

The Journal of Peasant Studies >
Volume 38, 2011 - Issue 2

6,056 179

9

Views CrossRef citations to date Altmetric

Forum on Global Land Grabbing

Challenges posed by the new wave of farmland investment

Klaus Deininger 

Pages 217-247 | Published online: 24 Mar 2011

 Cite this article  <https://doi.org/10.1080/03066150.2011.559007>Sample our
Politics & International
Relations Journals>> **Sign in here** to start your access
to the latest two volumes for 14 days Full Article Figures & data References Citations Metrics Reprints & Permissions

Read this article

Abstract

Despite recent headlines about the 'land rush', scant empirical evidence implies that the debate is often theoretical and dominated by preconceived notions. To provide evidence that could better inform the debate, this paper focuses on three areas. First, we find that new land demand, which skyrocketed after the 2007/08 commodity price spike, remained at high levels, with a strong focus on Africa, and often countries with weak land rights protection. Some countries transferred large areas to investors, frequent processes assessment increased demand policies transfers due to weak and with an activity where of country on voluntary environmental

About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click "Settings". For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our [Privacy Policy](#).

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings

viability as necessary—though by no means sufficient—conditions to reduce the likelihood of negative impacts.

Keywords: Land tenure; farm size; agribusiness; farmland investment

Previous articleView issue table of contentsNext article

Notes

¹Peru uses very transparent and competitive processes for divestiture of state lands for agricultural use along the Pacific Coast. In the Amazon, processes for land transfer are less open and have many loopholes.

²The example of semi-mechanized sorghum and sesame production in Sudan illustrates the risks of investing in large-scale farming in Africa and holds lessons for more recent investments in the region, e.g. in Ethiopia. The scheme, which dates from colonial times, expanded rapidly in the 1970s, when financing from the Gulf States aimed to transform Sudan into a regional breadbasket through favorable access to land and subsidized credit for machinery. The scheme attracted civil servants and businessmen, who mostly hired managers on farms of 1,000 ha or larger. Official statistics indicate that some 5.5 million ha were converted to arable land under the scheme, with up to 11 million ha occupied informally (Government of Sudan [2009](#)). Land rights of traditional small-scale farmers and pastoralists were neglected, and encroachment by mechanized farms is identified as a serious contributor to conflict (Johnson [2003](#), Pantuliano [2007](#)).

³Elements from these data have been used by a number of research institutions (Braun and Meinzen-Dick [2009](#)) and interested parties (Uellenberg [2009](#)) to make inferences on the size of the “breadbasket”.

⁴To keep...

million g...

disag...

mod...

2008. Su...

percent...

less than...



About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click “Settings”. For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our [Privacy Policy](#).

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings

any other of the five crops considered here (maize, soybean, sugarcane, oil palm, wheat). Close to infrastructure means a travel distance of less than six hours to the next market based on available transportation.

⁵Countries where the amount of suitable land is more than double what is currently cultivated include, in descending order, D.R. Congo, PNG, Madagascar, Uruguay, CAR, Angola, Bolivia, Mozambique, Zambia, Sudan, and Venezuela.

⁶Indonesia requires that at least 75 percent of an investment be undertaken before any incentives can be claimed, but it provides large implicit subsidies for oil palm development by charging little if anything for forested land intended for oil palm development.

⁷Efforts to formulate and implement principles for agricultural investment can be justified by noting that similar arguments apply to competition for investment between countries.

Additional information

Notes on contributors

Klaus Deininger

This work draws heavily on Deininger et al. (2011). The views expressed are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect those of the World Bank, its Board of Executive Directors, or the countries they represent.



About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click “Settings”. For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our [Privacy Policy](#).

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings

Related



How

Olivier
The Jour
Published online: 24 Mar 2011

Towards a better understanding of global land grabbing: an editorial introduction >

Saturnino M. Borras Jr. et al.

The Journal of Peasant Studies

Published online: 24 Mar 2011



Centering labor in the land grab debate >

Tania Murray Li

The Journal of Peasant Studies

Published online: 24 Mar 2011

View more

About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click "Settings". For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our [Privacy Policy](#).

Accept All 

Essential Only

Settings



Information for

Authors

R&D professionals

Editors

Librarians

Societies

Opportunities

Reprints and e-prints

Advertising solutions

Accelerated publication

Corporate access solutions

Open access

Overview

Open journals

Open Select

Dove Medical Press

F1000Research

Help and information

Help and contact

Newsroom

All journals

Books

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email



Sign me up



Copyright © 2024 Informa UK Limited Privacy policy Cookies Terms & conditions

Accessibility



Taylor & Francis Group
an informa business

Registered in England & Wales No. 3099067
5 Howick Place | London | SW1P 1WG

About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click “Settings”. For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our [Privacy Policy](#).

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings