated measurements. This diagram is not drawn to scale.



transmission curve as a function of wire position, wire diameter and particle beam width. The model assumes that the particle beam density as a function of radial position is well represented by a circular two-dimensional Gaussian distribution, as described above. The model is computed in two steps. First the vaporizer is discretized along the x-axis, and the integral of the particle beam density function for a small interval of x and all values of y inside the vaporizer (the "attenuation density" described above, a(x), see Equation <u>7</u>) are calculated for a series of particle beam widths. The attenuation created by a given wire as it is set to block certain x positions is just the integral of the attenuation density of the blocked x positions, since the attenuation density is a PDF. Note that the total detectable signal could be smaller than the total beam intensity if E_s < 100% (i.e., beam width > diameter of the vaporizer).

2.6. Field Operation of the BWP

For normal operation of the probe during a field or laboratory study, several wire positions are chosen, e.g., eight total steps, including the center, three on each side of the center (but within the vaporizer cross section, "partially blocking" positions), and one position completely outside of the vaporizer ("out" position). The probe is moved to each successive position in one direction, with the "out" position interleaved in time between each "partially blocking" position. Data taken during the periods when the

| probe is construction that have been as the base of th | | a total mass |
|--|--------------------|--------------|
| trend to | × | |
| instrume | | ıgh |
| attenuat | | it to the |
| model ci | | g" steps, |
| with the | | le time (out |
| → partia | | nges in the |
| particle | | o the |
| aver | | n, the total |
| cycle the | | the time |
| scale of | | attenuation |
| profile co | | |
| Under no | | e is often |
| configur | | s. For |
| example | | with the |
| Article contents | 📄 Related research | |

the vaporizer center. This longitudinally covers the center 3.5 mm of the vaporizer, and contains most of the information. Using seven steps, interleaved with seven nonblocking positions amounts to a 14-minute cycle with 1-minute averaging at each position. For applications when time resolution is critical, such as when sampling from aircraft, it is recommended that only two positions (center and non-blocking) will be selected and applied with a small duty cycle ($\sim 10\%$ of the time in the blocking position) in conjunction with the new "Jump Mass Spectrum" mode (i.e., selective ion monitoring) of the AMS, so as to minimize the loss of normal mode data and maximize the temporal resolution of the ψ and E _s determinations.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Particle Collection Efficiency

The vaporizer diameter and its distance from the lens exit define a cone of a certain solid angle. For the long-chamber AMS length of 450 mm from lens exit to vaporizer, the solid angle of collection is 5.63×10^{-5} sr (Table 1). Provided that the center of the particle beam is centered on the vaporizer, particles that follow a trajectory within this cone will impact the vaporizer. At this position the relative number of particles





E s remains 100% for narrow beams (small σ_v), and until the beam becomes wide enough so that a significant fraction of its tail misses the vaporizer surface. At $\sigma_v \sim$ 0.625 mm ($\Omega \sim 5.63 \times 10^{-5}$ sr for the AMS long chamber) E _s drops below 99%. For very irregular soot particles that have a $\sigma_{IV} = 0.77$ mm (Slowik et al. 2004) E_s will be approximately 95% for the AMS long chamber. Reducing the chamber length by 10 cm would reduce the beam width at the vaporizer, σ_{sv} to 0.59 mm, and thus increase E s for these less well focused soot particles to slightly more than 99%. Partially as a consequence of these results, Aerodyne Research has produced a chamber with a particle flight path approximately 10 cm shorter (referred to here as the "short" chamber, 215-series design). This increase in E $_{\rm s}$ can be accomplished with less than proporti ticle flight X length d the AMS in a given hopper frequence arrive before t / reduces the chop e time-offlight (P ainty stays of the AMS constan bend on is pa chame ger resolution. uncertai 3.2. Be Through pected particles beam w

section. Liquid oleic acid particles with mean d _{va} of 320 nm show $\sigma_{lv} = 0.13$ mm. <u>Slowik et al. (2004)</u> show that very irregular soot particles generated by a propane flame burner have $\sigma_{lv} = 0.77$ mm, defining the upper bound of expected beam widths. Figures 5a and 5b show the modeled results of the particle beam attenuation versus probe position for probe diameters of 0.39 mm and 1.09 mm, which will be shown later to be the optimal probes for the above-defined canonical narrow and wide beams, respectively. Again, all results presented refer to the "long" AMS chamber. Each curve shows the percentage beam transmission (normalized to an unattenuated beam) as the probe is moved across to block a fraction of the vaporizer. For an extremely narrow beam ($\sigma_{1v} = 0.01$ mm), particle beam attenuation is predicted only when the wire intersects the center of the vaporizer, where the beam is focused, using probes of the above mentioned diameters. Note that this beam width is unrealistically small given current aerodynamic lens technology, as the lowest beam widths for spherical particles for this flight length are of the order of $\sigma_{lv} = 0.13 - 0.25$ mm. The limit of a very narrow beam is shown in Figure 5, however, because it provides an asymptotic result and helps in understanding the results of the model. The width of the attenuated section is simply the width of the wire as projected onto the vaporizer plane. Note that the "shadow" of the wire broadens slightly due to the constancy of the solid angle, as defined earlier (Equation <u>3</u>).







For an extremely broad (nearly uniform) beam of particles the attenuation is simply the geometric area of the vaporizer that the wire probe is blocking (because the particle probability density is nearly uniform across the width of the vaporizer). For particle beam widths of intermediate values and realistic proportion, the percentage of particles blocked is the product of the geometric area the probe shadow casts on the vaporizer with the relative concentration of particles (the probability density given by the

| Gaussiar - " | F | ameter, |
|------------------|------------------|--------------|
| each bea | × | eometries |
| will be d | | |
| 3.3. Pa | | |
| Once da | | a function |
| of wire p | | gorously |
| determi | | nation, the |
| data | | With this |
| method, | | d input to |
| the mod | | idth values. |
| The moc | | vhen |
| compare | | |
| In many | | on in the |
| detailed | | alvsis the |
| Article contents | Related research | |

"1DG" parameterization achieves two benefits: (1) experimental data can be easily and quickly analyzed inter-compared based on σ_{1DG} , the standard deviation of the 1DG fit curve, for first-order data analysis, and (2) imperfections in the lens alignment can be removed from BWP analysis, because the Gaussian fit will automatically "re-center" the data to a better estimate of the true horizontal beam center, which in general will not be exactly the same as the vaporizer center. This re-centering can be used to define a horizontal offset of the beam center for the modeling of σ_{1V} for misaligned particle beams. Figure 6 shows the relationship between σ_{1V} and σ_{1DG} for four different BWP diameters. For $\sigma_{1V} > d_w/2$, σ_{1DG} is a reasonable approximation to σ_{1V} . This rule of thumb allows a quick first-order analysis and inter-comparison of experimental data. Note that the validity of the 2DG assumption is not directly related to the results of the 1DG fit. The validity of the 1DG parameterization is further discussed in the probe optimization section below.

FIG. 6 Relationship between the beam width approximated by a 1-dimensional Gaussian data fit (σ_{1DG}) and the more rigorous value of beam width determined by the model output (σ_{1v}) for four BWP sizes. Note that when using Igor Pro (Wavemetrics, Inc.) the Gaussian fit "width" parameter returned is $\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$



St. Paul, MN), and then size-selecting particles with a differential mobility analyzer (DMA, TSI model 3081, St. Paul, MN). All solutions were prepared by dissolving the species in HPLC-grade water, with the exception that oleic acid was dissolved in HPLC-grade ethanol. The aerosol was dried by three silica-gel diffusion driers in series, and the humidity after the driers was monitored with a relative humidity probe (Vaisala Humitter 50Y, Helsinki, Finland). RH was kept under 25% throughout all experiments. Then the aerosol was introduced into the AMS inlet, where the particles were focused onto a narrow beam that was directed onto the AMS vaporizer. The beam width was measured as the BWP was walked across the vaporizer in very small steps (22 total positions).

Analysis was performed using the signal from species-dependent fragment ions $(NH_4NO_3: m/z \ 16, 17, 30, and 46; (NH_4)_2SO_4: m/z \ 16, 17, 48, and 64; Oleic Acid: m/z \ 43 and 57) from singly-charged particles exiting the DMA, with the exception that 550 nm oleic acid data were taken from doubly-charged particles and using only m/z \ 43. Two monodisperse sizes were used for each species, approximately 110 nm and 320 nm, in addition to 550 nm oleic acid. Particle transmission plots for these data are shown in Figure 7. The beam profiles of all species and particle sizes are reasonably well captured by the results of the BWP computer model described above, which indicates that the two-dimensional circular Gaussian approximation for the particle probability$

| density i | ~ | ens are |
|------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| slightly s | ^ | |
| FIG. 7 Pu | | or of the |
| mean. D | | roughput |
| lens sam | | .5 mm wire |
| probe. 2 | | :h high |
| spatial r | | $\sigma_{\text{lv}}\text{and}\Omega$ |
| valu | | ork, and are |
| listed | | outputs fit |
| to the da | | NO ₃ |
| particles | | Acid |
| particles | | |



TABLE 4 A summary of particle beam width measurements published by several research groups, and those from this work. Since each group presents results in a different format and for different particle flight distances, the reported values have all been scaled to the standard deviation of a Gaussian beam at the particle flight distance of the long-chamber AMS (450 mm), and to the solid angle that this area would encompass. Note that the lens types used for AMS instruments are similar, but not identical to the Liu et al. lens. Ψ has also been calculated when

| appro | |
|------------------|------------------|
| Display | × |
| | |
| The bea | 1 particles |
| (where E | ticle beam |
| width m | arch |
| groups. | l these data |
| were | e beam |
| width | designs and |
| operatin | 2 |
| summar | 8) use a |
| different | aller lens |
| orifices. | hreiner data |
| have be | ı Liu-style |
| enses h | |
| Article contents | Related research |

PSLs to be slightly non-spherical. This shows that spherical particles appear to have size-dependent focusing, as expected. Very small particles (40 nm) produce broad beams due to the limitations in the focusing of the aerodynamic lens and as a result of particle Brownian motion. Large particles (> 500 nm) do not focus very well due to their high inertia, with the consequence that particles only partially follow the gas streamlines in the lens that causes the particle focusing. Intermediate sizes of spherical particles (300 nm) show optimal focusing (Zhang et al. 2004c). Non-spherical particles are generally less well focused than spherical ones, and focusing is different for the various species (due to their different shapes).

FIG. 8 (a) Literature summary of beam width measurements from a number of research groups. Note that the Schreiner papers employ a high-pressure lens, and have been plotted on the right axis. These lenses may focus particles differently than the ones described in the other five papers, which all use the Liu-style lens (left axis). Data from instruments using the Liu lens are divided into mostly spherical particle types (left group) sorted by size, and non-spherical particles (center group) sorted by species. The Katrib 2005 data was taken from textual references of beam width data, as well as from Figure 2 of that paper, which was then analyzed via the methods described in this paper. Note that PSLs are considered slightly non-spherical based on the results of Katrib et al. (2005). (b) Ψ values have been calculated for corresponding beam width

data. Ea x particle of x



Display full size

Figure 8b relates this shape information as the lift-shape factor, ψ , introduced here

| (Equatio | | -spherical |
|------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| data poi | × | point of |
| similar s | | erical data |
| point, ar | | <u>5)</u> was used |
| for refer | | and so the |
| 320-nm | | |
| The prec | | rticle size- |
| depe | | l in the |
| partic | | osol was |
| blocked | | osition of |
| the bear | | ees, |
| indicatin | | nd Matthew |
| <u>2004</u>). T | | ains why the |
| particle | | У |
| monodis | | r studies |
| Article contents | Related research | |

Computer code for rigorously analyzing laboratory and field data using the 2dimensional model is available at: <u>http://cires.colorado.edu/jimenez-group/SI/</u>.

3.5. Field Results

<u>Allan et al. (2004)</u> suggest that some ambient non-spherical particles may also be collected with less than 100% efficiency by the AMS. Although this effect was previously attributed to very wide ambient particle beams, it has recently been shown that most of the reduced particle collection efficiency is due, rather, to particle bounce at the vaporizer (<u>Onasch 2004</u>). With the results of the model discussed here, it is now believed that reduced collection of ambient particles due to very wide particle beams is not a significant problem for the AMS. <u>Salcedo et al. (2005)</u>, <u>Weimer et al. (2005)</u> and <u>Delia (2004)</u> all conclude that E _s ~ 1 for ambient particles studied in the field in Mexico City, New York City, and Duke Forest, North Carolina respectively.

3.6. Optimization of the Wire Probe Width

The BWP can be used to measure the particle beam width and estimate the lift shape factor (ψ) and shape-related collection efficiency (E_s) in near real time. By running the model for a variety of BWP dimensions (diameters, d_w), we can determine optimal probe dimensions with maximum sensitivity to measure E_s or ψ (i.e., to have the lowest



and is dimensionless. Conceptually, maximizing S $_{\sigma}$ by choosing the optimum d $_{w}$ is equivalent to maximizing the separation between the curves, such as those in Figure 5, representing the differences in attenuation caused by small changes in σ_{Iv} . For discussion of the wire optimization towards narrow beams, a beam width of 0.25 mm was chosen to represent reasonably well focused, nearly spherical particles. While the value of $\sigma_{Iv} = 0.13$ mm was shown to be optimal for the particles exhibiting the best possible focus (Figure 7), the larger value is more realistic for well-focused ambient particles, and has been taken as the approximate average of the spherical data points from other studies (Figure 8a). Note that E _s remains unity in each case.

The first step in this approach is illustrated in Figure 9, which shows the difference between two close values of σ_{1v} for several d $_w$. Examples for narrow and wide particle beams are shown in Figures 9a and 9b, respectively. The absolute value of the "difference curves" in the plot describes how far the two absolute attenuation curves (as in Figure 5a) are separated from one another, and therefore how much sensitivity is achieved at each of the probe positions. The center position has the largest sensitivity for most cases, but as d $_w / \sigma_{1v}$ increases, the point of maximum sensitivity moves away from the center and towards the vaporizer edges. We will focus on the attenuation at the center position for the rest of this analysis, since this position will have the

| maximu | × |
|--------------|--------------|
| FIG. 9 Di | to Figure 5) |
| for two c | erence from |
| zero in t | urement for |
| each wir | = 0.22 mm |
| and 0.23 | ns. (b) |
| Differen | ensitivity |
| towa when | nter, except |
| | |

Related resear

Article content



The mathematical procedure for estimating the sensitivity is summarized in Figure 10a. Figure 10a shows da $_c/d\sigma_{Iv}$, $d\sigma_{Iv}/dE_s$, and da $_c/dE_s$ for d $_w = 0.5$ mm. da $_c/d\sigma_{Iv}$ was calculated directly from the model by computing the difference curves (as in Fig. 9) in small $\Delta \sigma_{Iv}$ intervals (for a given d $_w$), and then estimating the derivative numerically as $\Delta a_c/\Delta \sigma_{Iv}$. This particular wire (d $_w = 0.5$ mm) is most sensitive for the measurement of beam width around $\sigma_{Iv} \approx 0.23$ mm, but maintains some sensitivity for

| much lar | luba Tha annaitin dhu ba l | E [s] | the following |
|-----------|----------------------------|-------|-------------------------|
| mathem | | | × |
| FIG. 10 F | | | vidth probe. |
| (a) Sumi | | | product of |
| the othe | | | of BWP |
| sensitivi | | | nd beam |
| width. M | | | neter and |
| beam | | | to σ_{Iv} fits a |
| line | | | $_{\rm v}$ = 0.6 mm. |
| (c) Imag | | | m the model |
| produce | | | since E s |
| does not | | | vity is the |
| same as | | | |



