

160 | 21

Views | CrossRef citations to date | Altmetric

0

Original Articles

# Generalized Safety First and a New Twist on Portfolio Performance

M. Ryan Haley & Charles H. Whiteman

Pages 457-483 | Received 14 Nov 2005, Accepted 08 Nov 2006, Published online: 22 May 2008

Cite this article <https://doi.org/10.1080/07474930801960360>

Sample our  
Economics, Finance,  
Business & Industry Journals  
>> [Sign in here](#) to start your access  
to the latest two volumes for 14 days

Full Article

Figures & data

References

Citations

Metrics

Reprints & Permissions

Read this article

## We Care About Your Privacy

We and our 854 partners store and access personal data, like browsing data or unique identifiers, on your device. Selecting "I Accept" enables tracking technologies to support the purposes shown under "we and our partners process data to provide," whereas selecting "Reject All" or withdrawing your consent will disable them. If trackers are disabled, some content and ads you see may not be as relevant to you. You can resurface this menu to change your choices or withdraw consent at any time by clicking the ["privacy preferences"] link on the bottom of the webpage [or the floating icon on the bottom-left of the webpage, if applicable]. Your choices will have effect within our Website. For more details, refer to our Privacy Policy. [Here](#)

We and our partners process data to provide:

I Accept

Reject All

Show Purpose



same decision as minimizing the underperformance probability itself, and thus that the decay-rate maximizing strategy may require the investor to take positions that do not minimize the probability of shortfall in each successive period. It also makes clear that the relationship between the marginal distribution of the one-period portfolio return and the mean-shortfall distribution is the same as that between the source density and the target density in importance sampling. Thus Geweke's (1989) measure of Relative Numerical Efficiency can be used as a measure of the quality of the divergence measure. Our interpretation of the decay rate maximizing criterion in terms of a one-shot problem enables us to use the tools of importance sampling to develop a "performance index" (standard error) for the Portfolio Performance Index (PPI). It turns out that in a simple stock portfolio example, portfolios within one (divergence) standard error of one another can have very different weights on individual securities.

Keywords: Entropy Importance sampling Kullback–Leibler divergence Portfolio choice  
Portfolio performance Safety first Shortfall

JEL Classification: G11 C4

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The article is based on work supported by the National Science Foundation. I am grateful to Joel L. Horowitz and two referees for helpful comments.

## Notes

<sup>1</sup>  $R(w)$  is the return on the portfolio. The analysis shows that the optimal portfolio is the one that maximizes the return.

<sup>2</sup> In related work, the author has shown that the indicator function of the event that the portfolio return is less than a certain value replaces the indicator function of the event that the portfolio return is greater than a certain value. This points out that the indicator function of the event that the portfolio return is less than a certain value is the same as the indicator function of the event that the portfolio return is greater than a certain value.



<sup>3</sup>Stutzer ([2003](#)) is careful to point out how matters change with temporally dependent data. The  $I(d,w)$  function we work with describes the decay rate only in the case that the returns are IID, though a similar rate function characterizes the non-IID case. Since much of our analysis hinges on the precise form of  $I(d,w)$ , it should be regarded as applying only to the IID case. We conjecture that something very similar to our analysis would apply in the non-IID case.

<sup>4</sup>In the log-optimal version in Stutzer ([2003](#)),  $1 - \theta$  is interpreted as the coefficient of relative risk aversion.

<sup>5</sup>An additional interpretation of  $\theta$  as a Lagrange multiplier will be offered in the next subsection.

<sup>6</sup>Technically, there is an important distinction between a divergence and a distance; the former is not a proper metric and may violate properties such as symmetry or the triangularity rule.

<sup>7</sup>For a general proof of Kullback's lemma see, for example, Bucklew ([1990](#), p. 30).

<sup>8</sup>Note that the probabilities,  $\pi_t(\cdot)$ , are concentrated in terms of the to-be-determined multiplier  $\theta$ ; this reduces the dimensionality of the optimization problem from  $(T + N + 1)$  to  $(N + 1)$ . For a more thorough discussion about the relationship between the  $\pi_t(\cdot)$

<sup>9</sup>To match greater than  $d$  to Our argumer with a slightly

<sup>10</sup>Strictly return game case that m "dynamic

<sup>11</sup>Horizo ze discount metric.

<sup>12</sup>In rela er using the sum-of-s ce.



<sup>13</sup>That is, we seek a measure of the effect sampling error might have on the PPI. Such measures are relevant for all portfolio allocation procedures that rely on estimated moments or parameters, as sampling error will affect the performance of each such procedure.

<sup>14</sup>This notation generally follows that of Geweke ([1989](#)). For simplicity, we take  $\mathcal{J}(\psi)$  and  $p(\psi)$  to be proper normalized densities; Geweke works with the more general unnormalized (kernel) density.

<sup>15</sup>The adjective “numerical” is used to emphasize that even in a fully Bayesian context, frequentist procedures may be appropriate for assessing the sampling properties of a posterior sample generated randomly using Monte Carlo procedures. We will apply the same reasoning to the data sample, so the standard terminology applies.

\*Sample size equals 240.

<sup>16</sup>Two stocks Stutzer ([2000](#)) used have dropped out of the CRSP data set.

\*Sample size equals 240.

\*Sample size equals 240.

<sup>17</sup>The classic example is the “height with shoes on vs. height with shoes off” example: the population of heights with shoes on.

Relat

Multip

Source

Al

select

Source

Short

Source

Linkin



People also read

Recommended articles

Cited by  
21

Information for

- Authors
- R&D professionals
- Editors
- Librarians
- Societies

Opportunities

- Reprints and e-prints
- Advertising solutions
- Accelerated publication
- Corporate access solutions

Open access

- Overview
- Open journals
- Open Select
- Dove Medical Press
- F1000Research

Help and information

- Help and contact
- Newsroom
- All journals
- Books

Keep up to date

Register to receive updates  
by email



Copyright

Acc



Registered  
5 Howick Pl

or & Francis Group  
orma business

