







Home ► All Journals ► Economics, Finance & Business ► Accounting, Business & Financial History ► List of Issues ► Volume 15, Issue 1 ► Direct versus absorption costing: A repl ....

Accounting, Business & Financial History > Volume 15, 2005 - Issue 1

912 2 0

Views CrossRef citations to date Altmetric

Miscellany

## Direct versus absorption costing: A reply


David Dugdale † & T. Colwyn Jones


Pages 93-95 | Published online: 01 Feb 2007

**66** Cite this article https://doi.org/10.1080/09585200500033188

Sample our
Humanities
Journals
>> Sign in here to start your access to the latest two volumes for 14 days

Full Article







Metrics

Reprints & Permissions

Read this article



## We Care About Your Privacy

We and our 891 partners store and access personal data, like browsing data or unique identifiers, on your device. Selecting I Accept enables tracking technologies to support the purposes shown under we and our partners process data to provide. Selecting Reject All or withdrawing your consent will disable them. If trackers are disabled, some content and ads you see may not be as relevant to you. You can resurface this menu to change your choices or withdraw consent at any time by clicking the Show Purposes link on the bottom of the webpage .Your choices will have effect within our Website. For more details, refer to our Privacy

Policy. <u>Here</u>

We and our partners process data to provide:

Use precise geolocation data. Actively scan device

I Accept

Reject All

Show Purpose



Accounting, Business & Financial History Vol. 15, No. 1, 93–95, March 2005



X

## Direct Versus Absorption Costing: A Reply

## DAVID DUGDALE\* AND T. COLWYN JONES\*\*

\*Department of Accounting and Finance, University of Bristol, UK. \*\*School of Sociology, University of the West of England, Bristol, UK

We are pleased that a reader of Accounting Business and Financial History was sufficiently stimulated to supply some further thoughts on the subject of direct versus absorption costing. We are also pleased that the editors of the journal have invited us to reply.

The central issue<sup>1</sup> raised is the contributor's view that: 'If a combatant had been made to spell out his case fully, he would have seen that he was right in certain circumstances but not in others'. Apparently, clear-headed debate could have resolved the issues raised during the 'costing war'. Our response is that much of the debate was clear headed; but it did not lead to agreement, it led to conflict.

The contributor reaches his/her<sup>2</sup> conclusion following an economic analysis of the arguments for and against the two systems of costing. Direct costs are seen as simpler and consistent with economists' teaching on marginal analysis. However, for practical reasons, direct costs may be incomplete and, even if complete, may 'lure him into an unfortunately low quotation'. Absorption costing was 'more helpful' in providing a guide to prices that covered overhead. However, absorption costs will always be, to some extent, arbitrary because of the treatment of joint costs. A critic will hold that: 'absorption cost can be defended only on the grounds that it works well in practice; it




Notes

There ar the

contributor but which are not central to our argument here. For example, in relation to

the comments concerning the development of absorption costing, it is not clear that the rise of absorption costing in the nineteenth century was driven by the increasing proportion of overhead in total costs. Overhead became (even) more important in the twentieth century but this did not prevent the rise of direct costing. We would also see little evidence to support the assertion that '...absorption costing has become more and more complex'. A key element in the 'relevance lost' debate of the 1980s was the finding, especially in the US, that many manufacturing companies had oversimplified by employing only labour hours or cost as an overhead recovery base and sometimes used a single 'blanket' overhead rate.

At the time of writing the contributor remains anonymous to us.



Information for Open access Authors Overview R&D professionals Open journals Editors **Open Select** Librarians **Dove Medical Press** Societies F1000Research Opportunities Help and information Reprints and e-prints Advertising solutions Newsroom Accelerated publication Corporate access solutions Books Keep up to date Register to receive personalised research and resources by email Sign me up X or & Francis Group Copyright