

Critical Review >

A Journal of Politics and Society

Volume 21, 2009 - [Issue 2-3: Causes of the Financial Crisis](#)

7,012 Views | 243 CrossRef citations to date | 21 Altmetric

Essays

THE FINANCIAL CRISIS AND THE SYSTEMIC FAILURE OF THE ECONOMICS PROFESSION

David Colander, Michael Goldberg, Armin Haas, Katarina Juselius, Alan Kirman, Thomas Lux & ...show all

Pages 249-267 | Published online: 13 Jul 2009

🗨️ Cite this article <https://doi.org/10.1080/08913810902934109>

Sample our
Politics & International
Relations Journals

>> [Sign in here](#) to start your access
to the latest two volumes for 14 days

📄 Full Article | 📊 Figures & data | 📖 References | 🗨️ Citations | 📈 Metrics

📄 Reprints & Permissions

Read this article

🔗 Share

ABSTRACT

Economists not only failed to anticipate the financial crisis; they may have contributed to it—with risk and derivatives models that, through spurious precision and untested theoretical assumptions, encouraged policy makers and market participants to see more stability and risk sharing than was actually present. Moreover, once the crisis occurred, it was met with incomprehension by most economists because of models that, on the one hand, downplay the possibility that economic actors may exhibit highly interactive behavior; and, on the other, assume that any homogeneity will involve economic actors sharing the economist’s own putatively correct model of the economy, so that error can stem only from an exogenous shock. The financial crisis presents both

an ethical and an intellectual challenge to economics, and an opportunity to reform its study by grounding it more solidly in reality.

Notes

1. Carmen Reinhart and Kenneth Rogoff ([2008](#)) argue that the current financial crisis differs little from a long chain of similar crises in developed and developing countries. We certainly share their view. The problem is that the received body of models in macro finance, to which these authors have prominently contributed, provides no room whatsoever for such recurrent boom and bust cycles. The literature has, therefore, been a major source of the illusory “this time it is different” view that the authors themselves criticize.
2. Indeed, few researchers explored the consequences of a breakdown of their assumptions, even though this was rather likely.
3. The historical emergence of the representative-agent paradigm is a mystery. Ironically, it appeared during the 1970s, after a period of intense discussion of the problem of aggregation in economics (which basically yielded negative results). The representative agent, however, appeared without similar methodological discussion. In the words of Deirdre McCloskey, “It became a rule in the conversation of some economists because Tom and Bob said so” (personal communication). Today, this convention has become so strong that many young economists wouldn’t know of an alternative way to approach macroeconomic issues.
4. The reductionist conceptual approach of the representative agent is also remarkably different from the narrative of the “invisible hand,” which also has the flavor of “more is different.”
5. It is pretty obvious how the currently popular class of dynamic general-equilibrium models would have to “cope” with the financial crisis. It would be covered either by a dummy variable or interpreted as a very large negative stochastic shock to the economy, i.e., as an event equivalent to a large asteroid strike.

6. Robert Solow ([2008](#), 235) has called it a “rhetorical swindle” that the “macro community has perpetrated on itself, and its students.”

Related Research Data

[Dynamic Econometrics](#)

Source: Unknown Repository

[Post Walrasian Macroeconomics](#)

Source: Unknown Repository

[Macroeconomic Instability and Coordination](#)

Source: Unknown Repository

[The Properties of Automatic Gets Modelling](#)

Source: The Economic Journal

[The market price of risk and the equity premium: A legacy of the Great Depression?](#)

Source: Journal of Monetary Economics

[A Simple Model of Herd Behavior](#)

Source: The Quarterly Journal of Economics

[This Time is Different: A Panoramic View of Eight Centuries of Financial Crises](#)

Source: Unknown Repository

[Restoring Financial Stability](#)

Related research

People also read

Recommended articles

Cited by
243

Information for

[Authors](#)

[R&D professionals](#)

[Editors](#)

[Librarians](#)

[Societies](#)

Opportunities

[Reprints and e-prints](#)

[Advertising solutions](#)

[Accelerated publication](#)

[Corporate access solutions](#)

Open access

[Overview](#)

[Open journals](#)

[Open Select](#)

[Dove Medical Press](#)

[F1000Research](#)

Help and information

[Help and contact](#)

[Newsroom](#)

[All journals](#)

[Books](#)

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email



Sign me up



Copyright © 2026 Informa UK Limited [Privacy policy](#)

[Cookies](#) [Terms & conditions](#) [Accessibility](#)

Registered in England & Wales No. 01072954
5 Howick Place | London | SW1P 1WG

 Taylor and Francis
Group