The term $d\sigma_{\,lv}\,/dE_{\,s}\,can$ be easily computed as the numerical approximation to the

| derivativ | × | |
|--|------------------|--------------------------------|
| Figure 1 | An | optimal d |
| w can be | ific | ant |
| sensitivi | esp | ect to σ_{Iv} |
| and d w | cti | to d $_{\rm w}$ (S $_{\sigma}$ |
| (max,d)) V | rec | tion, and |
| plotting | σ (1 | max,σ)) |
| was realized and the second seco | aut | e to the |
| slope | im | al d _w can |
| be chose | s li | near |
| approxir | arg | fer σ_{Iv} the |
| line over | di | fferences |
| between | ı) i | s d _w ~ |
| 0.39 mm | - 0 | .77 mm) |
| the optir | ens | itivity |
| Article contents | Related research | |

Figure 10c shows the sensitivity to E _s (S _{Es}). Note that E _s > 0.99 until σ_{Iv} > 0.625 mm. For smaller σ_{Iv} the term d σ_{s} /dE _s in Equation <u>12</u> can be very large since E _s changes very little as σ_{Iv} changes, resulting in very large values of da/dE _s. However, these have no practical significance since E _s ~ 1, and thus they are not shown in the figure. For σ_{Iv} > 0.625 mm there is an optimum d _w for determining E _s (maximum S _{Es}). The curve of maximum S σ is the same as the curve of maximum S _{Es}, because the two sensitivities are related by a factor that does not depend on σ_{Iv} (Equation <u>12</u>).

Figure 11 provides an alternative representation for the information provided by the BWP, which can be especially useful to guide in choosing the additional probe positions (other than the center) to be used for field studies. Figure 11a uses d $_{\rm w}$ = 1.0 mm, which is close to optimal for wide beams, and Figure 11b uses d $_{\rm w}$ = 0.39 mm, which is optimal for narrow beams. Curves in the figure represent the change in signal attenuation (as $\sigma_{\rm Iv}$ changes) for a different physical location of the BWP, differing by full or $^{1}/_{2}$ diameters of the wire for each position (full-, half-step) of the probe. The bottom curve for each figure probes the center of the particle beam, where particle density, and resultant signal attenuation, is highest. Additional positions shown in the figure represent the attenuation at other probe locations. The areas of each curve with steeper slopes provide better sensitivity for beams of those $\sigma_{\rm Iv}$, because small changes in $\sigma_{\rm Iv}$ produce significant changes in transmission. Areas that are nearly flat





3.7. Results for AMS Short Chamber

As mentioned, these results are given for the long-chamber AMS, but can be extended to any other AMS chamber design, because for the same lens and particle type, the solid angle of the particle beam will be the same. Thus, the beam width at the vaporizer will be smaller in the short chamber by the ratio of the particle flight distances (Equation <u>3</u>), i.e., $\sigma_{sv} = 0.77 \cdot \sigma_{lv}$. The width of the projection of the wire probe on the vaporizer surface will be $450/353 \cdot d_w$ for the long chamber, and $348/251 \cdot d_w$ for the short chamber. For the wire probe to block the same linear angle of particles, the width m lens to of the w X BWP. Th was d wl.opt = 1.55d as d ws.opt = 1.43nonical 44 mm narrow t studies. represer 3.8. In add geometries shown ir etely blocks the part 'knife-edge' model u pints to the left of th ne vaporizer $(d_{w} > d)$ d on the

Commercial laser alignment components may be available to implement this latter design.

FIG. 12 Schematics of four alternative beam-width probe geometries (black) superimposed on the vaporizer (gray), including the wire probe geometry discussed so far, and the geometry of movement modeled for each.



The transmission slit is directly related to the wire probe. When the slit width and wire width are matched, the slit probe produces attenuation curves exactly the inverse of the wire probe model of the same width. Thus, there is not a major advantage of the slit

| compared to the wire b | | | OF CONCES | uction and |
|------------------------|--------|-------------|-----------|-----------------------|
| alignme | | | × | ussed above |
| it provid | | | | r d _w (see |
| Figures 9 | | | | knife-edge |
| probe ro | | | | article beam |
| in the ot | | | | hay not be |
| perfectly | | | | uming a |
| circular | | | | |
| Figur | | | | ius for the |
| variable | | | | n a similar |
| curve fo | | | | to beam |
| width (S | | | | erence in |
| signal tr | | | | $\sigma_{ v}$. |
| Figure 1 | | | | 02, as |
| example | | | | e), as well |
| Article cor | itents | 🖨 Related r | research | |

optimal wire for each $\sigma_{1\nu}$. Note that this would mean changing the wire for optimal results in different $\sigma_{1\nu}$ regions, and would therefore be impractical, but is shown for reference. The gain in sensitivity is shown on the right axis of Figure 13c. The circular aperture shows an increase in sensitivity by approximately 50-75% over the optimal wire at any given beam width, and considerably more for any one chosen wire. Thus, it would be desirable to use this probe instead of the wire-based probe. The circular aperture probe, however, may be difficult to implement in a high vacuum environment, and would be considerably more costly. Beam alignment would also become even more critical to the operation of the probe, and the complexities associated with different focusing beam center positions for different particle sizes (as described above) would be difficult to deconvolve from the data. On the other hand, the wire probe is by design "self-aligning." For these reasons, we currently advise the use of a wire-based probe with a wire diameter of 0.62 mm in the long AMS chamber (0.44 mm in the short chamber) in order to provide optimum sensitivity for measurement of σ_{1v} (and ψ and E $_{\rm s}$) for ambient particles.

FIG. 13 (a) Transmission curve summary for the mathematical model of the circular aperture beam width probe. The radius of the aperture opening is the operational variable that can be changed, conceptually similar to the position of the wire probe. As the opening increases in size, the percentage of particle transmission increases as a





3.9. Estimation of Relative E_{s} for Other Particle Beam Instrument Geometries

The larger beam widths for non-spherical particles as compared to spherical ones can

| result in a set of the latestice bies for each | ic lens | |
|--|------------------|--------|
| inlets, w | × leading | j to a |
| relative | erforme | ed |
| with the | er, we a | are in |
| a positic | er | |
| instrume | netry of | the |
| particle | slightly | |
| different | MS have | 5 |
| simil | etical | |
| estimat | or four | |
| commor | articles | are |
| detected | ower | |
| distribut | collectio | on |
| efficienc | angle. | |
| Vertical | e (Figur | е 8, |
| | | |
| Article contents | Belated research | |

ambient particles as seen in Mexico City (Salcedo et al. 2005). Figure 14b shows the relative instrument detection bias (with respect to spheres) as a function of solid angle of collection for the particle types shown in Figure 14a. As the solid angle of collection decreases (either because of smaller detector geometry, or longer flight path) the relative collection bias increases. For example the estimated detection bias to flame soot for the short-chamber AMS is approximately 1.007 (for $\Omega_{\text{collection}} = 9.31 \times 10^{-5}$), while the bias for the long-chamber AMS is 1.05 (for $\Omega_{\text{collection}} = 5.63 \times 10^{-5}$). The estimated detection bias to flame soot (and solid angle of collection) for laser ablation designs #1 and #2, are 2.22 (for $\Omega_{\text{collection}} = 1.10 \times 10^{-5}$) and 6.38 (for $\Omega_{\text{collection}} =$ 3.14×10^{-6}), respectively. Zelenyuk and Imre (2005) have recently reported observing this shape bias with their laser-ablation mass spectrometer.

FIG. 14 Estimated relative detection bias between spherical particles and other particles types. (a) Shape-related collection efficiency (measured particle concentration divided by actual concentration) as a function of solid angle of particle beam. Each of four instruments defines a curve. Four laboratory-generated particle types are shown as vertical lines, and dashed region shows range of particle beam sizes observed in MCMA-2003 field campaign in Mexico City (Salcedo et al. 2005). (b) Estimated relative detection bias (E _{s, spheres} /E _{s,irreg}) between spherical particles and other particle types as a function of solid angle of collection. Each curve shows the relationship for a single



This increase in estimated detection bias arises from the fact that laser spot sizes are small, leading to relatively smaller solid angle of detection. The percentage of particles collected from a wide beam, therefore, is also small, and detection is sensitive to the particle beam width. The AMS has an advantage in this regard, because of its relatively large solid angle of collection, due to its large vaporization surface (d $_v$ = 3.81 mm) as compared to typical laser spot sizes.

4. CONCLUSIONS

The use of aerodynamic lens inlets in aerosol mass spectrometers allows for efficient focusing of particles within a given size range, and has revolutionized the ability to analyze ambient particles in real time. Particles exit the lens as a tightly focused beam, but with finite divergence due to imperfect aerodynamic focusing, as well as Brownian motion and lift forces. The latter solely affect non-spherical particles, and so the focusing characteristics of a given particle type can be characterized by a surrogate non-sphericity parameter, ψ , the lift shape factor.



is an important conclusion from this work, because prior to the use of this model, it was estimated that collection losses arising from particle shape were significant.

Improvements in E $_{\rm s}$ for the AMS as a function of reductions in chamber length were estimated with the model. By reducing the distance from the lens exit to the particle vaporizer by 102 mm (450 mm to 348 mm) the E $_{\rm s}$ of fractal soot particles will increase from approximately 95% to more than 99%.

The model was then used to characterize the signal attenuation as a function of particle beam width for the beam width probe described here, and to optimize the beam width probe for the determination of $\sigma_v(\psi)$ and E_s. The model shows that the optimum wire diameter for very irregular fractal soot particles with the AMS long chamber ($\sigma_{lv} \sim 0.77$ mm) is d_w ~ 1.09 mm, while the optimum wire probe diameter for beam width measurement of well-focused beams for nearly spherical particles ($\sigma_{lv} \sim 0.25$ mm) is d_w ~ 0.39 mm. An intermediate width of 0.6 mm (0.44 mm in the short chamber) is recommended for general-purpose measurements.

Three other probe geometries were also modeled and compared to the existing wire probe design. A knife-edge design provides sub-optimal sensitivity, while a transmission slit provides an exact inverse of the wire attenuation, but it is more cumbersome to implement. The circular aperture has sensitivity 50–75% greater than for any wire



| σ | = | standard deviation of Gaussian distribution |
|---|---|--|
| σν | = | particle beam width (one standard deviation) at the vaporizer |
| σ _{Iv} | = | particle beam width at the vaporizer for the long AMS chamber |
| σ _{sv} | = | particle beam width at the vaporizer for the short AMS chamber |
| σ _{Iw} | = | particle beam width at the BWP for the long AMS chamber |
| σ _{sw} | = | particle beam width at the BWP for the short AMS chamber |
| σ ^d vap | = | particle beam width for a given particle, of a given size |
| $\sigma^{d_{va}}$ sph | | |
| | | × |
| | | |
| σ _{1DG} | | |
| σ _{1DG} L | | |
| σ _{1DG} L | | |
| σ _{1DG} L L ι | | |
| σ _{1DG} L L ι () r _v | | |

| d _w | = | wire probe diameter | | |
|------------------|---|-------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|
| Ω | = | solid angle | | |
| Ω_{beam} | = | solid angle a particle beam | n encompassed (at 1σ) | |
| Ω collection | = | solid angle of collection for | an instrument | |
| Ψ | = | lift shape factor | | |
| X | = | dynamic shape factor | | |
| S | = | Jayne shape factor | | |
| d _{va} | | | | |
| | | | × | |
| d _{max} | | | | |
| CE | | | | |
| Еь | | | | |
| |) | | | he |
| E s |) | | | he am width |
| E s E L | | | | he am width |

| | | lens |
|-----------------|---|---|
| a _c | = | particle beam attenuation at the center of the beam |
| Sσ | = | sensitivity of the attenuation signal to a change in beam width |
| S _{Es} | = | sensitivity to E _s |

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Jay Slowik of Boston College for use of propane flame-generated soot data, Dara Salcedo of the Centro de Investigaciones Químicas, Universidad Autónoma del Estado de Morelos, Cuernavaca, Morelos, México for use of ambient Mexico City data, as well as Ann Middlebrook, Dan Murphy and Dan Cizczo of NOAA, Alice Delia of the University of Colorado, James Allan of the University of Manchester, the AMS Users Community. and the limenez Research Group for helpful discussions. We also thank

| NASA Ea | × |)08) for |
|------------------|------------------|------------|
| funding. | | ellowships |
| (grants I | | |
| Re | | |
| Mass | | diation |
| Sour | | |
| A Nu | | namic |
| Lens | | |
| Sour | | |
| Article contents | Related research | |

Chemical Analysis of Individual Alkali-Containing Aerosol Particles: Design and Performance of a Surface Ionization Particle Beam Mass Spectrometer Source: Aerosol Science and Technology Time- and size-resolved chemical composition of submicron particles in Pittsburgh: Implications for aerosol sources and processes Source: Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres Semicontinuous PM_{2.5}Sulfate and Nitrate Measurements at an Urban and a Rural Location in New York: PMTACS-NY Summer 2001 and 2002 Campaigns Source: Journal of the Air & Waste Management Association Density changes of aerosol particles as a result of chemical reaction Source: Unknown Repository Submicron aerosol composition at Trinidad Head, California, during ITCT 2K2: Its relationship with gas phase volatile organic carbon and assessment of instrument performance Source: Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres Focusing of Aerosols into a Particle Beam at Pressures from 10 to 150 Torr Source: Aerosol Science and Technology A New Time-of-Flight Aerosol Mass Spectrometer (TOF-AMS)—Instrument **Description and First Field Deployment**

Source: Aerosol Science and Technology

| × | |
|---|-----|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | er |
| | |
| | |
| | 1 |
| | |
| | |
| | and |
| | × |

Source: Aerosol Science and Technology Thermal Vaporization-Vacuum Ultraviolet Laser Ionization Time-of-Flight Mass Spectrometry of Single Aerosol Particles Source: Analytical Chemistry Numerical Characterization of Particle Beam Collimation: Part II Integrated Aerodynamic-Lens-Nozzle System Source: Aerosol Science and Technology Aerodynamic Lens System for Producing Particle Beams at Stratospheric **Pressures** Source: Aerosol Science and Technology Thermal plasma deposition of nanophase hard coatings Source: Surface and Coatings Technology A generalised method for the extraction of chemically resolved mass spectra from Aerodyne aerosol mass spectrometer data Source: Journal of Aerosol Science A Differentially Pumped Particle Inlet for Sampling of Atmospheric Aerosols into a Time-of-Flight Mass Spectrometer: Optical Characterization of the Particle Beam Source: Aerosol Science and Technology Generating Particle Beams of Controlled Dimensions and Divergence: L. Theory of Pa X Sour New Sour Meas Using Sour otion Sour Indo Sour A ma Sour Gene

Expansions Source: Aerosol Science and Technology Development and Characterization of an Aerosol Time-of-Flight Mass Spectrometer with Increased Detection Efficiency Source: Analytical Chemistry Particle Morphology and Density Characterization by Combined Mobility and Aerodynamic Diameter Measurements. Part 2: Application to Combustion-Generated Soot Aerosols as a Function of Fuel Equivalence Ratio Source: Aerosol Science and Technology Size and Composition Biases on the Detection of Individual Ultrafine Particles by Aerosol Mass Spectrometry Source: Environmental Science & Technology Characterization of ambient aerosols in Mexico City during the MCMA-2003 campaign with Aerosol Mass Spectrometry - Part I: quantification, shaperelated collection efficiency, and comparison with collocated instruments Source: Unknown Repository Real-Time Analysis of Individual Atmospheric Aerosol Particles: Design and Performance of a Portable ATOFMS Source: Analytical Chemistry On-Line Analysis of Organic Components in Fine and Ultrafine Particles by Phote X Sour Sing Intro Sour Amb er Sour Sour Insig s in Pitts Sour Linki

