



Scandinavian Actuarial Journal >

Volume 2010, 2010 - [Issue 4](#)

901 | 69 | 0
Views | CrossRef citations to date | Altmetric

ORIGINAL ARTICLES

Stochastic mortality under measure changes

Enrico Biffis , Michel Denuit & Pierre Devolder

Pages 284-311 | Accepted 13 Sep 2009, Published online: 28 Oct 2009

Cite this article <https://doi.org/10.1080/03461230903331634>



Full Article

Figures & data

References

Citations

Metrics

Reprints & Permissions

Read this article

Share

Abstract

We provide a self-contained analysis of a class of continuous-time stochastic mortality models that have gained popularity in the last few years. We describe some of their advantages and limitations, examining whether their features survive equivalent changes of measures. This is important when using the same model for both market-consistent valuation and risk management of life insurance liabilities. We provide a numerical example based on the calibration to the French annuity market of a risk-neutral version of the model proposed by Lee & Carter (1992).

Keywords:

Stochastic mortality

Lee-Carter model

Mortality risk premium

Fair valuation

Mortality-linked securities

Acknowledgements

The financial support of the Belgian Government under the contract 'Projet d'Actions de Recherche Concertées' ARC 04/09-320 is gratefully acknowledged by Michel Denuit and Pierre Devolder. This work was partly done when Enrico Biffis visited the Institute of Actuarial Science at the University of Louvain, supported by ARC 04/09-320. Michel Denuit also thanks the Banque Nationale de Belgique for financial support.

Notes

1. This may be the case even with deterministic death rates: large portfolios may reduce to classes of very few policies once contracts are disaggregated by relevant risk characteristics; in secondary markets, portfolios that are very large in value may contain very few homogeneous contracts (e.g. Life Settlements portfolios).
2. All filtrations are assumed to satisfy the usual conditions, i.e. right-continuity and -completeness.
3. In the following, we use the notation \cdot , for \cdot .
4. That is, for all t . We use the notation $t \wedge s := \min(t, s)$ throughout the paper.
5. We consider its right-continuous-with-left-limits modification.
6. We use the convention that \int stands for integration over $(0, t]$.
7. With regard to (A1), we mean that \cdot may not be continuous.
8. A situation when a non-zero mortality risk premium must rely on a change of intensity is when \cdot is independent of \cdot , and hence the hazard process is deterministic.
9. See <http://www.mortality.org>.

Related Research Data

[Valuation of credit default swaps and swaptions](#)

Source: [Finance and Stochastics](#)

Securitization of Mortality Risks in Life Annuities

Source: Journal of Risk & Insurance

Affine Processes for Dynamic Mortality and Actuarial Valuations

Source: SSRN Electronic Journal

A no arbitrage approach to Thiele's differential equation

Source: Insurance Mathematics and Economics

Recursive valuation of defaultable securities and the timing of resolution of uncertainty

Source: The Annals of Applied Probability

Pricing Death: Frameworks for the Valuation and Securitization of Mortality Risk

Source: Astin Bulletin

Experience Rating in Group Life Insurance

Source: Scandinavian Actuarial Journal

Related research

People also read

Recommended articles

Cited by
69

Information for

Authors

R&D professionals

Editors

Librarians

Societies

Opportunities

Reprints and e-prints

Advertising solutions

Accelerated publication

Corporate access solutions

Open access

Overview

Open journals

Open Select

Dove Medical Press

F1000Research

Help and information

Help and contact

Newsroom

All journals

Books

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources
by email

 Sign me up

  

  

Copyright © 2026 Informa UK Limited Privacy policy Cookies Terms & conditions

Accessibility



Registered in England & Wales No. 01072954
5 Howick Place | London | SW1P 1WG