Original Articles

Sample Size and the Accuracy of the Generalized Lambda Distribution

George S. Ford & Sarah J. Skinner ✉

❝ Cite this article   ⬈ https://doi.org/10.1080/03610910802592846

Sample our Computer Science Journals
>> Sign in here to start your access to the latest two volumes for 14 days

📄 **Full Article**   🖼 **Figures & data**   ▤ **References**   ❝ **Citations**   ▥ **Metrics**

🖨 **Reprints & Permissions**   [Read this article]

Abstract

The Generalized Lambda Distribution is a popular tool for generating random numbers following a wide range of non normal, asymmetric distributions. In this article, we assess the accuracy of the technique in replicating moments across a range of "sample sizes." We find that accuracy is highly dependent on sample size, particularly with respect to the third and fourth moments. Generally, acceptable accuracy is accomplished with no less than 2,500 observations, but this finding depends critically on the s

🔍 **Keyword**   mulation

🔍 **Math**   03   62G32

Notes

About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click "Settings". For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our **Privacy Policy**

[Accept All]
[Essential Only]
[Settings]

[1]Table values focus on the skewness and kurtosis (with mean 0 and standard deviation 1). The λ_1 and λ_2 parameters determine the location and spread of the data, and can be adjusted to different values without affecting the λ_3 and λ_4 parameters. The tables also consider positive skew, and negative skew is accomplished by flipping the λ_3 and λ_4 values.

[2]We are grateful to an anonymous referee for these insights.

[3]The tables in Karian and Dudewicz ([2000](#)) are based on ($m_1 = 0$, $m_2 = 1$), but changing m_1 to 1.0 requires nothing more than substituting λ_1 from the table with ($\lambda_1 + 1$). We use $m_1 = 1$ to avoid division by zero.

[4]The random seed is unique to each simulation but identical across each case. Results were computed using other random number generators with little effect on the findings.

Related research ⓘ

| People also read | Recommended articles | Cited by |

Information for

Authors

R&D professionals

Editors

Librarians

Societies

Opportunities

Reprints and e-prints

Advertising solutions

Accelerated publication

Corporate access solutions

Open access

Overview

Open journals

Open Select

Dove Medical Press

F1000Research

Help and information

Help and contact

Newsroom

All journals

Books

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email

✉ Sign me up

Taylor & Francis Group
an informa business

About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click "Settings". For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our Privacy Policy

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings