





Critical Public Health >

Volume 29, 2019 - [Issue 3](#)

1,537 Views | 26 CrossRef citations to date | 28 Altmetric

Research Paper

Nanny or canny? Community perceptions of government intervention for preventive health

Anne C. Grunseit  , Samantha Rowbotham , Melanie Crane , Devon Indig, Adrian E. Bauman & Andrew Wilson

Pages 274-289 | Received 05 Dec 2017, Accepted 09 Apr 2018, Published online: 02 May 2018

 Cite this article  <https://doi.org/10.1080/09581596.2018.1468020>



Sample our
Geography
Journals

>> **Sign in here** to start your access
to the latest two volumes for 14 days



 Full Article  Figures & data  References  Supplemental  Citations  Metrics

 Reprints & Permissions

Read this article

Share

Abstract

Critics of government intervention for the prevention of lifestyle-related chronic disease often conceptualise such efforts as ‘nanny state’, reflecting a neoliberal perspective and derailing wider debate. However, it is unknown how the community perceives such interventions. Given the importance of public opinion to government willingness to implement population-level system change, we aimed to better understand Australian community attitudes towards government-led prevention, in particular whether nanny state conceptualisations reflect community attitudes. We used an iterative mixed methods approach to data collection and analysis based on focus groups (n = 49) and a national survey (n = 2052). Despite strong endorsement (91%) of personal

responsibility for health, 46% of survey respondents thought government plays a large role in prevention. The nanny state conceptualisation was not dominant in either the survey or focus group data. Qualitative data analysis highlighted alternative conceptualisations, namely government as a: canny investor; leader on positive health behaviour; partner or facilitator for health. Respondents' level of support for specific interventions overlaid these general conceptualisations with considerations of the target population and risk factor, intervention mechanism and government motives. Community perceptions regarding prevention therefore reflect more thoughtful and complex interpretations of preventive actions and policies than suggested by nanny state conceptualisations. We argue that advocates and legislators should not allow debate around preventive measures to be restricted to the nanny state-libertarian continuum, but engage the community in more collectivist considerations of future health costs, beneficiaries, equity and likely outcomes of both action and inaction in order to garner community support and identify information gaps.

Keywords:

[Qualitative research](#) [health policy](#) [non-communicable disease](#) [healthy lifestyle](#)

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge the help and support of The Social Research Centre in collecting the data and Ms Erika Goldbaum in formatting the final manuscript.

Related Research Data

[An overview of mixed methods research](#)

Source: Journal of Research in Nursing

[Case studies in nanny state name-calling: what can we learn?](#)

Source: Public Health

[Libertarian Paternalism Is Not An Oxymoron](#)

Source: SSRN Electronic Journal

[Improving Public Health Surveillance Using a Dual-Frame Survey of Landline and Cell Phone Numbers](#)

Source: American Journal of Epidemiology

Health Public Policy Public opinion on food-related obesity prevention policy initiatives

Source: Health Promotion Journal of Australia

'It's not the government's responsibility to get me out running 10 km four times a week' - Norwegian men's understandings of responsibility for health

Source: Critical Public Health

Informed choice and the nanny state: learning from the tobacco industry

Related research

People also read

Recommended articles

Cited by
26

[The Nanny State, Free Will, and Public Health >](#)

Jacquelyn H. Flaskerud

Issues in Mental Health Nursing

Published online: 18 Dec 2013

Information for

[Authors](#)

[R&D professionals](#)

[Editors](#)

[Librarians](#)

[Societies](#)

Opportunities

[Reprints and e-prints](#)

[Advertising solutions](#)

[Accelerated publication](#)

[Corporate access solutions](#)

Open access

[Overview](#)

[Open journals](#)

[Open Select](#)

[Dove Medical Press](#)

[F1000Research](#)

Help and information

[Help and contact](#)

[Newsroom](#)

[All journals](#)

[Books](#)

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email



Sign me up



Copyright © 2026 Informa UK Limited [Privacy policy](#)

[Cookies](#) [Terms & conditions](#) [Accessibility](#)

Registered in England & Wales No. 01072954
5 Howick Place | London | SW1P 1WG



Taylor & Francis
by informa