

2,444

Views

150

CrossRef citations to date

9

Altmetric

Original Articles

The Organization of Innovation Brokers: An International Review

Graham M. Winch & Roger Courtney

Pages 747-763 | Published online: 19 Nov 2007

Cite this article <https://doi.org/10.1080/09537320701711223>

Sample our
Economics, Finance,
Business & Industry Journals
>> [Sign in here](#) to start your access
to the latest two volumes for 14 days

Full Article

Figures & data

Citations

Metrics

Reprints & Permissions

Read this article

Abstract

Research on networks of innovation has typically focused on relationships of

competi
analysis
paid to t
process,
focuses
defined
orga
brokers
interme
activities
brokers
facilitati

We Care About Your Privacy

We and our 843 partners store and/or access information on a device, such as unique IDs in cookies to process personal data. You may accept or manage your choices by clicking below, including your right to object where legitimate interest is used, or at any time in the privacy policy page. These choices will be signaled to our partners and will not affect browsing data. [Privacy Policy](#)

We and our partners process data to provide:

Use precise geolocation data. Actively scan device characteristics for identification. Store and/or access information on a device. Personalised advertising and content, advertising and content measurement, audience research and services development.

List of Partners (vendors)

I Accept

Essential Only

Show Purpose

tending the
on has been
e innovation
s. This paper
have
of
ation
dertake an
principal
novation
s, thereby
rganized on

a not-for-profit basis, typically as a public-private partnership. The paper concludes by

discussing the implications of the findings for innovation theory and research in networks of innovation.

Notes

1. D. J. Brass, J. Galaskiewicz, H. R. Greve & W. Tsai, Taking stock of networks and organizations: a multilevel perspective, *Academy of Management Journal*, 47, 2004, pp. 795–817; A. B. Hargadon, *How Breakthroughs Happen* (Boston, Harvard Business School Press, 2003); E. M. Rogers, *The Diffusion of Innovations*, 5th edn (New York, Simon and Schuster, 2003).
2. G. Ahuja, Collaboration networks, structural holes, and innovation: a longitudinal study, *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 45, 2000, pp. 425–455; P.-H. Soh & E. B. Roberts, Networks of innovators: a longitudinal perspective research policy, 32, 2003, pp. 1569–1588.
3. R. S. Burt, The social structure of competition, in: N. Nohria & R. G. Eccles (eds.), *Networks and Organizations* (Boston, Harvard Business School Press, 1992).
4. M. S. Granovetter, The strength of weak ties, *American Journal of Sociology*, 78, 1973, pp. 1360–1380; M. S. Granovetter, The strength of weak ties: a network theory revisited in: P. V. Marsden & N. Lin (eds.), *Social Structure and Network Analysis* (Beverly Hills, Sage, 1982), pp. 1360–1380.
5. J. Howells, The strength of weak ties: a network theory revisited, *Research Policy*, 32, 2003, pp. 1569–1588.
6. Although the strength of weak ties theory has been widely cited in the literature, it has not been extensively tested in empirical studies. For example, Howells (2003) found that the strength of weak ties theory was not supported in his study of networks; Howells (2003) found that the strength of weak ties theory was not supported in his study of networks; Howells (2003) found that the strength of weak ties theory was not supported in his study of networks.
7. J. Best, The strength of weak ties: a network theory revisited, *Research Policy*, 32, 2003, pp. 1569–1588.



Winch & E. Schneider, Managing the knowledge-based organisation; the case of architectural practice, *Journal of Management Studies*, 30(6), 1993, pp. 923-937.

8. Howells, op. cit., Ref. 5.

9. D. Gann, *Building Innovation: Complex Constructs in a Changing World* (London, Thomas Telford, 2000); M. Miozzo & P. Dewick, Building competitive advantage: innovation and corporate governance in European construction, *Research Policy*, 31, 2002, pp. 989-1008; M. Miozzo & P. Dewick, Innovation and networks: benefits from inter-firm co-operation in a fragmented industry, *International Journal of Technology Management*, 27, 2004, pp. 68-92; M. Miozzo & P. Dewick, *Innovation in Construction: A European Analysis* (Cheltenham, Edward Elgar, 2004); G. M. Winch, Zephyrs of creative destruction: understanding the management of innovation in construction, *Building Research and Information*, 26, 1998, pp. 268-279.

10. A. Manseau & G. Seaden, (eds.), *Innovation in Construction: An International Review of Public Policies* (London, Spon, 2001).

11. C. Freeman, Networks of innovators: a synthesis, *Research Policy*, 20, 1991, pp. 499-514.

12. Rogers, op. cit., Ref. 1.

13. W. W. Powell, K. W. Koput & L. Smith-Doerr, Interorganizational collaboration and the locus of innovation: networks of learning in biotechnology, *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 41, 1996, pp. 116-145.

14. J. Owen, ... conduits: the effects of ... Science, 15, 2004, pp. ...

15. G. M. ... ng, in: A. Mans ... and Engin ...

16. R. V. ... ch to brokerag ... 89-126.


17. It is ... e broker is retained here because it would appear to be more generic and capture the broader



range of cases presented.

