

Q

Home ► All Journals ► Environment and Sustainability ► Society & Natural Resources ► List of Issues ► Volume 21, Issue 3 ► Hunter Perceptions of Similarity and Tru

Society & Natural Resources > An International Journal Volume 21, 2008 - Issue 3

1,1171098ViewsCrossRef citations to dateAltmetric

Articles

Hunter Perceptions of Similarity and Trust in Wildlife Agencies and Personal Risk Associated with Chronic Wasting Disease

Mark D. Needham 🖾 & Jerry J. Vaske

Pages 197-214 | Received 24 Jan 2006, Accepted 19 Mar 2007, Published online: 15 Feb 2008

General Cite this article Attps://doi.org/10.1080/08941920701816336



Abstract

Theory suggests that risk perceptions are influenced by trust in managing agencies. Shared goals and values (i.e., perceived similarity) are foundations of trust. This article examines the extent to which hunters perceive personal health risks associated with chronic wasting disease (CWD) (e.g., become ill from CWD) and the influence of perceived similarity and trust in state wildlife agencies as determinants of risk. Data were obtained from surveys (n = 9567) of resident and nonresident deer and elk hunters in eight states. Structural equation models showed that across all strata, hunters' perceptions of similarity with agencies positively influenced trust in agencies to manage CWD, explaining up to 49% of the variance in trust. Hunters who trusted agencies perceived less risk associated with CWD, but trust only explained up to 8% of

the variance in risk. Hunters perceived similarity with and trust in wildlife agencies, but still perceived risks associated with CWD.



This article is based on a project of the Human Dimensions Committee of the Western Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies (WAFWA). The authors thank Chris Burkett (Wyoming Game and Fish Department), Dana Dolsen (Utah Division of Wildlife Resources), Jacquie Ermer (North Dakota Game and Fish Department), Larry Gigliotti (South Dakota Department of Game, Fish and Parks), Ty Gray (Arizona Game and Fish Department), Larry Kruckenberg (Wyoming Game and Fish Department), Bruce Morrison (Nebraska Game and Parks Commission), Jordan Petchenik (Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources), Duane Shroufe (Arizona Game and Fish Department), and Linda Sikorowski (Colorado Division of Wildlife) for their assistance. The four anonymous reviewers are also thanked for comments on this article.

Notes

Note. Range represents lowest to highest means, factor loadings, and Cronbach alpha reliability coefficients among all 22 strata. Average represents the mean across all strata. Individual item statistics for each of the 22 strata are reported in Needham (2006).

^a Confirmatory factor analyses based on Satorra-Bentler robust estimation for multivariate nonnormality. All loadings are standardized and significant at p < .001. Range of measurement model fit indices: NNFI* = .89 to .94, CFI* = .90 to .95, RMSEA* = .06 to .09.

^b Variables coded on 7-point scale: 1 = strongly disagree, 2 = moderately disagree,
3 = slightly disagree, 4 = neither, 5 = slightly agree, 6 = moderately agree,
7 = strongly agree.

^c Variables coded on 9-point scale: 1-2 = no risk, 3-4 = slight risk, 5-7 = moderate risk, 8-9 = extreme risk.

^d Variable coded on 9-point scale: 1-2 = not concerned, 3-4 = slightly concerned, 5-7 = moderately concerned, 8-9 = extremely concerned.

Note. Based on Satorra-Bentler robust estimation for multivariate nonnormality; β = standardized path coefficients; *p < .05, ***p < .001.

Most risk perception studies involve technologies or activities that have both benefits and negative consequences (e.g., nuclear power provides electricity, but accidents harm humans). Hazards have no obvious benefits (Slovic <u>1987</u>; Sjöberg <u>2000a</u>). Given that CWD is always fatal in animals and is similar to TSE diseases that can cause human death, few hunters would likely contend that CWD has benefits. CWD, therefore, is considered a hazard in this article.

The questionnaire was pretested in each state in 2003 with hunters who purchased a license to hunt in 2002 (n = 659). Details are reported in Needham et al. (2004). Potential overlap of strata (e.g., deer hunters who also hunted elk, hunted in more than one state) was minimized by deleting duplicate cases in samples across strata before questionnaire administration. This study was supported by the Western Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies (WAFWA). Arizona and North Dakota belong to WAFWA and do not have CWD, but are surrounded by regions with CWD (e.g., New Mexico, Saskatchewan, South Dakota, Utah).

Ancillary analyses revealed no substantive differences in results presented in this article between data that were weighted and not weighted based on the nonresponse bias check.

In addition to tests of direct effects, mediation analyses were conducted (Baron and Kenny <u>1986</u>). Mediation was not present in 21 of the 22 strata, as the predictor (similarity) was not related significantly to the criterion (risk). Social trust fully mediated the relationship between similarity and risk for Colorado nonresident elk hunters, but the significant initial relationship between the predictor and criterion was weak, $\beta = -.09$, t = 2.07, p = .05, R² = .01. Mediation, therefore, was generally not present in this study. For most strata, similarity had a direct effect on trust, which had a direct effect on risk. Similarity was not significantly related to risk.

Ancillary analyses revealed no bivariate differences between males and females in each stratum for variables measuring similarity, trust, and risk, p > .05, $r_{pb} < .11$ (Table 2).

Related Research Data How safe is safe enough? A psychometric study of attitudes towards technological risks and benefits Source: Policy Sciences The moderator-mediator variable distinction in social psychological research: Conceptual, strategic, and statistical considerations. Source: Journal of Personality and Social Psychology Trends in hunting participation and implications for management of game species. Source: Unknown Repository Leisure Activities and Perceived Risk Source: Journal of Leisure Research Anticipated Responses to a Fee Program: The Key is Trust Source: Journal of Leisure Research Thinking of Wildfire as a Natural Hazard Source: Society & Natural Resources Communicating Judgments About Practical Significance: Effect Size, Confidence Intervals and Odds Ratios Source: Human Dimensions of Wildlife Chronic Wasting Disease in Wisconsin: Hunter Behavior, Perceived Risk, and Agency Trust Source: Human Dimensions of Wildlife Hunters' Behavior and Acceptance of Management Actions Related to Chronic Wasting **Disease in Eight States** Source: Human Dimensions of Wildlife Deer Hunter Participation and Chronic Wasting Disease in Illinois: An Assessment at Time Zero Source: Human Dimensions of Wildlife Information Sources and Knowledge about Chronic Wasting Disease in Colorado and Wisconsin Source: Human Dimensions of Wildlife Changes in U.S. hunting participation, 1980-90 Source: Human Dimensions of Wildlife **Prion Diseases**

Source: Journal of NeuroVirology Perceived risk and tampering with nature Source: Journal of Risk Research Perception of risk: the influence of general trust, and general confidence Source: Journal of Risk Research Exploring dimensionality in the origins of hazard-related trust Source: Journal of Risk Research Critical trust: understanding lay perceptions of health and safety risk regulation Source: Health Risk & Society Why Are Public Values Toward Wildlife Changing? Source: Human Dimensions of Wildlife **Examining Social Trust in Fuels Management Strategies** Source: Journal of Forestry Perceived risk: psychological factors and social implications Source: Unknown Repository Factors in Risk Perception Source: Risk Analysis Are Received Risk Perception Models Alive and Well? Source: Risk Analysis The Influence of Trust and Perceptions of Risks and Benefits on the Acceptance of Gene Technology Source: Risk Analysis Salient Value Similarity, Social Trust, and Risk/Benefit Perception Source: Risk Analysis Perception of Hazards: The Role of Social Trust and Knowledge Source: Risk Analysis Limits of Knowledge and the Limited Importance of Trust Source: Risk Analysis Shared Values, Social Trust, and the Perception of Geographic Cancer Clusters Source: Risk Analysis The Function of Credibility in Information Processing for Risk Perception Source: Risk Analysis Trust and Risk Perception in Western Europe: A Cross-National Study Source: Risk Analysis Exploring the Dimensionality of Trust in Risk Regulation Source: Risk Analysis Communicating about the Risks and Benefits of Genetically Modified Foods: The Mediating Role of Trust Source: Risk Analysis Determinants of Risk Perceptions of a Hazardous Waste Site

Source: Risk Analysis Trust as a Determinant of Opposition to a High-Level Radioactive Waste Repository: Analysis of a Structural Model Source: Risk Analysis Gender, Race, and Perception of Environmental Health Risks Source: Risk Analysis A Quantitative Test of the Cultural Theory of Risk Perceptions: Comparison with the Psychometric Paradigm Source: Risk Analysis Perception of Risk Source: Science Values, Gender, and Concern about Potentially Dangerous Wildlife Source: Environment and Behavior Trust And Social Representations Of The Management Of Threatened And Endangered **Species** Source: Environment and Behavior Alternative Ways of Assessing Model Fit Source: Sociological Methods & Research Chronic Wasting Disease of Deer and Elk: A Review with Recommendations for Management Source: Journal of Wildlife Management Chronic Wasting Disease and Potential Transmission to Humans Source: Emerging Infectious Diseases Environmental Sources of Prion Transmission in Mule Deer Source: Emerging Infectious Diseases SPONGIFORM ENCEPHALOPATHY IN FREE-RANGING MULE DEER (ODOCOILEUS HEMIONUS), WHITE-TAILED DEER (ODOCOILEUS VIRGINIANUS) AND ROCKY MOUNTAIN ELK (CERVUS ELAPHUS NELSONI) IN NORTHCENTRAL COLORADO Source: Journal of Wildlife Diseases

Linking provided by Schole Splorer

Related research 1

People also read	

Recommended articles

Information for	Open access
Authors	Overview
R&D professionals	Open journals
Editors	Open Select
Librarians	Dove Medical Press
Societies	F1000Research
Opportunities	Help and information
Reprints and e-prints	Help and contact
Advertising solutions	Newsroom
Accelerated publication	All journals
Corporate access solutions	Books

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email





Copyright © 2025 Informa UK Limited Privacy policy Cookies Terms & conditions Accessibility

Taylor & Francis Group an informa business

Registered in England & Wales No. 01072954 5 Howick Place | London | SW1P 1WG