

Review of Political Economy >

Volume 29, 2017 - [Issue 4](#)

894 Views | 5 CrossRef citations to date | 32 Altmetric

Articles

# Is Mainstream Economics a Science Bubble?

John Davis 

Pages 523-538 | Received 29 Sep 2016, Accepted 24 Sep 2017, Published online: 09 Nov 2017

 Cite this article  <https://doi.org/10.1080/09538259.2017.1388983>



Sample our  
Politics & International  
Relations Journals

>> **Sign in here** to start your access  
to the latest two volumes for 14 days

 Full Article

 Figures & data

 References

 Citations

 Metrics

 Reprints & Permissions

Read this article

Share

## ABSTRACT

This article uses George Soros' theory of boom-bust cycles to argue that mainstream economics, as built on Samuelson's Foundations, followed a similar boom-bust cycle. It underwent a reflexive, positive feedback pattern of development before 1980 followed by a reflexive, negative feedback pattern of development after 1980, making it a science bubble. The positive feedback pattern was associated with the 'misconception' that when economics is framed as a natural science as per Samuelson, it improves its descriptive capacities as a science; the negative feedback pattern was associated with increasing recognition that this was a 'misconception' and the emergence of mainstream economics' performative ambition—the idea that economics aims to construct the world in its own image rather than describe it. The article discusses how this latter aim is embodied in later game theory, 'new' behavioral economics and mechanism-design theory. Yet the vision of economics as a performative science is inconsistent with Samuelson's natural science model of economics. Thus, mainstream

economics turns out to be a science bubble much like many other mistaken, superseded research programs in the history of science.

#### KEYWORDS:

Reflexivity science bubble Soros Samuelson recent economics

#### JEL CODES:

B21 B41 G01

---

## Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author.

---

## Notes

1 Samuelson may have had second thoughts about his Whig position when he conceded to Mark Blaug that rational reconstructions of past ideas might deviate from historical reconstructions, thus implying a possible loss of important theoretical content and a problem for his progress view (cf. Samuelson, Patinkin, and Blaug [1991](#), p. 144). This admission came out of a debate with William Baumol over the status of Marxian economics, which led to a shift in Blaug's own position away from Samuelson's and considerable doubts for Blaug regarding progress in economics (see Davis [2013](#)).

2 Gary Becker extended this to non-economic domains, meaning that rational choice theory is a universal theory of human behavior.

3 This is known as the Duhem–Quine thesis, which states that theories can never be conclusively falsified.

4 That these models did not predict well was ignored!

5 The decision problem was what later became known as the Allais paradox. For the Allais–Savage exchange, see Heukelom ([2014](#), pp. 54ff.).

6 The work of Simon and Allais simultaneously became an ‘old,’ outdated behavioral economics (Sent [2004](#)).

7 See the arguments of Infante, Lecouteux, and Sugden ([2016](#)) regarding the notion that decision-makers somehow contain within themselves an ‘inner rational agent’ ultimately able to make agents’ real choices.

8 Some commentators distinguish economics’ mechanism-design theory and matching-mechanism theory, as associated with medical resident matching programs, but I regard the two sorts of programs as structurally the same, even if some conceptualize their goals and motivations differently. In the US, the National Resident Matching Program began in 1952 as a response to criticism of the existing market-based system of medical resident hospital placement.



## Related research i

People also read

Recommended articles

Cited by  
5

## Information for

[Authors](#)

[R&D professionals](#)

[Editors](#)

[Librarians](#)

[Societies](#)

## Opportunities

[Reprints and e-prints](#)

[Advertising solutions](#)

[Accelerated publication](#)

[Corporate access solutions](#)

## Open access

[Overview](#)

[Open journals](#)

[Open Select](#)

[Dove Medical Press](#)

[F1000Research](#)

## Help and information

[Help and contact](#)

[Newsroom](#)

[All journals](#)

[Books](#)

## Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email



Sign me up



Copyright © 2026 Informa UK Limited [Privacy policy](#)

[Cookies](#) [Terms & conditions](#) [Accessibility](#)

Registered in England & Wales No. 01072954  
5 Howick Place | London | SW1P 1WG

 Taylor and Francis  
Group