Technology Analysis & Strategic Management ›
Volume 19, 2007 - Issue 6

2,362 Views | 150 CrossRef citations to date | 9 Altmetric

Original Articles

The Organization of Innovation Brokers: An International Review

Graham M. Winch & Roger Courtney

Pages 747-763 | Published online: 19 Nov 2007

Cite this article https://doi.org/10.1080/09537320701711223

Sample our Economics, Finance, Business & Industry Journals
>> Sign in here to start your access to the latest two volumes for 14 days

Read this article

Abstract

Research on networks of innovation has typically focused on relationships of competition and collaboration between firms in the network, sometimes extending the analysis to sources of new ideas such as universities. More recently, attention has been paid to the activities of intermediaries in such networks, which facilitate the innovation process, which are positioned between the sources and users of innovations. This paper focuses on the organization of a distinctive type of intermediary, which we have defined [illegible] of organiza[illegible]ation brokers [illegible]dertake an inter[illegible]principal activ[illegible]novation brokers [illegible]s, thereby facilitati[illegible]rganized on a not-for[illegible]ncludes by

About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click "Settings". For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our Privacy Policy

Accept All
Essential Only
Settings

discussing the implications of the findings for innovation theory and research in networks of innovation.

Notes

1. D. J. Brass, J. Galaskiewicz, H. R. Greve & W. Tsai, Taking stock of networks and organizations: a multilevel perspective, Academy of Management Journal, 47, 2004, pp. 795–817; A. B. Hargadon, How Breakthroughs Happen (Boston, Harvard Business School Press, 2003); E. M. Rogers, The Diffusion of Innovations, 5th edn (New York, Simon and Schuster, 2003).

2. G. Ahuja, Collaboration networks, structural holes, and innovation: a longitudinal study, Administrative Science Quarterly, 45, 2000, pp. 425–455; P.-H. Soh & E. B. Roberts, Networks of innovators: a longitudinal perspective research policy, 32, 2003, pp. 1569–1588.

3. R. S. Burt, The social structure of competition, in: N. Nohria & R. G. Eccles (eds.), Networks and Organizations (Boston, Harvard Business School Press, 1992).

4. M. S. Granovetter, The strength of weak ties, American Journal of Sociology, 78, 1973, pp. 1360–1380; M. S. Granovetter, The strength of weak ties: a network theory revisited in: P. V. Marsden & N. Lin (eds.), Social Structure and Network Analysis (Beverly Hills CA, Sage, 1982).

5. J. Howells, Intermediation and the role of intermediaries in innovation, Research Policy, 35, 2006, pp. 715–728.

6. Althou ot previous networks; Howells,

7. J. nts in technol enker, Business regional and nati ; G. M.

About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click “Settings”. For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our Privacy Policy

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings

Winch & E. Schneider, Managing the knowledge-based organisation; the case of architectural practice, Journal of Management Studies, 30(6), 1993, pp. 923–937.

8. Howells, op. cit., Ref. 5.

9. D. Gann, Building Innovation: Complex Constructs in a Changing World (London, Thomas Telford, 2000); M. Miozzo & P. Dewick, Building competitive advantage: innovation and corporate governance in European construction, Research Policy, 31, 2002, pp. 989–1008; M. Miozzo & P. Dewick, Innovation and networks: benefits from inter-firm co-operation in a fragmented industry, International Journal of Technology Management, 27, 2004, pp. 68–92; M. Miozzo & P. Dewick, Innovation in Construction: A European Analysis (Cheltenham, Edward Elgar, 2004); G. M. Winch, Zephyrs of creative destruction: understanding the management of innovation in construction,Building Research and Information, 26, 1998, pp. 268–279.

10. A. Manseau & G. Seaden, (eds.), Innovation in Construction: An International Review of Public Policies (London, Spon, 2001).

11. C. Freeman, Networks of innovators: a synthesis, Research Policy, 20, 1991, pp. 499–514.

12. Rogers, op. cit., Ref. 1.

13. W. W. Powell, K. W. Koput & L. Smith-Doerr, Interorganizational collaboration and the locus of innovation: networks of learning in biotechnology, Administrative Science Quarterly, 41, 1996, pp. 116–145.

14. J. Owen-Smith & W. W. Powell, Knowledge networks as channels and conduits: the effects of spill-overs in the Boston biotechnology community, Organization Science, 15, 2004, pp. 5–21.

15. G. M ng, in: A. Manseau and Enginee

16. R ch to brokerag 89–126.

17. It is broker is retained here because it would appear to be more generic and capture the broader

About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click “Settings”. For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our Privacy Policy

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings

range of cases presented.

18. A. B. Hargadon, Firms as knowledge brokers: lessons in continuous innovation, California Management Review, 40, 1998, pp. 209–227; A. B. Hargadon, Brokering knowledge; linking learning and innovation, Research in Organizational Behavior, 24, 2002, pp. 41–85.

19. A. B. Hargadon & R. I. Sutton, Technology brokering and innovation in a product development firm, Administrative Science Quarterly, 42, 1997, pp. 716–749; Hargadon, op. cit., Ref. 1.