18. A. B. Hargadon, Firms as knowledge brokers: lessons in continuous innovation, *California Management Review*, 40, 1998, pp. 209-227; A. B. Hargadon, Brokering knowledge; linking learning and innovation, *Research in Organizational Behavior*, 24, 2002, pp. 41-85.
19. A. B. Hargadon & R. I. Sutton, Technology brokering and innovation in a product development firm, *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 42, 1997, pp. 716-749; Hargadon, op. cit., Ref. 1.
20. A. B. Hargadon & Y. Douglas, When innovations meet institutions: Edison and the design of electric light, *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 46, 2001, pp. 476-501; T. P. Hughes, *Networks of Power: Electrification in Western Society 1880-1930* (Baltimore, John Hopkins University Press, 1983).
21. Burt, op. cit., Ref. 3.
22. Hargadon, op. cit., Ref. 18.
23. F. Kodama, Technology fusion and the new R&D, *Harvard Business Review*, July-August, 1992, pp. 70-78.
24. L. Rosenkopf & M. L. Tushman, *Community Organization and Technological Evolution: Inter-organizational Cooperation over the Technology Cycle* (Wharton School, University of Pennsylvania, 1994); L. Rosenkopf & M. L. Tushman, The coevolution of communities of innovators: the case of the flat-panel display industry, *Industrial Organization*, 1995, pp. 1-20; L. Rosenkopf & M. L. Tushman, Information, Administration, 1995, pp. 1-20.
25. H. Alford, *Industry Structure and Innovation* (Cambridge, Massachusetts, Harvard University Press, 1978).
26. Rose, *Industry Structure and Innovation* (Cambridge, Massachusetts, Harvard University Press, 1978).
27. R. M. Freeman, *Industry Structure and Innovation* (Cambridge, Massachusetts, Harvard University Press, 1978).



28. Miller et al., op. cit., Ref. 27, p. 383.
29. Soh & Roberts op. cit., Ref. 2.
30. Soh & Roberts, op. cit., Ref. 29, p. 1578.
31. M. Hobday, Product complexity, innovation and industrial organization, Research Policy, 26, 1998, pp. 689–710.
32. Winch, op. cit., Ref. 9.
33. Rosenkopf & Tushman, 1998, op. cit., Ref. 24; Rosenkopf et al., op. cit., Ref. 24; Soh & Roberts, op. cit., Ref. 29.
34. Hargadon & Douglas, op. cit., Ref. 20; see also Hughes, op. cit., Ref. 20 and Hargadon, op. cit., Ref. 1.
35. Hargadon & Sutton, op. cit., Ref. 1; Hargadon, 2002, op. cit., Ref. 18.
36. Owen-Smith & Powell, op. cit., Ref. 14.
37. Howells, op. cit., Ref. 5.
38. Granovetter, 1982 op. cit., Ref. 4.
39. Howells, op. cit., Ref. 5.
40. The co-ordination of this international task group was funded by the UK's Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (award no. GP/R19724/01) and undertaken
41. Rose
42. Harg
43. D  and the
absol
nt and
Economi
44. B.-Å.
s of
producti
pp. 213–
231.

45. J. Bröchner & B. Grandinson, R&D Cooperation by Swedish contractors, *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 118, 1992, pp. 3-16.
46. R. Courtney, Building research establishment—past, present and future, *Building Research and Information*, 25, 1997, pp. 285-291.
47. Freeman, *op. cit.*, Ref. 11.
48. M. Porter, *The Competitive Advantage of Nations* (New York, Macmillan1990).
49. Hargadon & Sutton, *op. cit.*, Ref. 19.
50. G. M. Winch, Institutional reform in British construction: partnering and private finance, *Building Research and Information*, 28, 2000, pp. 141-155.
51. Winch, *op. cit.*, Refs 9 and 15.
52. Hargadon & Sutton, *op. cit.*, Ref. 19.
53. G. Seaden, The future of national construction research organizations, *Building Research and Information*, 25, 1997, pp. 250-256.
54. Gould & Fernandez, *op. cit.*, Ref. 16.
55. Winch, *op. cit.*, Ref. 50.
56. R. E. Rice & E. M. Rogers, Reinvention in the innovation process, *Knowledge: Creation, Diffusion, Utilization*, 1, 1980, pp. 499-514.
57. Rose
58. Soh
59. Rose
60. P
61. Rose
62. Ahuj
63. Burk



64. S. R., Barley, J. Freeman & R. C. Hybels, Strategic alliances in commercial biotechnology, in: N. Nohria & R. G. Eccles (eds.), *Networks and Organizations* (Boston, Harvard Business School Press, 1992); Powell et al., op. cit., Ref. 13.
65. L. C. Freeman, Centrality in social networks: conceptual clarification, *Social Networks*, 1, 1978, pp. 205–239.
66. D. Krackhardt, The strength of strong ties: the importance of philos in organizations, in: N. Nohria & R. G. Eccles (eds.), *Networks and Organizations* (Boston, Harvard Business School Press, 1992).
67. Manseau & Seaden, op. cit., Ref. 10.
68. Seaden, op. cit., Ref. 53.
69. Miozzo & Dewick, op. cit., Ref. 9.

Related research

People also read

Recommended articles

Cited by
150



Information for

- Authors
- R&D professionals
- Editors
- Librarians
- Societies

Opportunities

- Reprints and e-prints
- Advertising solutions
- Accelerated publication
- Corporate access solutions

Open access

- Overview
- Open journals
- Open Select
- Dove Medical Press
- F1000Research

Help and information

- Help and contact
- Newsroom
- All journals
- Books

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email

 Sign me up



✕