

Journal of Property Research >

Volume 22, 2005 - [Issue 4: Special Issue for the European Real Estate Society \(ERES\) Conference 2005](#)

786 Views | 47 CrossRef citations to date | 0 Altmetric

Original Articles

Diversification when It Hurts? The Joint Distributions of Real Estate and Equity Markets¹

John Knight, Colin Lizieri  & Stephen Satchell

Pages 309-323 | Received 08 Aug 2005, Accepted 22 Dec 2005, Published online: 21 Aug 2006

 Cite this article  <https://doi.org/10.1080/09599910600558520>

Sample our
Built Environment
Journals

>> [Sign in here](#) to start your access
to the latest two volumes for 14 days



 Full Article  Figures & data  References  Citations  Metrics

 Reprints & Permissions

[Read this article](#)

 Share

Abstract

This article examines claims about the diversification benefits of real estate. In particular, does real estate investment in a mixed asset portfolio provide protection when other asset classes are performing badly? Conventional portfolio strategy models utilising covariance statistics may result in a misallocation of capital if correlation structures between assets differ across the distribution of returns. Models of asymmetric dependence using the copula function, drawn from the recent finance literature are used to examine the relationships between real estate and equity at different points in their joint return distributions. For both UK and Global markets, real estate securities and common equities are shown to exhibit strong tail dependence – particularly in the negative tail. This suggests that real estate securities offer, at best,

limited diversification protection when it is needed most – when other asset markets are falling. This has implications for allocation strategies in mixed asset portfolios.

1. Paper originally presented to the European Real Estate Society Annual Conference, Dublin, June 2005.

Keywords:

Copula portfolio diversification tail dependence real estate

Notes

1. Paper originally presented to the European Real Estate Society Annual Conference, Dublin, June 2005.

2. Or, for professional investors, by investing in securitized or unitized property vehicles in the private market.

3. However, Lu and Mei (1999) observe that international real estate stocks show a higher correlation with US stocks when US markets are performing badly, implying diversification gains are least when investors need them most.

4. Other de-smoothing models produced very similar results.

5. GPR is preferred to EPRA for the time-series and the availability of pure closed-end data series.

Related research

People also read

Recommended articles

Cited by
47

[Does International Diversification Work Better for Real Estate than for Stocks and Bonds? >](#)

Piet M.A. Eichholtz
Financial Analysts Journal
Published online: 2 Jan 2019

Information for

[Authors](#)
[R&D professionals](#)
[Editors](#)
[Librarians](#)
[Societies](#)

Opportunities

[Reprints and e-prints](#)
[Advertising solutions](#)
[Accelerated publication](#)
[Corporate access solutions](#)

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email

 [Sign me up](#)



Open access

[Overview](#)
[Open journals](#)
[Open Select](#)
[Dove Medical Press](#)
[F1000Research](#)

Help and information

[Help and contact](#)
[Newsroom](#)
[All journals](#)
[Books](#)

Copyright © 2026 Informa UK Limited [Privacy policy](#)

[Cookies](#) [Terms & conditions](#) [Accessibility](#)

Registered in England & Wales No. 01072954
5 Howick Place | London | SW1P 1WG



Taylor & Francis
by **informa**•••