20. A. B. Hargadon & Y. Douglas, When innovations meet institutions: Edison and the design of electric light, Administrative Science Quarterly, 46, 2001, pp. 476–501; T. P. Hughes, Networks of Power: Electrification in Western Society 1880–1930 (Baltimore, John Hopkins University Press, 1983).

21. Burt, op. cit., Ref. 3.

22. Hargadon, op. cit., Ref. 18.

23. F. Kodama, Technology fusion and the new R&D, Harvard Business Review, July–August, 1992, pp. 70–78.

24. L. Rosenkopf & M. L. Tushman, Community Organization and Technological Evolution: Inter-organizational Cooperation over the Technology Cycle (Wharton School, University of Pennsylvania, 1994); L. Rosenkopf & M. L. Tushman, The coevolution of community networks and technology: lessons from the flight simulation industry, Industrial and Corporate Change, 7, 1998, pp. 311–346; L. Rosenkopf, A. Metiu & G. P. Varghese, From the bottom up? Technical committee activity and alliance formation, Administrative Science Quarterly, 46, 2001, pp. 748–772.

25. H. Al ce-Hall, 1978).

26. Re

27. R. complex systems industrie , 1995, pp. 363–400

About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click “Settings”. For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our Privacy Policy

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings

28. Miller et al., op. cit., Ref. 27, p. 383.

29. Soh & Roberts op. cit., Ref. 2.

30. Soh & Roberts, op. cit., Ref. 29, p. 1578.

31. M. Hobday, Product complexity, innovation and industrial organization, Research Policy, 26, 1998, pp. 689–710.

32. Winch, op. cit., Ref. 9.

33. Rosenkopf & Tushman, 1998, op. cit., Ref. 24; Rosenkopf et al., op. cit., Ref. 24; Soh & Roberts, op. cit., Ref. 29.

34. Hargadon & Douglas, op. cit., Ref. 20; see also Hughes, op. cit., Ref. 20 and Hargadon, op. cit., Ref. 1.

35. Hargadon & Sutton, op. cit., Ref. 1; Hargadon, 2002, op. cit., Ref. 18.

36. Owen-Smith & Powell, op. cit., Ref. 14.

37. Howells, op. cit., Ref. 5.

38. Granovetter, 1982 op. cit., Ref. 4.

39. Howells, op. cit., Ref. 5.

40. The co-ordination of this international task group was funded by the UK's Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (award no. GR/R18734/01) and undertaken by the authors.

41. Rosenkopf & Tushman, 1998, op. cit., Ref. 24.

42. Harg

43. D. G nd the absorpti t and Econ

44. B.-Å. s of producti , pp. 213–231.

About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click “Settings”. For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our Privacy Policy

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings

45. J. Bröchner & B. Grandinson, R&D Cooperation by Swedish contractors, Journal of Construction Engineering and Management, 118, 1992, pp. 3–16.

46. R. Courtney, Building research establishment—past, present and future, Building Research and Information, 25, 1997, pp. 285–291.

47. Freeman, op. cit., Ref. 11.

48. M. Porter, The Competitive Advantage of Nations (New York, Macmillan1990).

49. Hargadon & Sutton, op. cit., Ref. 19.

50. G. M. Winch, Institutional reform in British construction: partnering and private finance, Building Research and Information, 28, 2000, pp. 141–155.

51. Winch, op. cit., Refs 9 and 15.

52. Hargadon & Sutton, op. cit., Ref. 19.

53. G. Seaden, The future of national construction research organizations, Building Research and Information, 25, 1997, pp. 250–256.

54. Gould & Fernandez, op. cit., Ref. 16.

55. Winch, op. cit., Ref. 50.

56. R. E. Rice & E. M. Rogers, Reinvention in the innovation process, Knowledge: Creation, Diffusion, Utilization, 1, 1980, pp. 499–514.

57. Rosenkopf & Tushman, 1998, op. cit., Ref. 24.

58. Soh & Roberts, op. cit., Ref. 29.

59. Rose

60. Powe

61. Re

62. And

63. Burk

About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click “Settings”. For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our Privacy Policy

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings

64. S. R., Barley, J. Freeman & R. C. Hybels, Strategic alliances in commercial biotechnology, in: N. Nohria & R. G. Eccles (eds.), Networks and Organizations (Boston, Harvard Business School Press, 1992); Powell et al., op. cit., Ref. 13.

65. L. C. Freeman, Centrality in social networks: conceptual clarification, Social Networks, 1, 1978, pp. 205–239.

66. D. Krackhardt, The strength of strong ties: the importance of philos in organizations, in: N. Nohria & R. G. Eccles (eds.), Networks and Organizations (Boston, Harvard Business School Press, 1992).

67. Manseau & Seaden, op. cit., Ref. 10.

68. Seaden, op. cit., Ref. 53.

69. Miozzo & Dewick, op. cit., Ref. 9.

Related research

People also read | Recommended articles | Cited by 150

About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click "Settings". For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our Privacy Policy

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings

Copyright © 2024 Informa UK Limited Privacy policy Cookies Terms & conditions Accessibility

Taylor & Francis Group an informa business

Registered in England & Wales No. 3099067
5 Howick Place | London | SW1P 1WG

About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click “Settings”. For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our Privacy Policy

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings