



Factors Affecting Survival in Advanced C

Renal Failure > Volume 29, 2007 - Issue 6



Free access

4,778 75

Views CrossRef citations to date Altmetric

Listen

Lead Article

Factors Affecting Survival in Advanced Chronic Kidney Disease Patients Who Choose Not to Receive Dialysis

C.F. Wong M. McCarthy, M.L.P. Howse & P.S. Williams Pages 653-659 | Published online: 07 Jul 2009

⚠ https://doi.org/10.1080/08860220701459634 **66** Cite this article

Full Article

Figures & data

References

66 Citations

Metrics

A Repri

Abstra

Introduc

modality

kidney o

NDT pat

in m

period

set up a

approac

from oth

years, 7

ml/min,

We Care About Your Privacy

We and our 899 partners store and access personal data, like browsing data or unique identifiers, on your device. Selecting "I Accept" enables tracking technologies to support the purposes shown under "we and our partners process data to provide," whereas selecting "Reject All" or withdrawing your consent will disable them. If trackers are disabled, some content and ads you see may not be as relevant to you. You can resurface this menu to change your choices or withdraw consent at any time by clicking the ["privacy preferences"] link on the bottom of the webpage [or the floating icon on the bottom-left of the webpage, if applicable]. Your choices will have effect within our Website. For more details, refer to our Privacy Policy. Here

We and our partners process data to provide:

I Accept

Reject All

Show Purpose f chronic

portant

of these

experience

a three-year

ity Hospital

ents

re recruited

and (<70

GFR) (<10

enal Disease

primary renal diagnosis codes. As there are no existing standards for NDT patients, we used the U.K. national set for haemodialysis patients as a reference and target for our NDT patients. Data was collected prospectively. Results. The median age was 79 years and the male: female ratio was approximately 1. The most common primary cause of kidney disease in the NDT study population was chronic renal failure of unknown cause n = 22 (31%), but the most common identifiable cause was diabetic nephropathy, n = 2220 (28%). The most common comorbidity was ischaemic heart disease n = 25 (34%). Those achieving the standards for anaemia were 78% at referral. Only 30% of the NDT patients achieved the standard for blood pressure (<130/80 mmHg) at referral. Fortythree patients (60%) had no admissions at all. There were a total of 30 patients admitted on 58 occasions. Thirty-one (53%) of these were due to a non-renal cause. The median length of stay for the other NDT patients was 10 days. The median overall survival (life expectancy) was 1.95 years. The one-year overall survival was 65%. SCG was an independent prognostic factor in predicting survival in NDT patients studied (p = 0.005), the hazard ratio being 2.53, for each incremental increase in the SCG. At one year, the survival for comorbidity grade 0, 1 and 2 were 83%, 70% and 56% respectively. Of the 28 patients who died, 20 did so at home (71%). Discussion. The NDT of ESRD has become an important alternative modality in renal replacement therapy. With the emergence of epidemic proportions of CKD, more elderly patients with progressive renal disease will need to make informed decisions regarding renal replacer ents that will e that there ng their port these ality of life.

tolerate
should b
modality
patients
There sh
palliative
at he
trend
the SCG
prognose
about th
therapy.
observate

natients

ents that will
e that there
ng their
port these
ality of life.
and
carried out
gnificant
mined by
lependent
the future
nent
lest
JDT
n those


patients who chose not to dialyse, SCG provides a potentially useful indication of expected prognosis.

Keywords:

non-dialytic treatment Stoke comorbidity grade chronic kidney disease multidisciplinary team

INTRODUCTION

Non-dialytic treatment (NDT) has become a recognized and important modality of treatment in end stage renal disease (ESRD) in certain groups of chronic kidney disease (CKD) patients. The UK Renal Association recommends that an informed and joint decision should be made between patient, family, and physician when determining the best modality of renal replacement therapy (RRT). A multidisciplinary team (MDT) approach is recommended to manage these NDT patients.[1] However, little is known about the prognosis of these NDT patients in terms of hospitalization rates and survival. We analyzed our experience in managing these NDT with a MDT approach over a three-year period.



The Renal Unit at the Royal Liverpool University Hospital set up a dedicated MDT clinic to manage NDT patients in January 2003. The MDT comprised of a consultant nephrologist, renal dietician, anaemia specialist nurse, a ward link nurse, social worker, community nephrology nurse, and a complementary therapy practitioner. At clinic attendance, all members of the multidisciplinary team reviewed patients. The interval between clinic visits ranged form one week to three months, depending on clinical need. Every effort was made to reduce the frequency of attendance, and whenever possible the patients were reviewed in their own homes by the community nephrology nurse. The clinic has strong links with primary care and the palliative care team.

Patients approaching end stage chronic kidney disease who chose not to dialyze were recruited from other nephrologists. In some cases, the patients had been advised that dialysis was unlikely to result in a significant increase in length of life, due to associated comorbidity and dependency. In other cases, dialysis treatment had been recommended but declined. The study group was classified according to age band (<70 years, 71–80 years, and >80 years), estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) (<10 mL/min, 11–20 mL/min, and >20 mL/min) according to the Modified Diet in Renal Disease (MDRD) formula and Stoke comorbidity grade[2],[3] (SCG). The SCG is a validated scoring system for the survival of patients on renal replacement therapy.[3] It is based on the presence of malignancy, ischemic heart disease, peripheral vascular

disease
grade, w
present.
comorbi

We also
standard
refer

STATIS

Cox regrinfluence

nat may veen the

RESULTS

The demographics of the patients referred to the clinic between January 2003 and January 2006, and the hematological, biochemical, and clinical data at referral are shown in Table 1. The median age was 79 years and the male: female ratio was approximately 1.


Table 1 Demographics and baseline parameters of non-dialytic treatment patients at referral



Download CSV

Display Table

The most common primary cause of kidney disease in the NDT study population was chronic renal failure of unknown cause, n = 22 (31%), but the most common identifiable cause was diabetic nephropathy, n = 20 (28%, see Figure 1). The most common comorbidity was ischemic heart disease, n = 25 (34%).



Those achieving the standards for anemia (i.e., hemoglobin of above 10 g/dL within 6 months of seeing a nephrologist) were 78% at referral. Prior to being seen in the NDT clinic, only 59% of the patients had been seen by an anaemia nurse. As the anemia nurse saw more patients, the percentage use of intravenous iron sucrose and darbepoetin increased from 35% to 68% and 76%, respectively. This had a direct impact on hemoglobin, and the percentage of patients achieving standard reached 100% at 12 months in the NDT clinic.

Only 30% of the NDT patients achieved the standard for blood pressure (<130/80 mmHg) at referral, and this remained similar throughout, dropping to 25% at 3 months and back up to 29% at 12 months. We found that many of our patients could not tolerate the aggressive reduction in blood pressure, and a pragmatic approach was adapted to ensure a balance between the adverse effects of hypotension and achieving the target.

We used hospital admission rates as one of our quality standards for the effectiveness of the multidisciplinary team. Forty-three patients (60%) had no admissions at all (see Figure 2). There were a total of 30 patients admitted on 58 occasions. Thirty-one (53%) of these were due to a non-renal cause. Renal causes (defined as illness directly related to chronic kidney disease, such as uremic symptoms, hyperkaliemia, or fluid overload)

were responsible for the remaining 27 admissions. During the course of admission,

three pa

elected

admission

stay for

Figure 2




X

edema,

complex

n length of



The median overall survival (life expectancy) was 1.95 years. The one-year overall survival was 65% (see Figure 3).

Figure 3. Kaplan-Meier curve showing overall survival of non-dialytic treatment patients.






Figure 4. Kaplan-Meier survival curve by Stoke's comorbidity grade.



Display full size




Download CSV

Display Table

Age ban ml/min, statistica eGFR at 2.6 mm **Patients** signifi


Figure

X 11-20 e was a d lower cium (2.2c factors. tically



Display full size


Figure 6. Kaplan-Meier survival curve by eGFR.



The NDT of ESRD has become an important alternative modality in renal replacement therapy. Between 1988–2004, the acceptance rate of ESRD treated by dialysis in the United Kingdom increased from 55 to 103 per million population.[4] With the emergence of epidemic proportions of CKD, more elderly patients with progressive renal disease will need to make informed decisions as regards renal replacement therapy. There is likely to be an increasing number of elderly patients that will tolerate dialysis badly and who will be very dependent on others.

Data from Chandna et al. found that highly dependent patients have very poor survival on dialysis.[5] Furthermore, the same authors reported that ESRD patients with poor functional status and extensive co-morbidities did not survive longer when dialyzed than when managed with NDT. Those that were dialyzed are more likely to be admitted and die in hospital.[6]

In the North Thames Dialysis Study of the elderly people on dialysis, approximately 50% of patients in the age band greater than 80 years old had died within the first year after commencing dialysis, compared to only 20% in the 70–74 years old age group.[7] In that study, age greater than 80 years old and peripheral vascular disease were significantly associated with increased mortality on dialysis. The observation that older dialysis patients do worse is not new and has been noted elsewhere.[8],[9]



Article contents

majority of the patient's treatment to be carried out at home and to allow a dignified death.

There is a paucity of literature in the area of NDT of ESRD apart from studies described above.[6],[10] In this paper, we have shown that it is possible to achieve the standards used for dialysis patients for anemia and bone chemistry in the majority of NDT patients. This was done by treatment predominantly in the community with relatively infrequent visits to the hospital outpatient clinic. Patients managed this way have a median survival of nearly two years. Hospital admission rates were low, and many patients were able to die in the familiar surrounding of there own homes, rather than as hospital inpatients.

Age did not predict survival. There was a trend for those with a lower eGFR and with diabetes to have a shorter survival, although this was not statistically significant. However, there was a statistically significant trend for shorter survival among those with greater comorbidities, as determined by the SCG. This is the first report of the potential importance of SCG as an independent prognostic factor in NDT patients. This will help us to counsel our patients in the future about their prognosis if they choose NDT as their modality of renal replacement therapy.

Those patients who elected to commence dialysis did poorly with frequent early

referrals

NDT pat

receive of they are

In conclustudy of inde 1.95 years

no admit who cho prognosi

ly "late ce, while minds and program, sis.

×

servational SCG was an rvival was patients had ose patients pected Statistical work was conducted by Patrick O'Kelly (MSc.), renal statistician, Beaumont Hospital, Dublin, Ireland. The authors would also like to thank Alexandra Mason, renal dietitian; Nancy Tanahill, specialist nurse for non-dialytic therapy; Andrea Anthoney, community sister and palliative care; Bernadette Rush, ward link nurse; and Debbie Shakespeare, social worker for their contribution in the multidisciplinary clinic.

REFERENCES

1. Renal Association. Treatment of adults and children with renal failure. Standards and audit measures 3rd. Royal College of Physicians, London 2002

Google Scholar

2. Van Manen JG, Korevaar JC, Dekker FW, Boeschoten EW, Bossuyt PM, Krediet RT. Adjustment for comorbidity in studies on health status in ESRD patients: which comorbidity index to use?. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2003; 14(2)478-485

Google Scholar

X 3. Davies oneal ol Dial dialys Transp

nal Registry,

4. Ansell

a rationale 5. Chanc ecting for rat

surviv

6. Smith C, Da Silva-Gane M, Chandna S, Warwicker P, Greenwood R, Farrington K. Choosing not to dialyse: evaluation of planned non-dialytic management in a cohort of patients with end-stage renal failure. Nephron Clin Pract 2003; 95(2)C40-C46 PubMed | Google Scholar 7. Lamping DL, Constantinovici N, Roderick P, Normand C, Henderson L, Harris S, et al. Clinical outcomes, quality of life, and costs in the North Thames Dialysis Study of elderly people on dialysis: a prospective cohort study. Lancet. 2000; 356(9241)1543-1550 Google Scholar 8. Ifudu O, Paul HR, Homel P, Friedman EA. Predictive value of functional status for mortality in patients on maintenance hemodialysis. Am J Nephrol. 1998; 18(2)109-116 PubMed Web of Science ® Google Scholar 9. Letourneau I, Ouimet D, Dumont M, Pichette V, Leblanc M. Renal replacement in endstage renal disease patients over 75 years old. Am J Nephrol. 2003; 23(2)71-77 X .0. Joly D Octog -making and cl Googl ction of ney Dis. early 1997; Googl Download Article contents Related research

People also read

Recommended articles

Cited by 75

Information for

Authors

R&D professionals

Editors

Librarians

Societies

Opportunities

Reprints and e-prints

Advertising solutions

Accelerated publication

Corporate access solutions

Open access

Overview

Open journals

Open Select

Dove Medical Press

F1000Research

Help and information

Help and contact

Newsroom

All journals

Books

Keep up

Register to by email



Sig











Accessib

Registered 5 Howick F ×

or & Francis Group