REFERENCES

 Alfarra , M. R. , Coe , H. , Allan , J. D. , Bower , K. N. , Boudries , H. , Canagaratna , M. , Jimenez , J. , Jayne , J. , Garforth , A. , Li , S. M. and Worsnop , D. 2004 . Characterization of Urban and Rural Organic Particulate In the Lower Fraser Valley Using Two Aerodyne Aerosol Mass Spectrometers . Atmos. Environ. , 38 : 5745 – 5758 . [CSA]

Web of Science ® Google Scholar

 Allan , J. D. , Bower , K. N. , Coe , H. , Boudries , H. , Jayne , J. T. , Canagaratna , M. R. , Millet , D. B. , Goldstein , A. H. , Quinn , P. K. , Weber , R. J. and Worsnop , D. R. 2004a . Submicron Aerosol Composition at Trinidad Head, California, during ITCT 2K2: Its Relationship With Gas Phase Volatile Organic Carbon and Assessment of Instrument Performance . J. Geophys. Res. Atmos. , 109 (D23524) doi: 10.1029/2003JD004208 [CSA]

Google Scholar

| 3 | Allan , J. D. , Delia , A. E. , Coe , H. , Bowe | r , K. N. , Alfarra , M. R. , Jimenez | z, J. L., |
|----|---|---------------------------------------|--------------|
| | Middlebrook , A. M. , Drewnick , F. , Onasc | h , T. B. , Canagaratna , M. R. , Ja | ayne , J. T. |
| | and W | × | hemically |
| | Resolv | | J. Aerosol |
| | Sci. , 3 | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| 4 | Cziczc | | nweis S M |
| Т. | | | |
| | , Pres | | Method for |
| | Sil | | (5):460- |
| | 470. | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| 5 | DeCar | | 2004 |
| | Derticl | | nd |
| | Partici | | na |
| | Aerod | | ol 38 (12 |
| | Article contents | Related research | |

- Delia , A. E. 2004 . Real-Time Measurement of Non-Refractory Particle Composition and Interactions at Forested Sites , PhD Thesis Boulder, CO : University of Colorado . Google Scholar
- 7. Drewnick , F. , Hings , S. S. , De Carlo , P. , Jayne , J. , Gonin , M. , Fuhrer , K. , Weimer , S. , Jimenez , J. , Demerjian , K. L. , Borrmann , S. and Worsnop , D. 2004 . Application of a Novel Time-of-Flight Aerosol Mass Spectrometer for Chemical Composition Characterization of Urban (New York) aerosol . Aerosol Sci. Technol. , 39 (7): 637 658 . [CROSSREF] [CSA]

Web of Science ® Google Scholar

 Drewnick , F. , Schwab , J. J. , Hogrefe , O. , Peters , S. , Husain , L. , Diamond , D. , Weber , R. and Demerjian , K. L. 2003 . Intercomparison and Evaluation of Four Semi-Continuous PM2.5 Sulfate Instruments . Atmos. Environ. , 37 (24) : 3335 – 3350 . [CROSSREF] [CSA]



. Semicontinuous PM2.5 Sulfate and Nitrate Measurements at an Urban and a Rural Location in New York: PMTACS-NY Summer 2001 and 2002 Campaigns . J. Air & Waste Manage. Assoc. , 54 : 1040 – 1060 . [CSA]

PubMed Google Scholar

.2. Jayne , J. T. , Leard , D. C. , Zhang , X. F. , Davidovits , P. , Smith , K. A. , Kolb , C. E. and Worsnop , D. R. 2000 . Development of an Aerosol Mass Spectrometer for Size and Composition Analysis of Submicron Particles . Aerosol Sci. Technol. , 33 (1–2): 49 – 70 . [CSA]

Web of Science ® Google Scholar

.3. Jimenez , J. , Seinfeld , J. H. , Flagan , R. C. , Canagaratna , M. , Jayne , J. , Worsnop , D. , Zhang , X. and Smith , K. A. 2001 . " Size Resolution of the Aerodyne Aerosol Mass Spectrometer " . In AAAR Annual Meeting , 2001 Portland : Oregon .

Google Scholar



.7. Katrib , Y. , Martin , S. , Rudich , Y. , Davidovits , P. , Jayne , J. and Worsnop , D. 2005 . Density Changes of Aerosol Particles as a Result of Chemical Reactions . Atmos. Chem. Phys. , 5 : 275 – 291 . [CSA]

Web of Science ® Google Scholar

.8. Liu , P. , Ziemann , P. J. , Kittelson , D. B. and McMurry , P. H. 1995a . Generating Particle Beams of Controlled Dimensions and Divergence .1. Theory of Particle Motion in Aerodynamic Lenses and Nozzle Expansions . Aerosol Sci. Technol. , 22 (3): 293 – 313. [CSA]

Web of Science ® Google Scholar

.9. Liu , P. , Ziemann , P. J. , Kittelson , D. B. and Mc Murry , P. H. 1995b . Generating Particle Beams of Controlled Dimensions and Divergence .2. Experimental Evaluation of Particle Motion in Aerodynamic Lenses and Nozzle Expansions . Aerosol Sci. Technol. , 22 (3) : 314 – 324 . [CSA]

Web of Science ® Google Scholar

0. Mahadevan , R. , Lee , D. , Sakurai , H. and Zachariah , M. R. 2002 . Measurement of Conde ticle Mass X] [CSA] Spect 21. Middle Googl 2. Oł Organic Comp SS Spect [CROS Article contents Related research

Google Scholar

24. Petrucci , G. A. , Farnsworth , P. B. , Cavalli , P. and Omenetto , N. 2000 . A Differentially Pumped Particle Inlet for Sampling of Atmospheric Aerosols into a Timeof-Flight Mass Spectrometer: Optical Characterization of the Particle Beam . Aerosol Sci. Technol. , 33 (1–2) : 105 – 121 . [CSA]

Web of Science ® Google Scholar

25. Salcedo , D. , Dzepina , K. , Huffman , J. A. , De Carlo , P. , Jimenez , J. L. , Onasch , T. B. , Jayne , J. T. , Mortimer , P. and Worsnop , D. 2005 . Characterization of Ambient Aerosols in Mexico City using an Aerosol Mass Spectrometer . Atmos. Chem. Phys. , 5 : 4143 – 4182 . [CSA]

Google Scholar

 Schreiner , J. , Schild , U. , Voigt , C. and Mauersberger , K. 1999 . Focusing of Aerosols into a Particle Beam at Pressures from 10 to 150 Torr . Aerosol Sci. Technol. , 31 (5) : 373 – 382 . [CROSSREF] [CSA]



Google Scholar

30. Slowik , J. G. , Stainken , K. , Davidovits , P. , Williams , L. R. , Jayne , J. , Kolb , C. E. , Worsnop , D. , Rudich , Y. , DeCarlo , P. and Jimenez , J. 2004 . Particle Morphology and Density Characterization by Combined Mobility and Aerodynamic Diameter Measurements. Part 2: Application to Combustion Generated Soot Particles as a Function of Fuel Equivalence Ratio . Aerosol Sci. Technol. , 28 (12): 1206 – 1222 . [CROSSREF] [CSA]

| Web of Science ® | Google Scholar | |
|---|---|--|
| 1. Su , Y. X. , Sipin , M. F. , Furut | ani , H. and Prather , K. A. 2004 . Development and | |
| Characterization of an Aerosol Time-of-Flight Mass Spectrometer with Increased Detection Efficiency . Anal. Chem. , 76 (3) : 712 – 719 . [PUBMED] [INFOTRIEVE] | | |
| [CROSSREF] [CSA] | ciance @ Ceagle Scholar | |
| Pubmed web of S | cience ® Google Scholar | |



35. Tobias , H. J. , Kooiman , P. M. , Docherty , K. S. and Ziemann , P. J. 2000 . Real-Time Chemical Analysis of Organic Aerosols Using a Thermal Desorption Particle Beam Mass Spectrometer . Aerosol Sci. Technol. , 33 (1–2) : 170 – 190 . [CSA]

Web of Science ® Google Scholar

36. Weimer, S., Drewnick, F., Hogrefe, O., Schwab, J. J., Rhoads, K. P., Orsini, D. A., Canagaratna, M., Worsnop, D. and Demerjian, K. L. 2005. Size-Selective Non-Refractory Ambient Aerosol Measurements During the PMTACS-NY 2004 Winter Intensive in New York City In Preparation

Google Scholar

 Zelenyuk , A. and Imre , D. 2005 . Single Particle Laser Ablation Time-of-Flight Mass Spectrometer: An Introduction of SPLAT . Aerosol Sci. Technol. , 39 (6) : 554 – 568 . [CSA]

Web of Science ® Google Scholar

88. Zelenyuk , A. , Cabalo , J. , Baer , T. and Miller , R. E. 1999 . Mass Spectrometry of Liquid Aniline Aerosol Particles by IR/UV Laser Irradiation . Anal. Chem., 71 (9): 1802 X 9. Zhang 04a . Time and Si ırgh-Implic ,110(D7) : D075 0. Zhang andis, S. N. and Ji and New Partic . Environ. Sci. Te 5A] Article contents Related research

 Zhang , X. F. , Smith , K. A. , Worsnop , D. R. , Jimenez , J. , Jayne , J. T. and Kolb , C. E.
2002 . A Numerical Characterization of Particle Beam Collimation by an Aerodynamic Lens-Nozzle System: Part I. An Individual Lens or Nozzle . Aerosol Sci. Technol. , 36 (5) : 617 – 631 . [CROSSREF] [CSA]

Web of Science ® Google Scholar

12. Zhang , X. F. , Smith , K. A. , Worsnop , D. R. , Jimenez , J. L. , Jayne , J. T. , Kolb , C. E. , Morris , J. and Davidovits , P. 2004c . Numerical Characterization of Particle Beam Collimation: Part II-Integrated Aerodynamic-Lens-Nozzle System . Aerosol Sci. Technol. , 38 (6) : 619 – 638 . [CROSSREF] [CSA]

Web of Science ® Google Scholar

3. Zhang , Y. 2004 . Indoor Air Quality , Boca Raton, FL : CRC Press .

Google Scholar

Download PDF







| Information for | Open access |
|----------------------------|----------------------|
| Authors | Overview |
| R&D professionals | Open journals |
| Editors | Open Select |
| Librarians | Dove Medical Press |
| Societies | F1000Research |
| Opportunities | Help and information |
| Reprints and e-prints | Help and contact |
| Advertising solutions | Newsroom |
| Accelerated publication | All journals |
| Corporate access solutions | Books |

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email

🔛 Sign me u

