

Accounting, Business & Financial History >  
Volume 16, 2006 - Issue 2235 | 26 | 0  
Views CrossRef citations to date Altmetric

Original Articles

# Female investors in the first english and Welsh commercial joint-stock banks

Lucy Newton &amp; Philip L. Cottrell

Pages 315-340 | Published online: 18 Aug 2006

Cite this article <https://doi.org/10.1080/09585200600756316>

Sample our Economics, Finance, Business & Industry journals, sign in here to start your access, latest two full volumes FREE to you for 14 days

[Full Article](#) [Figures & data](#) [References](#) [Citations](#) [Metrics](#)[Reprints & Permissions](#)[Read this article](#)

## Abstract

The 1826 Banking Act was passed to strengthen the banking sector. It allowed the establishment of joint-stock banks in England and Wales outside a 65-mile radius of Charing Cross, London. Institutions formed under this legislation could have an unrestricted number of partners but they did not enjoy the privilege of limited liability. This article examines the extent of female investors in joint-stock banks formed under the 1826 Act. Analysis of shareholdings found that female investors were in a minority yet their holdings in aggregate increased over time. They were primarily widows and spinsters, who collectively became significant in the emerging national financial securities market.

### About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click "Settings". For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our [Privacy Policy](#).

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings

This contribution draws from some of the findings of a Leverhulme Trust-funded project ‘The constituencies of English and Welsh joint stock banks, 1825 to c.1885’. We are grateful for the support so generously provided, and also for the very valuable assistance of the archivists who so willingly gave of their time and informed guidance: John Booker and Karen Sampson (Lloyds TSB Archives); Edwin Green and Sara Kinsey (HSBC Group Archives); Jesse Campbell and Josephine Horner (formerly Barclays Bank Archives); Fiona MacColl and Susan Snell (formerly National Westminster Bank); and Alison Turton and Philip Winterbottom (Royal Bank of Scotland (RBS)). Since these archive holdings were consulted, the ‘NatWest’ historical material has been incorporated with that of RBS as a consequence of RBS's acquisition of ‘NatWest’, and are now to be found in the Royal Bank of Scotland Archives. We also wish to acknowledge fully the generous help of Professor Josephine Maltby and Professor Janette Rutterford in the drafting of this paper, and of Edwin Green who commented on an earlier draft.

A previous version of this paper was presented at the 2005 Business History Conference, Minneapolis, and we are grateful for participants' comments, in particular those of Mark Carlson, Larry Neal (designated commentator), Richard Sylla and Mary Yeager. We are also grateful for the comments of participants of the European Business History Association in Frankfurt, September 2005 and to two anonymous referees.

## Notes

1. The number of original subscriptions (or shareholdings) in each bank, and those of women, range from 92 shareholders (eight women) in the case of the Bradford Banking Co. in 1827 to 1,212 shareholders (197 women) in the case of the Bank of Liverpool in 1831. The number of shareholders in the other banks lies between these two ‘limiting’ figures.
2. The [account] of analysis rather than comparisons.
3. For the establishment of a model for (p. 24).
4. (1958: p. 204) and Hartley (1975), for the Cumberland Union, Clark & Wadsworth

### About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click “Settings”. For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our [Privacy Policy](#).

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings



(1958: p. 115); for the Halifax, Sayers ([1957](#): p. 17) and Roth ([1914](#)); for the Barnsley, Crick & Wadsworth (1958: p. 215); for the Liverpool, Chandler ([1964](#): pp. 236–239); and for the Westmorland, Crick & Wadsworth (1958: p. 134).

4. HSBCGA: H 24, Huddersfield Banking Co., Share register and transfer book, 1827–1890s.

5. HSBCGA: H41/18, Huddersfield Banking Co., Prospectus (9 March 1827).

6. RBSGA: 574, Nottingham & Nottinghamshire Banking Co., Board of Directors, Minute Books [hereafter BDM], 8 Nov. 1833; LTSBGA: Liverpool Union Banking Co., book no. 93, BDM, AGM, 3 Jan. 1836; and, for the prospectus of the North Wilts Banking Co., March 1836.

7. For the promotion of Coventry & Warwickshire, see Sayers ([1957](#): p. 19); for Liverpool Union, see Sayers ([1957](#): pp. 20, 51, 281); for Birmingham & Midland, see Crick & Wadsworth (1958: p. 55) and Holmes & Green ([1986](#): pp. 15–22); for County of Gloucestershire, see Sayers ([1957](#): pp. 18, 280); for Coventry Union, see Crick & Wadsworth (1958: pp. 85, 87ff.); for Halifax & Huddersfield Union, see Sayers ([1957](#): p. 17) and Roth ([1914](#)); for London Joint Stock, see Crick & Wadsworth (1958: p. 279); for North & South Wales, see Crick & Wadsworth (1958: p. 176); for Sheffield & Hallamshire, see Crick & Wadsworth (1958: p. 202) and Newton ([1996](#): 64–83); and for Sheffield & Rotherham, see Anon. ([1967](#)) and Newton ([1996](#)).

8. These findings are very similar to those of Carlos & Neal ([2004](#)) in their examination of women holders of Bank of England stock between 1720 and 1725. They found that women who actively traded Bank shares owned 10.8 per cent of the capital stock in 1720 and 14.5 per cent in 1725. Widows and spinster were dominant amongst these shareholders, as in this sample (see below).

9. Holding ... very skewed

in their c ... than those of

the aver

10. H ...

11. BBG ...

12. HSB ... ase of the

Halifax & Huddersfield Union Bank, its deed of settlement laid down that ‘5,000 shares

### About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click “Settings”. For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our [Privacy Policy](#).

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings

were to be reserved for the further distribution at which premium the directors might decide and for the general benefit of the said company’ – LTSBGA: file no. 7013, deed of settlement, 1 Jul. 1836.

13. See, for example, HSBCGA: B6, Bradford Banking Co., BDM, 25 May 1846 with respect to William Turley's attempt to sell ten shares to William Wilkinson. The directors wanted to be assured about the ‘circumstances and character’ of the proposed purchaser.

14. As was the occasional explicit bias against women.

15. Inter-regional capital flows occurred in other types of companies. Capital flowed from Lancashire to Sheffield iron and steel firms in the second half of the nineteenth century due to the activities of the company promoter David Chadwick (Newton, [forthcoming](#) ch. 6).

16. LTSBGA: Wilts & Dorset Banking Co., Book number 3177, shareholders' register, 1835–1853. The majority of all shareholders, including women, tended to come from the areas in which the banks operated (Newton, [2001](#)).

17. Women lost their property rights on marriage until 1870. However, the 1870 Act prevented married women owning partly paid shares in their own names, a characteristic security of joint-stock banks. This exclusion persisted until the 1882 Married Women's Property Act. It was possible for a wife's property to be held in trust before 1882, which could protect some, or all, of her property from that of her husband, the assets in question often having been given by a father to his daughter. It was thus possible for women to own property under common law and, consequently, to have capital and income, and the ability to pass these assets to their children. Widows could also use settlements to protect resources inherited from one marriage when entering another (e.g. [Newton, 2005](#)).

Others argued that women's property rights since it was common for women to be married to a man of a different rank and Hall, [1987](#)).  
18. As a result of the Married Women's Property Act, many different types of property, including real estate, were held in trust for women. For example, see [Newton, 1934](#): pp. 240–241. The first joint-stock bank

About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click “Settings”. For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our [Privacy Policy](#).

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings



that had a clergyman among its shareholders could not legally recover any of its debts, while the whole of its equity was forfeit, half to the Crown and half to the individual who sued it under the legislation. Although the York City & County Banking Co. declined a share application from the Vicar of Tadcaster, this was solely because its share issue had been oversubscribed (Bailey, [2003](#): p. 92).

19. HSBCGA: B42/3, Bradford Banking Co., Prospectus, 22 March 1827, clause 8.

20. HSBCGA: C12, Bank of Westmorland, share ledger, 1833–1880.

21. BBGA: Bank of Liverpool, deed of settlement, 1831, 25/624(1).

22. For Birmingham & Midland, see also Holmes & Green ([1986](#): p. 327, Appendix 3).

23. As when the banks in our sample were being established, holdings by value, whether overall or solely those of women, continued to be positively skewed in their distributions for nearly all banks, the respective median values being less than those of the averages. The one exception is female shareholdings in the Huddersfield Banking Co. in 1847, for which the median – £150 – is significantly greater than the average, indicating negative skewness.

24. When analysing shareholders of the Ulster Banking Co. between 1868 and 1879, Hickson & Turner found that spinsters made up 19.7 per cent of total investors and widows 10.6 per cent. However, it should be noted that this bank in Northern Ireland had a very different organisation, with a specific history and different context to its English counterparts (Hickson & Turner, [2003](#): p. 946). Further research is required in order to ascertain the extent of the increase in women's shareholdings in metropolitan banks.

25. HSBCGA: A4, Barnsley Banking Co., share ledger, 1832–1896.

26. In a  
correlati  
size: bet  
value  
perce  
per bank

27. HSBC

#### About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click "Settings". For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our [Privacy Policy](#).

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings

28. Conversely, the York City & County Banking Co., far more successful in its early years, had only one female shareholder when it was formed in spring 1830 but thereafter they became more numerous (Bailey, [2003](#): p. 22).
29. Unfortunately the share price index compiled by Gayer et al. does not include provincial joint-stock banks. Its banking component comprises initially: Provincial Bank of Ireland, National Bank of Ireland and Royal Bank of Scotland, to which is subsequently added: National Provincial Bank of England, London & Westminster Bank and London Joint Stock Bank (Gayer et al., [1953](#): pp. 439–450).
30. For the experience of provincial share markets see Thomas ([1973](#), Ch. 2).
31. The female ‘rentier’ investor was an individual that looked for safe investment opportunities, as identified in the nineteenth century discourse.
32. Annuity rates followed the movement of the yield on Consols which secularly declined as the National Debt was amortised.
33. Sampling of the East India Company's stock ledgers reveals that the share held by females rose from a nadir of 7.5 per cent in 1774 to 10.3 per cent in 1807 and 16.1 per cent in 1830. The average size of female holding was £1,161 in 1807 and £1,615 in 1830. The stock gave a fixed annual return of 10½ per cent on its nominal value and was highly marketable, hence closely analogous to Consols (Bowen, [2005](#), Ch. 4). We are grateful to Professor Huw Bowen for allowing us to read proofs of his forthcoming monograph – The Business of Empire: the East India Company and Imperial Britain, 1756–1833 (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2005).

## Related



### About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click “Settings”. For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our [Privacy Policy](#).

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings

## Information for

Authors

R&D professionals

Editors

Librarians

Societies

## Opportunities

Reprints and e-prints

Advertising solutions

Accelerated publication

Corporate access solutions

## Open access

Overview

Open journals

Open Select

Dove Medical Press

F1000Research

## Help and information

Help and contact

Newsroom

All journals

Books

## Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email



Sign me up



Copyright © 2024 Informa UK Limited [Privacy policy](#) [Cookies](#) [Terms & conditions](#)

[Accessibility](#)



Taylor & Francis Group  
an informa business

Registered in England & Wales No. 3099067  
5 Howick Place | London | SW1P 1WG

### About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click “Settings”. For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our [Privacy Policy](#).

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings